Diabetic Dog Companion

Practical guidance for the first ninety days, and the full research behind it

Tom Sampson

"It is amazing what you have done."

Prof. María Dolores Pérez Alenza
Director of the Veterinary Teaching Hospital, Complutense University of Madrid

"I recommend Diabetic Dog Companion as a useful free resource to help owners understand and care for their diabetic dogs."

Dr Carlos Melián Limañana
Profesor Titular de Universidad, Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria

Copyright

Diabetic Dog Companion
Copyright © 2026 Tom Sampson
All rights reserved.

This book is provided free of charge as an ebook and PDF at diabeticdogcompanion.com.

This paperback edition exists for readers who would prefer a printed copy. It may be shared freely in its complete, unaltered form. It may not be sold, altered, or reproduced in part without the author's permission.

© 2026 Tom Sampson. All rights reserved. Diabetic Dog Companion is free for dog owners and veterinary professionals to read, download and use in caring for dogs, and you are welcome to share links to it and to pass on the unaltered PDF or EPUB. No part of the book, app, videos or other content may be copied, adapted, translated, republished, sold, or included in any other product or service without the author's written permission. Enquiries to tom@diabeticdogcompanion.com.

ISBN: 9798185832820

This book is intended as general information for owners of diabetic dogs. It is not a substitute for veterinary advice, diagnosis, or treatment. Always consult your own veterinarian regarding your dog's specific condition and treatment plan. The vet always comes first.

The companion app referenced throughout this book is available at diabeticdogcompanion.com.

Foreword

Bryce was thirteen when he was diagnosed with diabetes. We were in southern Spain at the time, and I found it genuinely challenging to manage well. Diabetes in a dog is a demanding, day-to-day undertaking wherever you are, and doing it for the first time, without the network of experienced owners and vets I'd later find in the UK, made it harder still.

So I did the research myself. Between what I found and what the vets already knew, we got Bryce reasonably under control. It was not straightforward, and there was a fair amount of trial and error along the way, but it worked.

Back in the UK, I found a much wider network to draw on: excellent owner forums, full of people who had already worked out most of what a new owner needs to know, and more people locally who'd managed the condition before. But I still thought there was more that could be done. Building a glucose curve by hand every few months is fiddly and easy to get wrong. Planning a meal that properly accounts for fat, calories, fibre, and protein together is more than most owners want to work out from scratch. And when it comes to the hardest decisions, the ones about whether a dog can keep going, there is very little support at all. So I built an app, diabeticdogcompanion.com, a web based version and one that runs on Windows, to help with exactly that kind of practical, ongoing work.

But an app alone did not feel like the whole answer. Some owners need something targeted at the first few weeks, the practical decisions that cannot wait. Others want to understand the condition properly, not just follow instructions. This book is my attempt at both.

Part 1 covers the start of the journey. It is built to work alongside the app, and it assumes nothing except that your dog was diagnosed recently and you need to know what to do. Part 2 goes further. It draws together research carried out by a wide range of organisations

and tries to present it in a form that is thorough without being unreadable.

I am not a vet. I am an owner who did a great deal of reading because Bryce needed me to, with plenty of help along the way from vets and from owners on forums who had been through it before me. This book is free for exactly that reason. It is my way of putting something back. If it saves someone else that same steep first climb, it has done its job.

Tom Sampson

The Companion App

Throughout this book you will see references to the companion app: a DMB fat checker, a Daily Calorie Calculator, a Meal Builder, a Food Finder, a Glucose Log, and a quality of life checker among them. It is available at diabeticdogcompanion.com, as a web app and as a downloadable Windows application, and it is built to sit alongside Part 1 of this book in particular, handling the calculations so you do not have to.

Contents

Copyright

Foreword

The Companion App

Part One: The First Ninety Days

Chapter 1: The Diagnosis

- What diabetes actually is
- Why your dog is still weeing at night
- The prognosis
- What the vet has prescribed and why
- What to do today

Chapter 2: Insulin, What It Is and How to Give It

- What your dog is being injected with
- Other insulins your vet may prescribe
- U-40 and U-100: what this means and why it matters
- Preparing the dose
- Injection technique
- Timing
- Making injections routine
- The rule you must know before anything goes wrong
- Storing insulin

Chapter 3: When Things Go Wrong

- Hypoglycaemia: too much insulin, too little glucose
  - *Recognise it*
  - *Respond, if your dog is conscious*
  - *Respond, if your dog is unconscious*
  - *After a hypo episode*
  - *The emergency kit*
  - *Why hypos happen*
- Diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA): too little insulin
  - *Recognise it*
  - *What to do*
  - *Testing for ketones at home*

Telling the two apart, fast

Chapter 4: Feeding Your Diabetic Dog

What to look for in a diabetic dog's food
Reading a pet food label
Treats: the honest answer
Foods that must never be given
When to feed
A note on weight

Chapter 5: Building Your Dog's Meal Plan

The core principle
How many calories does your dog need
What if your dog isn't at their ideal weight
Using the app for this chapter
Weighing and switching foods
Loose stools
Cooking for your dog

Chapter 6: Monitoring at Home

Why home monitoring matters
Choosing a glucometer
Getting a blood sample
What the numbers mean
Flash monitors (FreeStyle Libre and similar)

Chapter 7: The Glucose Curve

What a curve is, and why home beats the clinic
How to run one
The nadir, and the mistake almost every owner makes
What a good curve looks like
Other shapes, and what they mean
Somogyi rebound, and why a high curve is not always undertreatment
How often to run one
Fructosamine, your vet's other tool
What to bring to the vet

Chapter 8: The Long Game

The long-term prognosis
Remission, managing expectations
Cataracts

Pancreatitis
Urinary tract infections
Muscle loss in an older diabetic dog
Quality of life, what good actually looks like
Staying stable, long term
You matter in this too

Chapter 9: Managing the Cost of Care

Diet
Monitoring
Insulin
Glucose meters
Vet visits
Last word

Part Two: The Research

Chapter 1: The Physiology of Insulin

What insulin actually does: the cellular mechanism
Why dogs are almost always Type 1
How beta cells are destroyed
Why dogs do not go into remission, and the one exception
Confirming it in practice
If spaying is not possible
Which dogs are at higher risk
Why the starting dose is always cautious
References

Chapter 2: Insulin Pharmacokinetics, and the Two Opposite Emergencies

How Caninsulin actually works: the biphasic profile
The other insulins: where they sit and why they matter
Somogyi rebound: the overdose that looks like underdose
Hypoglycaemia and DKA: opposite poles of the same axis
Hypoglycaemia: too much effective insulin
A missed or partial meal: AAHA's rule and this book's rule
Diabetic ketoacidosis: too little effective insulin
Telling them apart
Continuous glucose monitoring: the Libre and its limits
Choosing a blood glucose meter

References

Chapter 3: The Diet Science

Why dry matter basis is the only honest comparison
Why dogs resist new food, and what actually helps
The sensory arithmetic
Smell decides before tasting begins
Food neophobia in dogs is documented, not folk belief
Smell gets the dog to try it, taste confirms it is safe
Olfactory habituation: the likely mechanism
Two real mechanisms, not tested apart
Fat: the threshold question
Protein: protecting muscle
Fibre: the science of slowing glucose
Glycaemic index in dogs: useful but limited
Home-cooked diets: the evidence
Diarrhoea: what the trials show
Reading the ingredients list: what to watch for and why
Added sugars
Artificial colourings
Chemical preservatives
Advanced glycation end products: the processing problem
References

Chapter 4: What the Numbers Really Mean

The three types of reading, and why they are not interchangeable
The pre-injection reading
The nadir
Random or spot readings
Curve shapes: what each pattern means
Well controlled
Underdose
Overdose, or Somogyi rebound
Short duration of action
Prolonged duration, or overlap risk
Fructosamine: what it measures and where it falls short
Canine HbA1c: the longer term marker now becoming available
The renal glucose threshold: why urine testing cannot replace blood monitoring
References

Chapter 5: Concurrent Conditions and Insulin Resistance

- Why concurrent conditions matter disproportionately
- Urinary tract infections
  - *Why diabetic dogs are uniquely vulnerable*
  - *The silent infection problem*
  - *The antibiotic lag*
  - *How to monitor*
- Cushing's disease (hyperadrenocorticism)
  - *What it is*
  - *Why it causes insulin resistance: the mechanism*
  - *The diagnostic challenge: signs shared with diabetes*
  - *Breeds at elevated risk*
  - *Diagnostic tests*
  - *Why concurrent Cushing's and diabetes is particularly difficult to manage*
- Hypothyroidism (underactive thyroid)
  - *What it is and why it matters in diabetic dogs*
  - *Clinical signs*
  - *Breeds at elevated risk*
  - *Diagnosis, and the euthyroid sick syndrome pitfall*
  - *Treatment and diabetes interaction*
- Obesity
  - *Mechanism: adipokines and insulin resistance*
  - *Why many diabetic dogs arrive overweight, and why it matters*
  - *Exercise as an active lever on insulin sensitivity*
- Unspayed females
- Cortisol and the acute stress response
- Pancreatitis as a concurrent condition
- A practical framework for unexplained resistance
- References

Chapter 6: Complications, the Science

- Cataracts
  - *Why dogs are particularly vulnerable*
  - *The aldose reductase (polyol) pathway*
  - *Lens-induced uveitis: the complication within the complication*
  - *Prevention, and realistic expectations*
- Urinary tract infections as a long-term complication
  - *The chronic burden*
  - *Ascending infection and pyelonephritis*
- Pancreatitis as a long-term complication and bidirectional relationship

How diabetes perpetuates pancreatitis risk
Exocrine pancreatic insufficiency (EPI) as an outcome
Sarcopenia (muscle wastage) as a long-term complication
The progressive trajectory
Functional consequences in the older dog
The muscle-glucose-insulin feedback loop
Diabetic neuropathy
What is established: subclinical electrophysiological changes
The mechanism
What owners may observe, framed with appropriate uncertainty
Autonomic neuropathy
An emerging option worth knowing about: SGLT2 inhibitors
References

Chapter 7: Prognosis, Quality of Life, and the Long View

Survival data: what the studies actually show
The large population study
A referral hospital cohort with precise outcome data
What the survival curve actually means
Outcome predictors
Quality of life: what the research shows
The QoL instrument for diabetic dogs
The HHHHHMM scale
What good actually looks like in a stable diabetic dog
Caregiver burden
The anchor study and what it established
Subsequent replications
The prevalence of caregiver burden
What caregiver burden looks and feels like
What helps
The longer view: what owners report
References

Chapter 8: The Cost of Care

The real stakes
The spectrum of care principle
Insulin
There is no single best insulin
Once-daily dosing: a real, evidence-backed possibility for some dogs
Insulin degludec: an emerging once-daily option

On insulin in-use periods and waste
Diet
Monitoring
Glucose meters
Veterinary visits
References

PART ONE: THE FIRST NINETY DAYS

Chapter 1: The Diagnosis

What just happened, what it means, and why this is not the end.

If your dog has just been diagnosed with diabetes mellitus, you are probably feeling one of two things: frightened, or numb. Possibly both. The words landed, the vet said things you tried to follow, and now you are at home with a dog who looks the same as he always has, and a future that suddenly looks very different.

The first thing to say is the one that matters most: this is manageable. Dogs live well with diabetes. Not despite it, not in spite of heroic effort, but because once you understand what the condition requires and build a routine around it, daily life with a diabetic dog is not dramatically different from daily life with a healthy one. The routine becomes normal. The injections become normal. Most owners, a few months in, describe it that way: normal.

The second thing to say is that you will not be ready for all of it immediately, and you don't need to be. This chapter covers what you need to understand right now. The detail comes later, when you have the headspace for it.

What diabetes actually is

Your dog's pancreas has stopped producing enough insulin, or in some cases has stopped producing it altogether. Insulin is the hormone that allows cells to take glucose from the bloodstream and use it for energy. Without it, glucose accumulates in the blood while the body's cells are effectively starving, unable to access the fuel that's right in front of them. The body responds by breaking down fat and muscle instead, and by attempting to flush the excess glucose out through the kidneys, which is why a diabetic dog drinks so much and urinates so frequently. The excessive thirst, the accidents indoors, the weight loss despite a normal appetite: these are all the same mechanism.

Almost all diabetic dogs have what would be called Type 1 diabetes in human medicine: the insulin-producing cells are gone or

non-functional, and they are not coming back. This means insulin replacement for life. There is no dietary fix, no supplement, no alternative. But insulin therapy works. It works well.

Why your dog is still weeing at night

This is usually the thing that feels most urgent in the first days, so it is worth addressing directly.

Starting insulin does not immediately resolve the symptoms. The insulin dose your vet has prescribed is a cautious starting point, deliberately on the low side, because too little insulin causes problems gradually, while too much can cause a hypoglycaemic crisis that can kill a dog within hours. The dose will be adjusted upward over the coming weeks as your vet reviews glucose curves and response. During this period, your dog's blood glucose will still be elevated enough that the kidneys are still working to clear it, and the drinking and night-time urination will continue.

This is worth saying plainly, because it is the point where new owners are most tempted to push for a faster fix: your vet increasing the dose slowly is not caution for its own sake. A dog that is a little too high for a few more weeks is uncomfortable and inconvenient. A dog whose dose is pushed up too fast can crash into a hypoglycaemic crisis with far less warning. If the accidents are still happening after a week or two and you are tempted to ask for a bigger jump in dose, ask instead for a glucose curve: that tells your vet what is actually happening, rather than guessing from symptoms alone.

Most owners see meaningful improvement within one to two weeks. Full resolution typically takes four to six weeks, once the dog reaches a stable, well-controlled dose. It will get better. It is going to require some patience and some floor-cleaning in the meantime.

The prognosis

The honest picture: the highest-risk period is the first six months after diagnosis, while you and your vet are still finding the right

dose and routine. Dogs that get through that period stable and well controlled do markedly better: one pilot study following primary-care dogs found that those who stabilised within the first three months went on to survive roughly eight times longer than those who didn't (Cartwright et al., 2019). That was a small study of 40 dogs whose records were reviewed afterwards, so it shows an association, not proof that early stability was the cause: dogs that settle quickly may simply have been the less complicated cases. Diabetes itself, well managed, generally isn't what shortens a dog's life, though poorly controlled diabetes can. What matters more is how well it's controlled, and the complications that come with poor control or bad luck, such as cataracts, recurring infections, and pancreatitis. We cover all of that fully in Chapter 8.

The honest answer to "how long will my dog live?" is: we don't know, and the first six months matter more to that answer than almost anything else. Age, breed, and concurrent conditions matter too. What you are doing right now, by learning how to manage this properly, is giving your dog the best possible chance of getting through the hard part and into the years beyond it. That is all any owner can do.

What the vet has prescribed and why

Your vet will have started your dog on an insulin specifically formulated for dogs. In most of the world this is Caninsulin, a porcine (pig-derived) insulin that closely matches the structure of canine insulin, which is why it works so well in dogs. In the United States it is marketed as Vetsulin. It is the same product. This matters for a reason we cover fully in the next chapter: it is a U-40 concentration insulin, meaning 40 units per millilitre, and it must be used with U-40 syringes. For now, file that detail away, just make sure you use the syringes your vet or pharmacy has supplied, and do not substitute others.

The starting dose will feel tentative. That is intentional. The first few weeks are about establishing how your dog responds, not

hitting a target straight away. Expect vet appointments, expect dose adjustments, and expect to be asked to perform a glucose curve at home. Chapter 7 explains exactly how to do that.

What to do today

If you have just come from the vet with a diagnosis and a bottle of insulin, these are the only things that matter today:

- Understand the feeding and injection schedule your vet has given you, and follow it consistently. The same food, the same amount, at the same times. Consistency is the foundation of diabetic control.
- Know the signs of hypoglycaemia, low blood sugar, and know what to do if you see them. This is the one emergency that can develop quickly. We cover it fully in Chapter 3, and it is worth reading that chapter today, before anything else goes wrong.
- Keep a notebook or use the app. Write down every reading, every meal, every injection. You will want this record at every vet appointment, and you will find yourself grateful for it.

Everything else can wait until tomorrow.

Sources for this chapter: 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs, Today's Veterinary Practice, JAVMA, MSD Animal Health, Cornell University College of Veterinary Medicine; Cartwright H, Wardell-Lane E, Kenny PJ. Pilot study evaluating the monitoring of canine diabetes mellitus in primary care practice. Veterinary Record Open. 2019;6(1):e000250.

Chapter 2: Insulin, What It Is and How to Give It

The injection is the thing most new owners dread most. Within a week, almost all of them have stopped thinking about it.

Dogs tolerate subcutaneous injections, into the loose skin rather than a vein or muscle, remarkably well. The needle is fine, the volume is small, and most dogs barely react. The bigger challenge is not the physical act but understanding what you are injecting and why the details matter. One error in this area can be serious. This chapter covers everything you need before you give the first dose.

What your dog is being injected with

The standard insulin for diabetic dogs across most of the world is porcine insulin, derived from pigs. This is not arbitrary: canine and porcine insulin are chemically identical, which is exactly why it works so well in dogs. It's human insulin that differs from canine, by a single amino acid, at a position called B30. It is sold as Caninsulin in the UK, Europe, Australia, Canada and most other countries, and as Vetsulin in the United States. The product is identical.

Dogs and humans handle insulin differently at a physiological level, not dramatically, but enough that products and equipment built for one don't always translate cleanly to the other. That's part of the reason dog-specific insulins and dog-specific glucose meters exist, and we'll go into exactly why in Part 2. For now, the practical point is simpler: use what your vet has prescribed, and never assume a human product or device will behave the same way in a dog.

None of that rules out a human insulin when your vet has chosen one deliberately. Your vet may have started your dog on something other than Caninsulin or Vetsulin, such as NPH or a once-daily basal insulin like Toujeo or Tresiba, for reasons of cost, your dog's routine, or how your dog has responded. The 2026 AAHA guidelines list

these as recognised options, not a sign of a corner being cut, though each has its own characteristics (described below). Chapter 9 covers the cost side. What matters is knowing exactly which insulin and concentration you were given, because the syringe, mixing and timing advice in this chapter differs between them.

This chapter focuses on Caninsulin, which is what the vast majority of owners will be using, but several other insulins are also in regular use, covered shortly.

As a rule of geography: Caninsulin (U-40) is the standard in the UK, the rest of Europe, Australia, Canada and more than thirty other countries. U-100 human analogues turn up mostly in the United States, where Vetsulin isn't always a vet's first choice. If you were diagnosed outside the US, your insulin is probably U-40, but read the concentration on the vial rather than assuming, and if in doubt, ask.

Other insulins your vet may prescribe

While Caninsulin/Vetsulin is the most widely used insulin for diabetic dogs, several others are in regular veterinary use and your dog may be prescribed one of them, either from the outset or if Caninsulin does not achieve adequate control. The main ones are:

- NPH (Neutral Protamine Hagedorn): a human insulin sold under the brand names Humulin N and Novolin N, and in US Walmart stores as ReliOn-N, the Walmart-dispensed form of Novolin N. U-100, intermediate-acting, given twice daily. Historically widely used in North America, where it's inexpensive and readily available, though its duration of action in dogs is often under twelve hours, meaning less complete coverage and a real risk of a glucose spike between doses, and many specialists now favour other options where they're accessible. The 2026 AAHA guidelines nonetheless say that task force members recommend either NPH or Vetsulin as a good first-choice insulin for dogs.

- Detemir (Levemir): a long-acting human insulin analogue, U-100, typically given twice daily in dogs. Notable for being significantly more potent per unit than other insulins in dogs, roughly four times as potent, meaning starting doses are much lower than owners might expect. Used particularly in dogs that are poorly controlled on intermediate-acting insulins, or where concurrent illness complicates regulation. Its use in dogs has been growing as vets look for alternatives to shorter-acting insulins like NPH.
- Glargine (Lantus, U-100): another long-acting human analogue. More commonly used in cats than dogs. The 2026 AAHA guidelines list it in their table of insulins as a potential option in dogs, though it is not approved for veterinary use, and whether it suits your dog is for your vet to judge.

Glargine U-300 (Toujeo) and degludec (Tresiba): newer, more concentrated basal insulins, increasingly used as a once-daily alternative that doesn't need to be timed to meals the way Caninsulin does. Growing evidence supports this approach in dogs; see Part 2 for detail. Some dogs on these insulins need one injection a day and some need two, and a glucose sensor is the quickest way to find out which, so the 2026 AAHA guidelines recommend that your vet fits one when the insulin is started (Chapter 6). If your vet has prescribed one of these, ask which of this book's feeding-timing rules still apply to you, some won't.

- ProZinc (Protamine Zinc Insulin): U-40, licensed for veterinary use and FDA-approved for dogs and cats. Can sometimes be given once daily in dogs, which suits some owners and some dogs' insulin requirements.

All of these insulins differ in concentration, duration of action, mixing instructions, and starting dose. The U-40/U-100 distinction covered above applies here too: NPH, detemir, and glargine are all U-100, requiring U-100 syringes. ProZinc is U-40 like Caninsulin. The specific properties of each, and how your vet decides between them, are covered in depth in Part 2.

U-40 and U-100: what this means and why it matters

Caninsulin is a U-40 insulin, 40 units per millilitre. Most human insulins are U-100, 100 units per millilitre. This matters because the syringe you use must be matched to the insulin, and if you use the wrong syringe without accounting for the difference, the dose will be wrong.

In most countries, U-40 syringes are the standard supplied with Caninsulin and are what almost all owners use. Draw up the prescribed number of units on the U-40 syringe and inject. It's straightforward.

> **Safety warning:** never use a U-100 syringe with U-40 insulin, or a U-40 syringe with U-100 insulin, unless your vet has told you to and has written down where to draw the dose. The safest course is always a syringe made for the concentration of your insulin. Get it wrong and your dog receives 2.5 times too much or 2.5 times too little.

U-100 syringes can be used with Caninsulin, but only if you apply the conversion: multiply the intended dose by 2.5 to find where to draw on the U-100 syringe. If the prescribed dose is 10 units, draw to the 25 mark on the U-100 syringe. The dose your dog receives is still 10 units. You are measuring the same amount of a more dilute insulin into a syringe calibrated for a more concentrated one. Some owners end up in this situation because U-40 syringes are not universally available; in parts of Europe and elsewhere they can be difficult to source. Treat the conversion as a fallback, not a routine option. If the right syringe is not available, check with your vet or pharmacist before giving the dose, and if you do use a U-100 syringe, write the converted mark down where everyone who gives an injection can see it.

The critical point either way: never change syringe type without recalculating. If someone else gives your dog an injection, a family member or a boarding kennel, they need to know which system is in

use. A dog receiving 2.5 times the intended dose of insulin can be in serious danger within hours.

The VetPen is a third option: a dial-up pen device designed for Caninsulin cartridges that eliminates syringe drawing entirely. If you find syringe administration difficult, ask your vet whether this suits your situation. Glargine and detemir have their own manufacturer pen devices too, carried over from their use in human medicine, which some owners find easier and more precise than drawing up a syringe; ask your vet whether one is available for your dog's insulin. The 2026 AAHA guidelines add that glargine U-300 (Toujeo) and degludec U-200 must be given with the pen supplied, because syringes for those concentrations do not exist.

Preparing the dose

Before drawing up, the vial must be mixed. Caninsulin is a suspension: the insulin particles settle out of solution and must be redistributed before each use. The manufacturer's instruction is to shake the vial vigorously until the liquid is uniformly milky, then allow the foam to settle before drawing up.

This is different from the guidance for some other insulins: glargine and detemir must be rolled gently and must never be shaken. If your dog is ever switched to a different insulin type, check the mixing instructions for that product specifically before use.

Draw up the dose with a fresh syringe. Hold the vial upside down, insert the needle through the rubber stopper, and draw the plunger back to the prescribed mark. Check for air bubbles: tap the syringe gently and expel them before injecting.

Syringe size matters too, especially for small doses. The 2026 AAHA guidelines say that 0.3 mL and 0.5 mL insulin syringes, or pens, give the most accurate dosing, particularly when the dose is under 5 units. A narrower barrel spreads the markings further apart, so a single unit is easier to see and to draw up. If your dog's dose is small and you are using a 1 mL syringe, ask your vet whether a smaller syringe, or a pen, is available for your insulin, and check that

it matches the concentration of your insulin (see U-40 and U-100, above).

Injection technique

Caninsulin is given subcutaneously, into the fatty layer beneath the skin. The scruff of the neck, the loose skin along the back and sides of the neck, and the flanks are the standard sites. Rotate between sites to avoid scar tissue building up in one area.

1. Tent a fold of skin by pinching it loosely; the scruff works well for most dogs.
2. Insert the needle at roughly a 45-degree angle into the base of the tent, bevel facing up. The bevel is the angled opening at the needle's tip; with it facing up, the sharpest edge slides under the skin rather than punching straight through it, which is noticeably less uncomfortable for your dog.
3. Depress the plunger smoothly and fully.
4. Hold the needle in place for two or three seconds before withdrawing. This allows the insulin to disperse and prevents it tracking back out along the needle path.
5. Withdraw and release the skin. Gentle pressure on the site afterwards is fine.

On needle choice: a higher gauge number means a finer needle. 32g is very fine and comfortable for the dog. On length, 8mm is sufficient when injecting into a properly tented skin fold on the scruff, where the folded skin brings the subcutaneous layer within reach. For injections into flatter sites on a larger dog, a 12.7mm needle gives more confidence that the insulin lands in the subcutaneous layer rather than the skin itself. If you notice variable glucose control that you cannot otherwise explain, needle length and injection depth are worth reviewing with your vet.

The standard pet syringe is a U-40 syringe with a 29 gauge, 12.7mm needle. Finer needles (30 or 31 gauge) and shorter ones (6 to 8mm) are common owner practice and a sensible thing to try for a small or sensitive dog, but no veterinary study has compared needle

sizes in dogs, so ask your vet or pharmacist which suits. Whatever you choose, the syringe must match the insulin: U-40 syringes for U-40 insulin such as Caninsulin or Vetsulin, U-100 syringes for U-100 insulin. A pen takes only the needles made for it.

Dispose of used needles in a sharps container. Do not recap and reuse: needles dull after a single use and a blunt needle is more uncomfortable for the dog.

Timing

Caninsulin is usually given every twelve hours, immediately after a meal. The sequence is always: feed first, confirm the dog has eaten, then inject. The twelve-hour interval matters: drifting the timing progressively erodes glucose control. Choose times you can maintain reliably every day.

Making injections routine

The mechanics of the injection matter less than making it something your dog accepts calmly, twice a day, indefinitely. A dog that tenses, moves, or has to be restrained makes every injection harder and increases the chance of a poor result. A dog that associates the injection with something good makes it easy.

I know of two approaches that work well in practice. The first is to inject while the dog is eating: the distraction of food means most dogs barely register the needle. Many forum owners use this method from day one and find it reliable throughout.

The second approach, and the one I use with Bryce, is to build a specific post-meal ritual. Immediately after he finishes eating, he gets a small treat, I call it jab time, and I give the injection while he is focused on that. It took very little time for him to make the association. Now he comes to me as soon as his bowl is empty, ready for his treat. He initiates it. The injection is almost incidental.

Either method works. What matters is consistency: the same cue, the same sequence, every time. Dogs find routine reassuring, and a dog that knows exactly what is coming is a dog that doesn't resist it.

If twice-daily injections are proving genuinely difficult, for you or for your dog, it's worth knowing there's another option some vets now use: a once-daily basal insulin such as Toujeo or Tresiba, which doesn't need to be given at a fixed mealtime the way Caninsulin does. It isn't right for every dog, and the decision sits with your vet, but if the twice-daily rhythm is the main source of stress in all this, it's a reasonable thing to raise at your next appointment.

One practical advantage of the post-meal treat method: because the dog finishes the meal before the treat appears, you know with certainty how much was eaten before you decide on the dose. If the bowl is empty, full dose. If it isn't, adjust accordingly before reaching for the syringe.

One more thing worth knowing: your dog is reading you as closely as you're reading them. There's good evidence that dogs' stress levels track their owners' over the long term, and that dogs can pick up the actual scent of a stressed person and become more anxious in response. You don't need to be relaxed every day, that's not realistic, and no one's asking. But for the two moments a day that matter most, the injection and the testing, a calm, unhurried manner genuinely helps your dog as much as it helps you.

The rule you must know before anything goes wrong

If your dog does not eat, give roughly a third of the usual dose, not the full amount and not none at all. If your dog eats half the meal, give half the dose. Below half a meal, the dose doesn't keep dropping in step the same way, food eaten stops being the main thing driving the calculation, so the reduction flattens out rather than continuing straight down toward nothing. The app's insulin calculator works out the exact figure for whatever your dog actually ate, rather than you having to judge it.

This rule, like the timing above, is built around Caninsulin and insulins like it, where the dose and the meal are tied together. It doesn't hold in the same way for a basal insulin such as Toujeo or Tresiba, where skipping a meal doesn't carry the same risk. If you're

not certain which applies to your dog, ask your vet how to handle a missed or partial meal for your insulin type.

The dose is always proportional to what was eaten, but it should never drop to zero. A full dose with nothing to offset it can send glucose dangerously low, but withholding insulin completely is not the safe alternative it looks like: the liver keeps releasing glucose into the blood whether or not your dog has eaten, and it is the absence of insulin itself, not just a high reading, that can tip a dog into diabetic ketoacidosis. Chapter 3 covers emergency response in full. Read it today.

The rule in this book is not the same as the one in the 2026 AAHA guidelines, and you should know the difference. The guidelines are simpler: give the full dose once your dog has eaten at least half of the meal, and half the usual dose if they ate less than half, including nothing at all. If your vet has given you a plan for missed meals, follow your vet's plan, which may well be the AAHA rule.

I use a more cautious rule, and you should know why. The first reason is safety. A dog left a little high for one meal is uncomfortable but safe until the next dose puts it right, while a dog given more insulin than it needs can become dangerously low within hours, and given the choice I would always rather make the safe mistake. Part 2, Chapter 1 sets out the same reasoning for starting doses. The second reason is experience. In my own dog's case a half dose with no meal eaten led to a hypo, and for years I, and other owners I know, have given a dose in proportion to the meal: 75% of the dose for a meal that was 75% eaten. The third reason is practicality. The dose follows the bowl, with no sudden jump at the halfway mark. This is my judgement, not the result of a trial, so talk it through with your vet before you ever need it.

A dog that refuses food occasionally is not usually a crisis, provided a reduced dose is still given rather than none. Once you have given the reduced dose, don't make up for the missed meal with extra food or treats before the next scheduled meal. That is the advice in the 2026 AAHA guidelines, and it makes sense: the dose

was chosen to match what your dog actually ate, and topping up afterwards works against it. The exception is a dog showing signs of a low, who needs sugar and food straight away (Chapter 3). A dog that is vomiting, or goes without eating properly for more than twelve hours, needs a vet call; reduced appetite and vomiting can be signs of something that dose adjustment alone will not fix. The 2026 AAHA guidelines also say to contact your vet if your dog eats less than half of two meals in a row.

If your dog is on Toujeo, or another long-acting basal insulin, a single low glucose reading doesn't call for the same reaction as it would on Caninsulin. Caninsulin peaks around a particular meal, so a low reading close to that peak often does mean adjusting that dose. Toujeo works differently: it releases slowly and evenly over the whole day, without one clear peak, so one low reading on its own isn't usually a sign that today's dose needs to be skipped or halved.

What matters more is the pattern. If low readings keep turning up over several days, that's worth flagging to your vet, who may gradually adjust the standing dose based on that pattern rather than reacting to a single number. In the meantime, keep watching for actual signs of hypoglycaemia, wobbliness, disorientation, weakness, regardless of what the number says, and contact your vet straightaway if you see them. And if you're reading glucose from a sensor like a Libre rather than a blood test, bear in mind sensors are least accurate at the low end, so it's worth confirming a genuinely low reading with a blood glucometer before acting on it.

Storing insulin

Unopened Caninsulin should be kept in the fridge at 2 to 8 degrees Celsius. Once opened, it does not need to be returned to the fridge between uses, provided it is stored below 25 degrees Celsius and kept out of direct sunlight, worth using a cool bag in hot weather, since room temperature alone can exceed that in summer in warmer climates. Temperatures above 30 degrees Celsius degrade it

significantly faster. Freezing destroys it entirely and a frozen vial must be discarded.

Mark the date of first use on the vial and discard after 42 days, regardless of how much remains. This is the manufacturer's in-use period: once a vial is open, the insulin degrades with time whether used or not. At typical doses most vials will be finished well before 42 days, but the rule applies regardless.

The 2026 AAHA guidelines are a little more relaxed than the label for a vial that has been kept in the fridge throughout and handled carefully, but they attach conditions and expect the decision to be made with your vet. Chapter 9 sets out exactly what they say, which matters if the cost of replacing insulin every six weeks is a strain. Until you have agreed it with your vet, follow the label.

Sources for this chapter: 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs, MSD Animal Health, Merck Animal Health, Canine Diabetes Wiki, ADW Diabetes, VCA, PMC, JAVMA, Zoetis; Berg AS, Jacob ME, Mamo LB, et al. Refrigerated multi-dose insulin vials remain sterile through 6 months of use. J Small Anim Pract. 2023;64(12):776–80; Merck Animal Health, Vetsulin US package insert; BD Pet Syringes product information.

Chapter 3: When Things Go Wrong

There are two emergencies a diabetic dog owner needs to recognise, and they're opposites: too much insulin (hypoglycaemia) versus too little (diabetic ketoacidosis, or DKA). They need completely different responses, and confusing them is dangerous. This chapter is built around telling them apart fast, then telling you exactly what to do for each.

Hypoglycaemia: too much insulin, too little glucose

Hypoglycaemia means blood glucose has dropped below roughly 3.3 mmol/L (60 mg/dL). It's the fastest-moving danger in diabetic dog care, onset can go from mild signs to collapse within minutes, which is why recognising it early matters more here than almost anywhere else in this book.

Recognise it

Signs get more serious as glucose keeps falling:

- Early: lethargy, unusual tiredness, seeming uninterested in what's around them.
- Moderate: wobbliness, trembling, muscle twitching, confusion, struggling to walk straight.
- Severe: seizures, collapse, unconsciousness.

Respond, if your dog is conscious

- If the signs are still at the early stage and your dog is alert and able to eat normally, offer a small meal that is rich in carbohydrate straight away: rice, bread, pasta or their normal food, whichever they will take.
- If the signs have reached the moderate stage (wobbliness, trembling, twitching, confusion), if your dog seems too disoriented to eat safely, or if they simply won't eat, rub honey, corn syrup, or glucose gel between the cheek and the gum with a cotton bud (a cotton-tipped swab) or the tip of a needle-free

syringe, never your fingers. The 2026 AAHA guidelines suggest soaking 3 to 5 cotton-tipped swabs in 1 to 2 teaspoons of corn syrup; some experts use up to 0.25 mL for each kilogram your dog weighs, given from a syringe, which for a 20 kg dog is about a teaspoon. These sugars absorb straight through the gum tissue, so your dog doesn't need to swallow. Rub it along the gum line rather than pouring anything into the mouth, because a dog that is not fully alert can breathe liquid into the lungs.

- Expect improvement within 5 to 10 minutes. If there's no change within 15 minutes, go to an emergency vet. Don't keep waiting and hoping. Improving does not mean the danger has passed: keep watching your dog closely, and read the section on what to do after a hypo episode, below.

Respond, if your dog is unconscious

- Never pour liquid into the mouth of an unconscious dog: there's a real risk it goes into the lungs instead of the stomach.
- Use a cotton bud or the tip of a needle-free syringe to rub honey, syrup or glucose gel gently between the cheek and the gum, in the same amounts as above, a little at a time and never towards the back of the throat, and never with your fingers: a dog in this state can bite without meaning to. Even unconscious, your dog can absorb it through the gum tissue.
- Call an emergency vet immediately. Don't wait to see if they come round first.

I keep honey in a wide-nozzle syringe in the car as well as the kitchen. It means I can get a precise amount onto the gums quickly, without spilling it everywhere in a moment that's already stressful enough.

After a hypo episode

Once your dog is alert and eating again, offer a small meal rather than a large one, and keep offering small amounts over the following

hours to help hold glucose steady. Keep them calm and still: exercise lowers glucose further, the last thing you need right now. Watch closely for several hours in case symptoms return.

Once the low is confirmed, you don't need to wait for the vet to decrease or skip the next scheduled dose, just never increase it: the 2026 AAHA guidelines are clear on this. The episode is telling you the current dose may be too high. Still call your vet as soon as you reasonably can to talk through what happened and review the dose; a repeated hypo is worth a proper review, not just a one-off adjustment.

The emergency kit

Keep glucose sources somewhere you can reach them fast: the kitchen, the car, your travel bag.

- Honey or corn syrup, ready to hand, with cotton buds or a needle-free syringe to apply it.
- Glucose gel (sold for pets, pre-measured in a squeeze tube) as a standardised alternative to honey.
- Ask your vet about a glucagon injection: prescription only, but useful if your dog is unconscious and gum sugar isn't working. Not every owner carries one, but it's worth the conversation.
- Urine ketone test strips: these help you tell a hypo from the very different emergency below.

Why hypos happen

- Your dog didn't eat, but got a full or partial dose anyway.
- The dose is too high for the food or activity that day.
- Unplanned exercise on top of the usual dose.
- Stress and reduced eating, such as travel or a change of routine.
- A dose recently increased, before there's been time to see how your dog responds to it.

It happens to careful owners too. Mine did: a motorway journey, a meal that hadn't been fully eaten, a dose already given before I'd

realised. It rarely comes down to one thing; it's usually two or three ordinary factors stacking up at once.

Diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA): too little insulin

DKA is the opposite problem. When there isn't enough effective insulin, the body can't use glucose for fuel and starts burning fat instead, which produces acidic by-products called ketones. Enough ketones and the blood itself becomes abnormally acidic, affecting every organ system. Unlike hypoglycaemia, this isn't a sudden crash. It builds over hours to days.

Recognise it

The earliest signs, increased thirst, more urination, reduced appetite, lethargy, can look just like ordinary, poorly controlled diabetes, which is part of what makes DKA easy to miss early. What sets it apart as it progresses:

- Repeated vomiting: a key signal, and not something you'd expect to see with hypoglycaemia.
- Diarrhoea, real lethargy, abdominal discomfort, rapid weight loss, dry or tacky-feeling gums.
- Sweet or fruity-smelling breath, and laboured or rapid breathing: signs the body is critical and trying to correct its own acid balance.

The clearest way to tell it apart from a hypo: a hypo happens in minutes, in a dog that seemed fine moments before. DKA builds over hours or days, in a dog that's been "off" for a while, and it comes with vomiting and, usually, a high glucose reading rather than a low one. One reading can mislead, so go by how your dog is as well as the number.

What to do

DKA can't be treated at home. It needs IV fluids, electrolyte correction, and carefully managed insulin in a hospital setting. Don't give extra insulin yourself; this isn't simply a dose problem. Go to an

emergency vet straight away if your dog has vomited more than once, is refusing all food, is unusually lethargic, has sweet-smelling breath, or is breathing rapidly. Don't wait to see if it settles on its own. Caught early, dogs do well; the danger is in waiting.

Testing for ketones at home

Urine ketone test strips are cheap and available from veterinary suppliers. Worth testing if glucose has been persistently above about 16.6 mmol/L (300 mg/dL) across several readings, if your dog is vomiting or off their food, or if something just feels wrong and you can't pin down why. Ketones should always read negative in a well-controlled dog. A trace result is worth a call to your vet and some encouragement to drink; anything moderate or high means an emergency vet, now.

There is also a more sensitive test. Urine strips pick up one kind of ketone (acetoacetate). A handheld blood ketone meter measures another, beta-hydroxybutyrate (BHB), from a small drop of blood, and the 2026 AAHA guidelines say it identifies the early stages of ketosis more accurately than urine strips. The guidelines recommend that veterinary clinics keep one available, so if your dog is ever taken in with suspected DKA, the vet may well use one. Whether a blood ketone meter is worth having at home is a good question for your vet. Either way, strips remain a cheap, useful first check, but because they are less accurate in the early stages, a negative strip does not by itself rule out DKA in a dog who is vomiting or off their food: go by how your dog is, not only by the strip.

Telling the two apart, fast

This is the single most useful thing in this chapter, because the two responses are opposites: sugar for a hypo, the vet for DKA.

- Hypo: sudden, minutes not hours; glucose reading low; your dog was fine just before this.
- DKA: gradual, hours to days; glucose reading usually high; your dog has seemed "off" for a while; vomiting is a strong

signal.

If you're genuinely not sure and your dog is conscious, a small amount of honey on the gums is very unlikely to make DKA worse, and could save a dog who's actually hypoglycaemic. Either way, get to the vet once you've done it. When in doubt, don't wait to decide; act, then call.

Sources for this chapter: 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs, Merck Animal Health, VCA, PMC.

Chapter 4: Feeding Your Diabetic Dog

If there is a single word that defines diabetic dog management, it is consistency. Not perfection. Consistency. The same food, the same quantity, at the same times, every day. This matters more than which brand you choose or whether the food is labelled as diabetic-specific. A dog on an ordinary complete food fed with absolute regularity will almost always be better controlled than a dog on a premium diabetic diet fed erratically.

The reason is straightforward. Caninsulin is given twice daily at fixed times. It begins working at a predictable rate and peaks at a predictable point. When food arrives at the same time in the same quantity, the insulin and the glucose from the meal rise and fall in step. When the food changes, in timing, amount, or composition, the glucose response changes too, and the insulin that was calibrated for the previous routine is now either too much or too little.

This does not mean your dog must eat the same food forever. It means that when you change something, you change one thing at a time, do it gradually, and monitor the response. We will return to this throughout the book.

What to look for in a diabetic dog's food

Three things matter in a food for a diabetic dog: fat content, fibre content, and consistency of composition between batches.

Fat matters because diabetic dogs have an elevated risk of pancreatitis, inflammation of the pancreas that can range from uncomfortable to life-threatening, and high dietary fat is widely suspected to be a trigger. The evidence for that link is weaker than the long-standing advice suggests, and Part 2 looks at it, but keeping fat moderate remains sensible clinical judgement.

A figure you will meet everywhere is a dry matter basis (DMB) fat content of no more than 14%. It is worth being honest about where that comes from, because I could not find it in any guideline. The 2026 AAHA diabetes guidelines give no fat percentage for dogs at

all, and state that dogs with diabetes can do well on any diet that is complete and balanced, fed at consistent times in consistent amounts, and palatable enough that the dog reliably eats it. The European endocrinology bodies do not address diet. The 14% figure appears to be a practical working threshold that has been repeated until it acquired the appearance of a rule.

That does not make it useless. Lower fat is a sensible default for a dog at raised pancreatitis risk, and a threshold gives you something to shop against. But treat it as a rule of thumb rather than a clinical requirement, and do not reject an otherwise suitable food purely because it sits above the line. Your vet's view of your own dog outranks any number in this book.

For dogs with a history of pancreatitis or concurrent pancreatic concerns, the figure that does appear in the veterinary nutrition literature is below 12% DMB. I use 10% in the app as a deliberately conservative margin, not because 10% is itself a published threshold.

The fat percentage on a pet food label is not the figure that matters. It's the as-fed figure, which includes the food's water content, and wet food in particular can look far lower in fat than it actually is once that water is accounted for. The companion app to this book has a DMB checker that converts any label's figures into the true dry matter percentage in seconds. Use it before you commit to a food; the maths behind it is covered in Part 2.

One important exception. If your dog is underweight, which many are at diagnosis, fat restriction is the wrong priority. The 2026 AAHA guidelines are explicit that underweight diabetic dogs should be fed a good-quality maintenance diet or a diabetic diet that is not designed for weight loss, with the goal of restoring weight and muscle. Getting the weight back on comes first. See 'A note on weight' later in this chapter.

Fibre is often recommended on the basis that it slows the rise in blood glucose after a meal, and there is some evidence for it. Two small crossover studies, of eleven and seven dogs, found improved glycaemic control on high insoluble-fibre diets. A later and larger

study of dogs whose diabetes was already stable found no advantage to high-fibre diets at all.

So the position is that fibre may help, particularly early on, and it is unlikely to hurt. It is not established, and it is not worth agonising over. What matters far more than the fibre figure is that your dog eats the same food, in the same amount, at the same times. If you want to add fibre, plain canned pumpkin or cooked green beans, added to the existing food in a consistent daily amount, is a reasonable way to do it.

One caution if your dog is underweight: fibre is filling, and a high-fibre diet can leave a dog feeling full before they've eaten enough calories to rebuild lost weight. If weight gain is the priority right now, don't chase fibre figures; that can wait until your dog is back to a healthy weight.

Grain-free foods are not automatically better for diabetic dogs. Some replace grains with high-starch alternatives, often potato or pea starch, that spike glucose just as fast, or faster. This is worth taking further than the grain-free label itself: a food's total digestible carbohydrate matters as much as its fat content for glucose control, and a food engineered to hit a low fat percentage has often made up the calories with extra starch to do it. Fat and fibre are not the whole picture. If a food's ingredient list is heavy with starches, potato, pea, tapioca or rice appearing more than once or high on the list, that's worth weighing too, not just the fat number or the grain-free claim. Part 2 covers why digestible carbohydrate matters as much as fat for glucose control.

One more point comes from the 2026 AAHA guidelines. They say canned (wet) food has advantages over dry for diabetic dogs: fewer simple carbohydrates, more water and fewer calories per gram. That is a general nutritional argument rather than the result of a trial in diabetic dogs; the source the guidelines give is a review article. The same guidelines still say a diabetic dog can do well on any complete and balanced diet fed consistently, so if your dog is doing well on dry food, that is not a reason to change. If you are choosing a food, it

is a point in wet food's favour. Any change of food needs the gradual approach in Chapter 5, and a word with your vet.

Reading a pet food label

The information you need is on every label but not always in a useful form. The guaranteed analysis panel gives fat, protein, moisture, and crude fibre as percentages. That's the source data behind the DMB checker in the app.

Avoid foods where sugar, syrup, molasses, or honey appear in the ingredients list. These are added for palatability and serve no nutritional purpose in a diabetic dog's diet.

Watch the order of ingredients too. They're listed by weight, so whatever comes first makes up the largest share of the food. Unnamed "cereals" at the top of the list is worth noticing: cereals are mostly starch, which digests to glucose, and when the cereal is not named the recipe can change from batch to batch, which works against consistency. "Meat and animal derivatives" is another one to watch for; it's a legal category name that tells you nothing about which parts of which animal are actually in the tin, only that some minimum percentage is present. And keep an eye out for the term "various sugars" specifically. It's a legally permitted catch all that confirms sugar is in there somewhere without telling you what kind. An ingredient list is useful context, but it cannot tell you how digestible a food is or how much it will raise glucose, so read it alongside the guaranteed analysis. Formulations change too, so it's worth checking the actual label on the bag in front of you rather than relying on what you remember about a brand from a year or two ago.

Treats: the honest answer

The honest answer is that treats complicate diabetic management, and the fewer you give the easier control becomes. Every treat introduces glucose that was not accounted for in the insulin calculation. An occasional carrot stick given at the same time every

day is a manageable variable. A handful of commercial dog treats given randomly throughout the day is not.

That said, treats serve real purposes: training, reward, routine, and the quality of life that comes from a dog enjoying something. Removing them entirely is not always necessary or kind. The rules are:

- Small amounts only: as a general guideline for any dog, treats should represent no more than 10% of daily calorie intake, and what counts as small depends heavily on your dog's size, a treat sized for a Chihuahua is a very different thing from one sized for a German Shepherd.
- Always give after a meal, never on an empty stomach.
- Keep the type and quantity consistent day to day so the glucose impact is predictable.
- Choose low-fat, low-sugar options.

The safest treat options for a diabetic dog are plain vegetables: green beans, carrot sticks, broccoli florets, cucumber. These are low in calories and sugar, high in fibre, and most dogs accept them readily. Small pieces of plain cooked chicken or other lean meat are also suitable. If you are using a commercial dog biscuit, as I do with Bryce for the post-injection ritual described in the previous chapter, choose the smallest, plainest option available, give it consistently, and account for it as part of the daily intake.

What to avoid in treats: anything containing added sugar, honey, or syrup; high-fat chews; rawhide; anything with xylitol, an artificial sweetener found in some foods and treats that is acutely toxic to dogs even in small quantities. Check treat ingredients as carefully as you check food labels.

Foods that must never be given

Some foods are toxic to dogs regardless of diabetes. These include: chocolate and cocoa products; grapes and raisins; onions and garlic in any form, raw, cooked, or powdered; macadamia nuts; and anything containing xylitol. Diabetes does not change this list, but it

adds an additional reason to be scrupulous about what the dog has access to. An upset stomach or episode of vomiting in a diabetic dog disrupts the insulin-food balance and can turn a minor incident into a more serious one.

When to feed

Feed twice daily, twelve hours apart, timed to match the insulin injections, if your dog is on Caninsulin or a similar insulin that works for around twelve hours. The sequence is always food first, then insulin, as covered in Chapter 2. The quantity at each meal should be equal. Splitting the daily ration unevenly, a larger breakfast and a smaller dinner for example, means the glucose load at each meal is different, which works against stable control.

If your dog is on a once-daily basal insulin instead, Toujeo or Tresiba are the two in current use, this section works differently, and in some ways more simply. The injection doesn't need to happen at a meal at all. You can feed two meals, three, or spread the same measured daily amount over more small meals, at whatever times suit your household, without the same risk of mistiming insulin against food. Your vet will tell you whether this applies to your dog; if you're not sure which kind of insulin your dog is on, that's worth asking, since it changes a fair amount of the advice in this chapter.

If your dog is a slow eater or a grazer, pick up the bowl once the meal is finished rather than leaving food down. Free-choice feeding is not compatible with twice-daily insulin. You cannot calibrate the dose if you do not know when or how much the dog has eaten.

Do not feed extra food between meals to try to raise a glucose reading that looks low. A genuinely low reading needs to be treated as a possible hypoglycaemia event. Chapter 3 covers this. Adding food outside the normal schedule disrupts the routine without reliably solving the problem.

Keep exercise as consistent as the food: the same sort of walk at about the same time most days, because exercise lowers glucose, and an unusually long or hard walk on top of the usual dose is one of the

ordinary causes of a low (Chapter 3). The 2026 AAHA guidelines add that a walk after meals may help reduce the rise in glucose that follows eating. The study they cite was designed to test whether exercise lowers glucose at all, not walks after meals as such, and it was small and preliminary: five diabetic dogs on NPH insulin, whose glucose was lower for up to about two hours after a 30-minute walk. So treat it as a useful pattern to know about, not a rule to build the day around.

A note on weight

Uncontrolled diabetes causes weight loss even when the dog is eating well, because without insulin the body cannot use glucose and turns to breaking down fat and muscle instead. Once insulin therapy begins and control improves, weight typically stabilises and may increase.

An underweight diabetic dog needs adequate calories and adequate protein, not a reduced diet. Protein is particularly important because uncontrolled diabetes accelerates muscle loss, and rebuilding that muscle requires sufficient dietary protein. Older dogs are already prone to muscle wasting as a normal feature of ageing; a diabetic older dog needs protein maintained or increased, not restricted. We return to this in Chapter 8.

An overweight diabetic dog benefits from gradual weight reduction towards an ideal body weight, as excess weight increases insulin resistance and makes control harder. Gradual is the key word: rapid weight loss in a diabetic dog complicates glucose management significantly. The 2026 AAHA guidelines suggest aiming for a loss of 1 to 2% of body weight a week and say it should not exceed 2%: for a 20 kg dog, that is 200 to 400 grams a week. They also stress telling planned weight loss apart from weight loss that is not planned, which can mean the diabetes is not yet controlled. If your dog is losing weight and you did not plan it, tell your vet.

Sources for this chapter: 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs, Purina Institute, Cornell University College of Veterinary Medicine; Parker VJ, Hill RC. Nutritional management of cats and dogs with diabetes mellitus. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract. 2023;53(3):657–74;

Mampe JR, Stefanovski D, Hess RS. Aerobic exercise decreases interstitial glucose concentrations up to 2 h after exercise in dogs with insulin-treated diabetes mellitus: a preliminary study. Front Vet Sci. 2025;12:1595952.

Chapter 5: Building Your Dog's Meal Plan

The core principle

Feed for the weight you want your dog to be, not the weight they are right now. This matters most for overweight diabetic dogs, where feeding to current weight simply maintains the excess weight, and the insulin resistance that comes with it.

Portions need to be measured, not estimated. A kitchen scale, not a measuring cup: volume measures are surprisingly imprecise, especially for kibble, and even small variations in portion size show up in blood glucose stability. Split the daily total into two equal meals, 10 to 12 hours apart, timed to the injections as covered in Chapter 4.

How many calories does your dog need

Vets calculate a diabetic dog's calorie needs the same way they would for any dog of the same size and activity level. Diabetes doesn't change the formula, it just raises the stakes on getting portions consistent. The standard veterinary calculation involves body weight and an activity factor, and isn't simple enough to do reliably in your head. That's exactly what the companion app's Daily Calorie Calculator is for. Enter your dog's weight and activity level and it gives you the daily total and the amount per meal. Treat the result as a starting estimate, not a prescription: adjust it according to your dog's weight, body condition and muscle condition over the following weeks, ideally with your vet's input.

What if your dog isn't at their ideal weight

Vets use a Body Condition Score (BCS) to judge this: a 9-point scale based on how easily you can feel the ribs, whether there's a visible waist from above, and an upward tuck to the abdomen from the side. Ideal is a 4 or 5 out of 9. Ask your vet to score your dog at your

next visit if they haven't already; it takes moments and matters more than the number on the scale.

If your dog is overweight, calorie calculations should be based on their ideal weight, not their current one. Your vet can help you estimate this, and the app's calculator will do the same once you tell it the target. Weight loss in a diabetic dog should always be gradual; rapid loss complicates glucose management rather than helping it.

If your dog is underweight, common at diagnosis, from the muscle and fat breakdown covered in Chapter 4, the opposite applies. Calories need to support weight restoration, not restriction. Don't cut back because your instinct says a diabetic dog should eat less; an underweight diabetic dog needs to eat enough to rebuild.

Using the app for this chapter

Once you have your dog's daily calorie target, the Meal Builder lets you combine up to three foods and see the total calories alongside fat, protein, and fibre on a dry matter basis, checked against your target. The Food Finder filters foods by type and fat level and suggests grams per meal once your dog's weight is set. Between them, these replace the arithmetic entirely: this chapter gives you the principles, the app does the maths. If you cook for your dog, the Home cooking page does the same job for a recipe: it scales your quantities to one meal, tells you how much to cook, and gives the grams per meal once the pot is weighed.

Weighing and switching foods

Weigh your dog at least once or twice a month, on the same scale, and adjust portions if their weight is drifting. In the first weeks after diagnosis, weigh more often if that is practical. A diabetic dog gaining or losing weight without a deliberate change to their diet is worth a call to the vet. It can be an early sign that the dose needs reviewing.

If you do need to switch foods, do it gradually over seven to ten days, mixing old and new in increasing proportions. It's worth

letting your vet know when you change food too, even just a mention at the next visit rather than a special trip, since a different food can shift how the dose behaves and they'll want that context if anything needs adjusting. This protects against digestive upset, and it also protects against a sudden, unexplained shift in glucose control from a composition change happening all at once.

There's a second reason gradual mixing works, and it matters just as much in practice. Dogs decide whether to eat something almost entirely by smell, not taste, and a food that smells completely unfamiliar can make a dog hesitant or simply refuse it, however good it might be for them. Mixing the new food in gradually lets your dog get used to the new smell a little at a time, while the familiar smell of the old food still dominates the bowl. Once your dog has actually eaten and enjoyed the mixture a few times, the new smell stops registering as unfamiliar and the resistance usually fades on its own. If your dog is still refusing a new food even mixed in small amounts, don't force the issue or wait them out: go back to a higher proportion of the old food and slow the transition down further.

Loose stools

Loose stools are common in the first days after a change of food, and they usually settle within a week as the gut gets used to it. If they start soon after a switch, go back to a mix with more of the old food and change over more slowly.

While they last:

- Keep fresh water available all the time. Diarrhoea loses fluid.
- Do not starve your dog to rest the stomach. The insulin goes on working whether or not they eat.
- Keep to the usual meal times, the usual amounts and the usual insulin. If your dog eats only part of a meal, use the Part-meal helper in the app, and never give more insulin than usual.
- Check glucose a little more often. A dog absorbing less of each meal may run lower.

- Do not give human medicines. Loperamide (Imodium) can be dangerous in some breeds, including Collies and Australian Shepherds, and Pepto-Bismol contains an aspirin-like drug and turns stools black, which hides bleeding.

If the diarrhoea persists or keeps coming back, call your vet sooner rather than later.

Go to your vet straight away, whatever the timing, if the diarrhoea comes with vomiting more than once, refusing food, unusual tiredness, blood in the stool or black, tarry stool, signs of pain, sweet-smelling breath or fast breathing. In a diabetic dog these can mean ketoacidosis or pancreatitis (Chapters 3 and 8).

Cooking for your dog

Plenty of owners think about cooking for their dog after a diabetes diagnosis. It can work well, and Part 2, Chapter 3 sets out the research. But not yet. While the insulin dose is still being found, keep the food the same. If the diet changes at the same time, neither you nor your vet can tell whether a change in glucose came from the food or the dose. The dogs in the one trial of home cooking in diabetic dogs had all been diabetic for at least three months, and were stable, before their food was changed.

When your dog is settled and you want to cook, the recipe is the part to get right. Most recipes in books and on websites are missing at least one essential nutrient, usually calcium, and nothing shows for months. Ask your vet to refer you to a veterinary nutritionist, who will write a recipe around foods your dog likes, its diabetes and its weight, and will name the vitamin and mineral supplement and how much to use. Tell them which insulin your dog is on and how many meals it eats a day.

Then keep to it:

- Weigh every ingredient, every time.
- Add the supplement to every batch.
- Do not swap ingredients, oils included, without asking whoever wrote the recipe.

- If your dog refuses an ingredient, ask for a revised recipe rather than leaving it out.
- Have the recipe reviewed once a year, or sooner if your dog's weight or health changes.

Weigh the ingredients raw, as they go into the pan, and weigh the cooked pot at the end. Cooking changes the water, not the calories, so the raw weights and the cooked weight between them give the calories in every portion exactly. Cook a whole number of meals at a time and every meal is the same, which is what the insulin dose needs.

The Home cooking page in the companion app is built for exactly this, and it does far more than the arithmetic. You build the recipe in it, choosing from over 220 foods with figures taken from the UK government's food composition tables and manufacturers' labels, among them more than 80 plain cooking ingredients: raw meats and fish, vegetables, grains, pulses and oils. If something you use is not there, you add it from the pack. As you enter each ingredient by raw weight, the app shows the calories and what the recipe makes in meals for your dog, so you can adjust the quantities before you cook. It then scales the whole recipe, in the same proportions, to exactly one meal, whether your dog eats once a day or twice. Tell it how many meals you want to cook and it gives the weights to buy and cook, scaled up for a week's batch or down for a trial run. Weigh the cooked pot and it gives the grams for each bowl. Every batch is logged, including whether the supplement went in, and a saved recipe is locked against accidental changes. What it cannot do is tell you whether a recipe is complete. That is the nutritionist's job.

When you change over, do it gradually, as above. Check glucose more often for the first two weeks, confirm any low sensor reading with your meter, and tell your vet. Do not change the insulin dose yourself, except to reduce or skip the next dose after a hypo, as Chapter 3 explains.

If you feed raw meat, the same rules on recipe and supplement apply. Raw meat often carries bacteria such as Salmonella, which

dogs usually cope with but can pass on to people, so keep its preparation apart from your own food. Talk to your vet first.

Sources for this chapter: 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs, Merck, VCA, Purina Institute; Tardo AM, Vecchiato CG, Gherlinzoni E, et al. Effect of a homemade diet compared to a commercial diet on glycaemic variability and glycaemic control assessed by continuous glucose monitoring system in diabetic dogs: a randomised crossover study. J Small Anim Pract. 2026;67(2):172–80; Stockman J, Fascetti AJ, Kass PH, Larsen JA. Evaluation of recipes of home-prepared maintenance diets for dogs. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2013;242(11):1500–5; Johnson LN, Linder DE, Heinze CR, Kehs RL, Freeman LM. Evaluation of owner experiences and adherence to home-cooked diet recipes for dogs. J Small Anim Pract. 2016;57(1):23–7.

Chapter 6: Monitoring at Home

Why home monitoring matters

Testing at the vet's is stressful for a dog, and stress raises blood glucose the same way it does in people, so a clinic reading can run higher than what your dog shows day to day. Testing at home, in familiar surroundings, gives you and your vet a truer picture, and lets you build up a full picture of how your dog responds through the day rather than a single snapshot.

Sometimes you'll test just once, as a spot check: confirming your dog isn't dangerously low or unexpectedly high. But your vet will also ask you to run what's called a glucose curve: a full day of readings, often every one to two hours, that maps exactly how your dog's glucose rises and falls in response to a specific meal and insulin dose. That's what tells your vet whether the dose is working, not one number in isolation. Chapter 7 covers how to run one properly. What follows here is what you need to know before you get there.

Choosing a glucometer

Dogs and humans distribute glucose differently between blood plasma and red blood cells: roughly 87.5% in plasma for a dog, against about 58% in a person. A meter calibrated for human blood doesn't know that, and tends to read a dog's glucose lower than it actually is. The 2026 AAHA guidelines state that the Task Force does not recommend human glucometers, because they are not calibrated for dog blood and can give inaccurate readings. That is why a meter that has never been tested on dog blood cannot be trusted as the number to act on. Other researchers, though, have published good work on human meters that were tested against dog blood: the meters vary widely and tend to read low, but a few did well enough to use with care. Part 2, Chapter 2 sets out the studies, and Chapter 9 describes the meters and why some owners choose one on cost.

Several meters are built and calibrated specifically for dogs and cats, accounting for how differently glucose sits in animal blood compared with human blood. AlphaTrak is one of these, and needs only a tiny blood sample, giving a result in seconds. Other genuine veterinary-calibrated options exist too, including AccuTell, G-PET PLUS and Glucocalea from Wellion, and independent testing hasn't found AlphaTrak to be the most accurate of the group, one published comparison actually found AccuTell performed better. It's worth discussing with your vet which meter they'd recommend for your dog specifically. If your vet has given you an older AlphaTrak 2, be aware Zoetis stopped selling its test strips in September 2023, so a move to a current meter is needed regardless of which one you choose.

If you choose a human glucometer, as some owners do on cost, pick one that has been tested against dog blood and tell your vet. Three have been, and each came out best among the human meters in its own published study: the Accu-Chek Aviva Nano, the Accu-Chek Guide and the FreeStyle Optium Neo. Chapter 9 has the figures and the prices. The evidence belongs to the specific model, so a newer model from the same maker is not automatically covered, and models are withdrawn from time to time, so check that the strips are still on sale where you live before you buy. Your vet needs to know which device produced the numbers they're interpreting, because the bias is real, even if it is predictable.

Getting a blood sample

The standard site is the inner margin of the ear. Warm the ear first, hold it gently between your palms for about a minute, to bring blood to the surface and make the drop easier to get. Shaving a small patch of the inner ear margin, as I do with Bryce, makes the vein easier to find and the lancet easier to place accurately.

Prick with a lancet. A dedicated lancing device gives a controlled, consistent depth, though a standard human lancet works free-hand too. Many devices let you set the depth: small dogs may need about

1.0 to 1.8 mm and medium and large dogs at least 1.5 to 2.3 mm, especially where the ear or paw pad is thick (check the leaflet if your device is numbered rather than marked in millimetres). Too shallow and you end up squeezing repeatedly for a drop, which can affect how accurate the reading is, so ask your vet or nurse to show you the right setting for your dog the first time. Squeeze gently around the prick site to raise a small drop, then touch the test strip to the drop rather than smearing it on. Rotate where you prick around the ear margin rather than using the same spot every time.

Some dogs tolerate a paw pad better than the ear, specifically the small, non-weight-bearing pad on the side, not the one they walk on. The skin there is thicker, which is part of why most owners find the ear both easier and better tolerated, but it's worth knowing the alternative exists if your dog objects to ear testing.

A third option is the inside of the upper lip, the buccal mucosa. It sounds unlikely, but AAHA's guidelines list the buccal mucosa among the usual sampling sites, and a small study in nine healthy beagles found lip samples easy to take and well tolerated, with readings that on average matched a blood sample taken from a vein. It can help if you cannot get a good drop from the ear or paw pad, which is the problem the study set out to solve, and some dogs may simply tolerate it better. It is worth asking your vet or nurse to show you the technique first.

Two cautions come from that study. In one dog the lip reading was out by 3.6 mmol/L, a clinically important difference, and the authors warn that readings can be unreliable after glucose has been given by mouth, so after treating a low with honey or gel, recheck from the ear or paw pad rather than the lip. And an ordinary needle-type lancet is better than a blade-type one, which caused noticeable bleeding in two of the dogs.

What the numbers mean

Blood glucose is measured in mmol/L in the UK and most of the world, and in mg/dL in the US. Multiply mmol/L by 18 to convert

between them. In a healthy dog the kidneys hold on to glucose. Once blood glucose climbs past roughly 10 to 12 mmol/L (180 to 200 mg/dL), the kidneys can't reabsorb it all, and it spills into the urine and pulls water with it. That's the mechanism behind the excessive drinking and night-time urination from Chapter 1. Keep glucose consistently below that threshold and the symptoms ease.

The goal isn't a reading that would be normal for a human or a non-diabetic dog. It's a curve that stays in a safe range without dipping dangerously low. The 2026 AAHA guidelines give three figures to hold in mind. The lowest point of the curve, the nadir, should ideally land around 4.4 to 8.3 mmol/L, 80 to 150 mg/dL. For most of the time between injections, glucose should stay below about 11 mmol/L, 200 mg/dL. And readings during the day can climb as high as 16.7 mmol/L, 300 mg/dL, provided glucose is below the 11 mmol/L, 200 mg/dL, line for most of the time, so one high-looking number is not, on its own, a problem. Below roughly 4.4 mmol/L, 80 mg/dL, is under the ideal range and worth telling your vet about even if your dog seems fine, though it does not automatically mean the dose needs to change; Chapter 7 covers this in more detail. Below 3.3 mmol/L, 60 mg/dL, is a hypoglycaemia emergency: Chapter 3 covers exactly what to do.

Flash monitors (FreeStyle Libre and similar)

These sensors measure glucose in the fluid under the skin rather than in blood directly, which means there's a short lag, most noticeable when glucose is rising or falling quickly. In dogs, the sensor sits on the back of the neck or scruff rather than the arm, and can usually stay in place for up to two weeks.

Their use is growing quickly, and FurCarer is another sensor brand appearing alongside FreeStyle Libre. They're genuinely useful for spotting trends and cutting down how often you need to prick your dog on a curve day. What they're not good at is the low end. Flash monitors are least reliable exactly in the hypoglycaemia range, so any low reading from one needs confirming with a blood test

before you act on it, particularly when your dog shows no signs of a low; if there are signs, treat first, as in Chapter 3. One clear case for a sensor is the start of a once-daily basal insulin such as Toujeo or Tresiba (Chapter 2): the 2026 AAHA guidelines recommend fitting one then, so your vet can quickly see whether your dog needs one injection a day or two, and settle the dose. Think of a sensor as a trend tool that sits alongside blood testing, not a replacement for it. If the reader shows "HI", that is not a number: it means the glucose is above what the sensor can measure, so check with a blood meter and ring your vet. A few sensors made specifically for dogs and cats are starting to appear, but they are sold through vet practices and have no published accuracy results yet. We cover CGMs properly in Part 2, including what HI and LO mean.

Sources for this chapter: 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs, Merck Animal Health, Zoetis, PMC; Zeugswetter FK, Karlovitz S. Buccal glucose measurements in dogs using safety lancets for blood sampling [article in German]. Tierarztl Prax Ausg K Kleintiere Heimtiere. 2014;42(3):174–9; Peña LW, Flatland B, Behrend EN, Arzón-Pereira A. Impact on result interpretation of correct and incorrect selection of veterinary glucometer canine and feline settings. J Vet Diagn Invest. 2023;35(6):710–20; Brito-Casillas Y, et al. ISO-based assessment of accuracy and precision of glucose meters in dogs. J Vet Intern Med. 2014;28(5):1405–13; Dos Santos MAB, et al. Evaluation of three human-use glucometers for blood glucose measurement in dogs. Vet Med Int. 2022;2022:9112961; Lopes JLX, et al. Analytical evaluation of three portable blood glucose meters for human use in dogs. Vet Sci. 2025;12(5):452; Abbott, FreeStyle Libre support (what HI means); Patterson C, Continuous glucose monitor use in small animal medicine, Today's Veterinary Practice, July/August 2026.

Chapter 7: The Glucose Curve

What a curve is, and why home beats the clinic

A glucose curve is a series of readings taken through one full stretch between injections, 12 hours for a dog on twice-daily insulin, mapping how glucose rises and falls in response to food and insulin together. Vets used to run these in clinic, but a stressed dog releases cortisol, which pushes glucose up in its own right; a clinic curve can run well above what your dog actually shows at home, sometimes by several mmol/L. Running the curve at home, using the technique from Chapter 6, gives your vet a truer picture.

How to run one

Take a reading every two hours across the full 12-hour interval, starting immediately before the morning meal and injection, finishing immediately before the evening meal. That's seven readings in total. If any reading drops below roughly 8.3 mmol/L, 150 mg/dL, switch to hourly readings from that point on. The nadir may be close, and it needs tracking more closely than every two hours allows.

Your dog needs to eat normally, same food, same amount, same time, for the curve to mean anything. If they don't eat as usual that morning, stop and try again another day. A curve run around an off day isn't wrong, it's just not telling you anything real.

The nadir, and the mistake almost every owner makes

The nadir is the true lowest point on the curve, and on Caninsulin it typically lands four to eight hours after the injection, not at the twelve-hour, pre-meal mark. The pre-meal reading is simply wherever glucose happens to be by the end of the interval, which may already be climbing back up well after the nadir has passed. Treating the pre-meal number as if it were the nadir is the single

most common mistake in reading a curve, and it can lead you or your vet to the wrong conclusion about whether the dose is working.

What a good curve looks like

Glucose starts elevated before the morning dose, falls to a nadir somewhere in the 4.4 to 8.3 mmol/L, 80 to 150 mg/dL, range during that four-to-eight-hour window, then climbs back up toward the evening reading. For most of the time between injections, the 2026 AAHA guidelines want glucose below about 11 mmol/L, 200 mg/dL, with readings up to 16.7 mmol/L, 300 mg/dL, acceptable along the way (see Chapter 6). That is the general target, not a normal number for a human or for a non-diabetic dog, just safely clear of both danger zones. A nadir below roughly 4.4 mmol/L, 80 mg/dL, is lower than the ideal range and worth telling your vet about, even if your dog seems perfectly well, but a nadir between 3.3 and 4.4 mmol/L, 60 to 80 mg/dL, does not by itself mean the dose is too high. What the 2026 AAHA guidelines want to avoid is glucose staying below 3.3 mmol/L, 60 mg/dL, for an hour or two, or any sign of a low, and either is a reason to talk to your vet about reducing the dose. A nadir below 3.3 mmol/L, 60 mg/dL, is a hypoglycaemia emergency: treat it immediately using the steps in Chapter 3, then call the vet.

Other shapes, and what they mean

Flat and high all the way through, with no real dip: usually points to a dose that isn't yet high enough. But read the next section before assuming that's the answer. Nadir too low, meaning in the danger zone below 3.3 mmol/L, 60 mg/dL: the dose is too high, and the risk is hypoglycaemia; your vet will need to consider reducing it. Falls to nadir quickly, then climbs steeply back up well before the twelve-hour mark: the insulin isn't lasting long enough for this dog, which is worth raising with your vet, who can judge whether the dose, the timing or the type of insulin needs to change.

Somogyi rebound, and why a high curve is not always undertreatment

Sometimes a dose that's actually too high produces a curve that looks exactly like a dose that's too low, flat and high, with no visible dip. What's really happened is the insulin pushed glucose down sharply enough, between your two-hourly readings, to trigger the body's own emergency response, and that response floods glucose back up hard enough to erase any trace of the low by the time you test again. Read only the numbers on the page and it looks like more insulin is needed. Give more, and you make a genuinely dangerous situation worse.

The rule that matters: never assume a flat, high curve simply means the dose needs increasing. That decision belongs to your vet, with the full curve in front of them, not something to act on at home.

How often to run one

After any dose change, run a curve five to fourteen days later, giving your dog time to settle on the new dose before you judge it. Once your dog is stable, there is no fixed timetable, because you are the monitor: you see your dog every day. Among the times the 2026 AAHA guidelines give for checking glucose are 5 to 14 days after starting insulin or changing a dose, any time signs come back in a dog who had been controlled, and whenever a low is suspected. So watch for the original signs returning, the thirst, the accidents, the weight loss, and for any other change from your dog's normal, such as appetite, energy or behaviour, and treat each as a reason to consider a curve and to tell your vet. Run one immediately if you suspect a hypoglycaemic episode. If your vet has set a schedule for your dog, follow it.

Fructosamine, your vet's other tool

Your vet may also run a blood test called fructosamine alongside curves. It reflects average glucose over roughly the previous seven to

ten days (the figure the 2026 AAHA guidelines give) rather than a single day, so it isn't thrown off by one bad night or a stressful car journey, but it can't show you the shape of a curve, so a dangerous low followed by a Somogyi rebound could still sit behind a perfectly normal-looking result. It's a complement to curves, not a substitute for them. We cover it properly in Part 2.

What to bring to the vet

A log of every reading with date, time, and value; what your dog ate and when; the dose given; and anything unusual that day, vomiting, exercise, heat, stress. A plotted graph is far quicker for a vet to read at a glance than a column of numbers. The app logs all of this as you go, date, time, value, and notes, so you can hand your vet a phone instead of a notebook. A flash monitor (Chapter 6) keeps a record of its own, through the whole day and night for up to about two weeks, so it can show your vet the 24 hours, including the night, that hand-pricked readings cannot.

Sources for this chapter: 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs, 2018 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs and Cats, Merck Animal Health, MSD Animal Health, VCA.

Chapter 8: The Long Game

The first ninety days are about getting your feet under you. Once you're there, the questions change, not "how do I manage this crisis" but "what does the rest of my dog's life actually look like." This chapter is the honest answer.

The long-term prognosis

The mortality risk in a newly diagnosed diabetic dog is highest in the first six months. That's when concurrent illness, DKA, or the sheer difficulty of the learning curve claims the dogs that don't make it. If your dog gets through that period stable and well controlled, the outlook improves substantially. Well-controlled diabetic dogs with no major concurrent illness routinely live three to five years past diagnosis, and plenty go on for eight to ten, particularly if they were diagnosed relatively young.

The important point, worth holding onto: diabetes itself, properly managed, doesn't shorten a dog's life. What determines the outcome is complications and concurrent illness, which is exactly why the rest of this chapter exists.

Remission, managing expectations

If you've read anything about diabetic cats, you may have come across remission: cats can sometimes come off insulin entirely, in as many as 90% of cases with an early, aggressive approach. That's not what happens in dogs, and it's worth knowing now so it doesn't feel like a failure later. Almost all diabetic dogs have what's effectively Type 1 diabetes. The insulin-producing cells have been destroyed and aren't coming back. Insulin is for life.

There's one genuine exception: diabetes triggered by the hormonal swings of a season in an unspayed female can sometimes resolve after spaying. It's part of why an intact female is routinely spayed at diagnosis.

Cataracts

Somewhere around three-quarters of diabetic dogs develop cataracts within about a year of diagnosis, and it happens regardless of how well you control glucose. Good control slows it, it doesn't prevent it. It can move fast: a dog can lose meaningful vision within days to weeks of the first signs. If it happens to your dog, it isn't a sign you did something wrong.

Surgery, removing the cloudy lens, the same procedure used in humans, has a success rate above 90% in an otherwise healthy dog, with most maintaining good vision for years afterwards, though it does mean a referral to a veterinary ophthalmologist and a real cost, typically a couple of thousand pounds per eye in the UK. If you have pet insurance in place before diagnosis, it's worth checking whether it's covered.

If surgery isn't the right choice for your dog, know that dogs adapt to blindness remarkably well. They navigate by smell and memory, and quality of life stays good as long as the eyes themselves are comfortable. An eye that's become painful, rather than just cloudy, is the thing that needs addressing, regardless of whether sight can be restored.

Pancreatitis

Diabetic dogs carry a higher risk of pancreatitis, and the relationship runs in both directions: pancreatitis can be severe enough to cause diabetes in the first place, and diabetes raises the risk of pancreatitis developing. Watch for vomiting, loss of appetite, abdominal pain, sometimes visible as a "prayer position" with the front end down and the rear end up, and lethargy. Any of these in a diabetic dog is worth a prompt call to the vet, since it can also signal early DKA, and either way it will destabilise glucose control fast.

The low-fat feeding principle from Chapter 4 isn't just about glucose stability. It's your main tool for keeping pancreatitis risk down too.

Urinary tract infections

UTIs are considerably more common in diabetic dogs than in healthy ones. Glucose in the urine feeds bacteria, and high blood glucose blunts the immune cells that would normally clear an infection. The frustrating part is that a diabetic dog can have a UTI with no obvious symptoms at all, and it can still knock control sideways: the inflammation raises stress hormones, those hormones cause insulin resistance, and a dose that was working stops working, for reasons that aren't obvious from the outside.

This is one of the more common explanations for a previously stable dog suddenly not being stable, and the effect can linger for a week or two even after antibiotics finish. It's why a proper urine culture, not just a dipstick, is worth asking for when your dog is first diagnosed and whenever a settled dog's control unexpectedly slips, even if there are no urinary signs.

One caution goes the other way. A urine culture can sometimes grow bacteria in a dog with no urinary signs and nothing else wrong. The 2026 AAHA guidelines note that this "subclinical bacteriuria" may not need treatment unless urinary signs develop or your vet suspects the infection is making the insulin work less well, and they point vets to separate guidance on using antibiotics carefully. Whether to treat is your vet's decision. The point for you is that a positive result on its own does not automatically mean a course of antibiotics.

Muscle loss in an older diabetic dog

Age-related muscle loss starts in most dogs from around seven or eight onwards. Bryce is fourteen, well into that territory regardless of his diabetes. Diabetes adds to it on top: uncontrolled glucose pushes the body to break down muscle for fuel, and the low-grade inflammation of chronic illness gets in the way of rebuilding it. It can look a lot like arthritis, a reluctance to jump, trouble with stairs,

general weakness, and it's easy to misread as joint pain rather than muscle.

The fix is mostly the same as in Chapter 4: enough good-quality protein, not less of it, plus gentle, consistent exercise rather than rest. Good glucose control is itself the best long-term protection here. A stable dog simply isn't breaking its own muscle down for fuel.

Quality of life, what good actually looks like

In one study using a quality-of-life tool built specifically for diabetic dogs, 81% of owners rated their dog's overall quality of life as good. But when asked more specific, diabetes-related questions, 84% of those same owners described some negative impact somewhere. Both things are true at once, and it's worth knowing that going in: the headline answer and the day-to-day reality aren't quite the same question.

What good actually looks like, in practice: interest in food, engagement with what's going on around them, tail wagging, willingness to play or go for a walk, no obvious pain, normal sleep. A simple scale worth knowing is HHHHHMM: Hurt, Hunger, Hydration, Hygiene, Happiness, Mobility, and more good days than bad, scored out of ten each; above 35 out of 70 generally means a good quality of life. The app has a version of this you can run regularly, which is more useful than trying to judge it from memory on a bad day.

The honest message, and the one worth ending on: a well-controlled diabetic dog is not a dog just getting by. Most owners, once the routine settles, describe their dogs as thriving. The injections become normal. The whole thing becomes normal. That was true in Chapter 1, and it's still true here.

Staying stable, long term

Once your dog is settled, the routine that keeps things that way is your own daily observation, a glucose curve whenever something changes (Chapter 7), and a vet check-up every three to six months

covering weight, body condition and fructosamine, with a urine culture whenever there are urinary signs or control slips.

Watch for the symptoms from Chapter 1 coming back, thirst, accidents, weight loss, appetite changes, vomiting, and treat any of them as a reason to call the vet, not a reason to wait and see. And if your dog picks up any illness, even something minor, it's worth testing glucose a little more often than usual until it's passed; almost anything can knock control sideways temporarily.

You matter in this too

There's a name for what you might be feeling some days, even once the routine is running well: caregiver burden. Researchers who study it in owners of chronically ill dogs and cats have found real, measurable increases in stress, anxiety, and low mood, not because you're not coping, but because sustained caregiving is genuinely hard, whatever species is on the receiving end of it. Roughly half of owners caring for a pet with a chronic illness show meaningful signs of it.

Two things are worth knowing. First, feeling this way doesn't mean you're managing your dog's diabetes badly. The research found it in owners doing everything right. Second, the same research found that owners who felt confident in their vet and their treatment plan carried less of this burden. That's part of why this book exists: understanding what's happening and why gives you back some of the control that caregiving can quietly take away.

If you're struggling, that's worth taking seriously in its own right, not just as a means to managing your dog better. Talk to your vet, talk to people who understand, the forums exist for a reason, and talk to your own doctor if it's become more than tiredness. Looking after yourself isn't a distraction from looking after your dog. It's part of the same job.

Sources for this chapter: 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs, PubMed / JAVMA, Cornell University College of Veterinary Medicine, Merck Veterinary Manual, Purina Institute, dvm360, PetMD, Royal Canin Academy, Kent State University (Spitznagel et al.), Veterinary Record.

Chapter 9: Managing the Cost of Care

If cost is a real worry for you, you are far from alone, and this chapter is for you directly. Managing a diabetic dog well and managing it affordably are not opposites. Done properly, they line up. This chapter keeps things practical. The full research behind every point here is in Part 2, Chapter 8, if you want it.

Diet

A "prescription" diabetic food is not doing anything mysterious. It is hitting the same specific, checkable numbers already covered in Chapter 4 and Chapter 5, low fat, the right kind of fibre, no added sugar or starch dragging glucose around. Any food that hits those same numbers works exactly as well, prescription label or not, and prescription versions are often close to double the price for the same result.

Use the app's DMB checker on any food you are considering, own-brand, budget, whatever is available and affordable, and check it against the numbers rather than the label.

You do not need your vet's permission to choose an affordable food that hits the right numbers. Tell them what you are feeding, rather than asking what you are allowed to feed. This is not a medical decision in the way insulin is. It is a numbers decision, and the app does the checking for you.

Monitoring

The current expert consensus, from the 2026 AAHA guidelines this whole book leans on, is genuinely reassuring here: do not test more than you need to. A stable dog, eating well, drinking normally, steady weight, good energy, does not need a sensor as a matter of necessity. Watching your dog properly is not a fallback for people who cannot afford better. It is, in the veterinary guidelines' own words, the thing that matters most. The exception is the start of a

once-daily basal insulin such as Toujeo or Tresiba, when the guidelines do recommend fitting a sensor so your vet can settle the dose and how often to give it (Chapter 2).

There is a simple, free way to make that watching more structured, called the Diabetic Clinical Score. Score four things, weight loss, thirst and urination, appetite, and attitude or activity, each from 0 (normal) to 3 (severe), and add them up for a total out of 12. A score of 0 across the board is a genuinely good sign. A score that climbs over successive checks is your cue to look closer, run a curve or call the vet. It costs nothing and takes two minutes.

Urine strips need a word, because they are cheap and many owners use them. The 2026 AAHA guidelines do not recommend routine urine glucose testing: dipsticks under-read in dogs, and a result reflects everything that has collected in the bladder since it was last emptied, not the moment you test. A strip cannot tell you whether your dog is high or low, so it can never be the basis for changing the insulin dose, and it is no substitute for watching your dog. It does have two limited uses. If the glucose result keeps coming back negative, tell your vet, because that can mean glucose is running low, and the guidelines advise checking blood glucose in that case. And a strip that also tests for ketones (most do, at no extra cost) is worth keeping in the cupboard for the days your dog is off food, vomiting or simply not right: do not dismiss a positive ketone reading, and get it checked (Chapter 3 covers what to do). For everyday reassurance, your own daily observation and the clinical score above do the job better, and they cost nothing.

Do not adjust the insulin dose yourself based on a urine reading. Use it to decide whether to look closer or call the vet, nothing more.

Insulin

This is the one area where your vet needs to be involved directly, always. But it is worth knowing there are real, meaningfully cheaper options worth raising as a conversation, not something to switch to on your own.

Human insulins used off-label, NPH and glargine among them, already covered in Part 1, Chapter 2, can cost a fraction of veterinary-branded insulin. They are not a fringe idea: the 2026 AAHA guidelines list human NPH and human glargine (U-100) by brand name in their table of insulins for dogs, even noting that ReliOn-N, sold in US Walmart stores, is the Walmart-dispensed form of Novolin N, while marking every human product in it as not approved for veterinary use. Brand names differ from country to country, so ask your vet or pharmacist which equivalent is sold where you live. Newer basal insulins, including degludec, sold under the human brand name Tresiba, have also shown real promise in dogs and can work out considerably cheaper too, in part simply because they are priced for the much larger human market rather than the smaller veterinary one. The same table notes that unbranded generic versions of Tresiba and of Toujeo, the glargine U-300, are available from the manufacturers, though the table is written for the US market.

None of these are a straightforward swap. Dosing is different between insulins, and getting it wrong is genuinely dangerous. Take this chapter to your vet as the start of a conversation, not a decision already made.

One more thing worth knowing: the standard advice to discard an opened insulin vial after 42 days, even if there is plenty left. The 2026 AAHA guidelines take a more relaxed view than the label. They say the task force is comfortable with owners continuing to use insulin beyond the manufacturer's in-use period when it has been refrigerated and handled carefully, and they cite a 2023 study by Berg and colleagues in which vials of preservative-containing insulin, kept in the fridge and used twice a day for six months, showed no bacterial contamination. If throwing away perfectly good insulin every six weeks is a genuine financial strain, that is real, credible evidence that the true risk window is longer than the label suggests, provided the vial has been refrigerated for the entire time. There are limits worth respecting. That study tested two insulins,

glargine and ProZinc, and looked at contamination, not at how much strength the insulin keeps. So the guidelines attach conditions: replace the vial at once if it looks clumpy, flaky, discoloured or different in consistency, or if your dog's signs come back while you are using a vial beyond the label, and treat any use beyond the label as something to agree with your vet first, rather than decide alone.

Glucose meters

The AlphaTrak 3, covered in Chapter 6, is calibrated for canine blood, and the 2026 AAHA guidelines give it as an example of a meter calibrated for veterinary patients. It also costs roughly £130 to £160 for the starter kit, and £63 to £77 for 50 strips, a real ongoing cost for a dog tested daily.

A decent human glucometer is a real, legitimate way to save money here, provided your vet knows which device you are using. This isn't about grabbing any cheap meter: some human meters have actually been tested against dog blood in published studies, and done well, not just adequately. The Accu-Chek Aviva Nano, the Accu-Chek Guide, and the FreeStyle Optium Neo have each come out best in their own study against other human meters, with strong results, over 90% of Optium Neo readings falling within the accuracy limits used to judge these things, and 100% of Accu-Chek Guide readings falling within the range considered clinically safe. These are solid, well-evidenced tools, not a compromise. The saving is substantial and durable: a good human meter typically costs around 90% less than an AlphaTrak 3, with strips showing a similar saving, at the time of writing that meant an Accu-Chek Guide meter for around £16 against £130 to £160 for AlphaTrak, and about £8 for 50 strips against £63 to £77. The prices will move over time. The scale of the saving will not, by much.

Human meters do consistently read a little low in dogs, a real, understood effect rather than random error, and that consistency is exactly why it does not stop the meter being useful. Comparing this month's numbers with last month's, on the same meter, the bias runs

roughly the same both times, so a genuine change in the pattern still shows up as a genuine change, even if the absolute figures sit a little low throughout. This is, in practice, how many owners tracked their dog's control and discussed dose changes with their vet for years before dedicated veterinary meters existed at all. Whichever meter you use, stick with the same one, so you can trust the trend you are seeing, and as always, any actual change to the insulin dose is a conversation with your vet, not something decided alone from a single reading.

Vet visits

For a dog who is genuinely stable, spacing visits out to every three or six months, rather than more often, is a reasonable, well-supported choice, the exact interval depends on how much other monitoring you have in place. The one thing worth holding onto: this applies once your dog is settled. In the first few months after diagnosis, while the right dose is still being found, this is not the place to cut back.

Last word

If cost pressure is making you consider whether you can keep going with treatment at all, please talk to your vet before you decide anything. There is very often more room here than it first looks like, and you do not have to work it out alone. An imperfect approach, a cheaper meter, less frequent testing, a food that costs less but still does the job, is not a failure. It is a real, sensible way to keep a treatable dog alive and reasonably well, and it is always, always better than the alternative. The cost of care does not have to be the reason a treatable dog loses its life.

PART TWO: THE RESEARCH

Chapter 1: The Physiology of Insulin

This chapter goes underneath Part 1, Chapters 1 and 2, to explain in full what is actually happening inside your dog's cells when insulin does its work, and what goes wrong when it cannot. None of this is necessary for day to day management; Part 1 covers everything needed for that. It is here for anyone who wants to understand the mechanism behind the routine, not just follow it.

What insulin actually does: the cellular mechanism

Insulin's central job is to let cells take up glucose from the bloodstream. The mechanism centres on a protein called GLUT4, short for Glucose Transporter Type 4.

In a healthy dog, GLUT4 proteins are manufactured by muscle and fat cells, but they are not left sitting on the cell surface waiting for glucose to arrive. Instead they are held in reserve inside the cell, packed into specialised compartments called GLUT4 storage vesicles. When blood glucose rises after a meal, the pancreas secretes insulin. Insulin binds to receptors on the cell surface, and that binding triggers a cascade of phosphorylation signals inside the cell, essentially a chain of chemical switches flipping on in sequence. This cascade causes the storage vesicles to migrate to the cell membrane, fuse with it, and deliver GLUT4 proteins to the surface. Once there, GLUT4 acts as a channel, allowing glucose to move from the bloodstream into the cell by facilitated diffusion. No energy is spent by the cell to make this happen; the glucose simply follows its own concentration gradient once the channel is open. Inside the cell, the glucose is then used for energy or stored as glycogen for later use.

Without insulin, none of this happens. The GLUT4 proteins remain locked inside the cell, and the cascade that would normally bring them to the surface never fires. Glucose accumulates in the bloodstream because the cells cannot take it in, even though it is abundant all around them. This is the central paradox of diabetes: the body is simultaneously awash in glucose and starving for it.

Blood glucose climbs, cells go without fuel, and the body begins breaking down fat and muscle for energy instead, since it cannot use the glucose that is actually there. Once blood glucose exceeds the renal threshold, approximately 10 to 12 mmol/L, 180 to 200 mg/dL, in dogs, the kidneys can no longer reabsorb all of it, and the excess spills into the urine. This draws water with it, which is the direct cause of the excessive urination and thirst covered in Part 1, Chapter 1.

Why dogs are almost always Type 1

In human medicine, Type 2 diabetes, in which cells become resistant to insulin but the pancreas still produces some, accounts for around 90% of cases. In dogs the picture is almost the reverse. The overwhelming majority of diabetic dogs have a disease that closely resembles human Type 1 diabetes: their pancreatic beta cells, the cells that manufacture insulin, have been destroyed, leaving an absolute deficiency rather than a resistance problem.

The clearest evidence for this comes from a 2015 study that examined the pancreata of diabetic and non-diabetic dogs directly. It found a near total absence of beta cells even in dogs that had only recently been diagnosed, not dogs years into the disease. This matters because healthy dog pancreata are predominantly composed of beta cells; their destruction in diabetic dogs is close to complete from the outset, and the pattern closely mirrors what is seen in human Type 1 diabetes. It strongly implies the same underlying process: loss of the cells themselves, not resistance to what they produce.

This also explains something many owners struggle with emotionally. Clinical signs typically do not appear until around 90% of beta cell function has already been lost. By the time the classic symptoms show up, the disease has usually been progressing quietly for some time, which is why many dogs are quite unwell by the time they are diagnosed. It was not something that could easily have been caught sooner.

How beta cells are destroyed

The exact route to beta cell destruction is not always identifiable in an individual dog, and several different mechanisms appear to be involved across the population.

Immune-mediated destruction is one route. Evidence of cell-mediated autoimmune attack on beta cells has been found in as many as half of diabetic dogs in some studies, while other studies have found no autoimmune markers at all. The picture is genuinely mixed. Immune-mediated insulitis appears to be a contributing factor in some dogs, but not all.

Pancreatitis-related damage is a second route, and a significant one. Up to 30% of diabetic dogs show evidence of concurrent pancreatitis at post-mortem examination. The relationship between the two conditions is complex. Pancreatitis and diabetes appear to predispose each other, and the direction of cause and effect is not always clear in an individual case. Selective loss of beta cells occurs in dogs with both conditions, which suggests the damage is not simply incidental to inflammation elsewhere in the pancreas but something more targeted. Chronic pancreatitis can progressively impair both the endocrine function of the pancreas, which produces insulin, and its exocrine function, which produces digestive enzymes. In the Miniature Schnauzer, a breed with an elevated risk of diabetes, that risk appears to be mediated largely through the breed's separate predisposition to pancreatitis.

A third mechanism, vacuolar degeneration of beta cells, has also been described in dogs, though it is less studied than the immune-mediated or pancreatitis-linked routes.

Why dogs do not go into remission, and the one exception

The rule for dogs is straightforward and worth stating plainly. Dogs with diabetes require insulin for the rest of their lives. There is no equivalent, in dogs, of the tight-control-and-remission pathway that exists for some diabetic cats.

The reason lies in the difference between the two diseases. Most diabetic cats have a condition closer to human Type 2 diabetes. Their beta cells are still present, but suppressed or impaired by insulin resistance and by the toxic effect of prolonged high glucose on the pancreas itself, sometimes compounded by amyloid deposits within the pancreatic islets. If that glucose toxicity is reversed early enough, through insulin therapy and careful dietary management, some cats' beta cells recover enough function to sustain normal glucose levels without further insulin. Remission rates in cats given aggressive early treatment have been reported as high as 50 to 70% in some studies.

None of this applies to dogs, because a dog's beta cells are destroyed rather than suppressed. There is nothing left to recover. Once they are gone, they are gone.

There is, however, one genuine exception, and it is worth knowing in detail because it can be life changing information for the right dog. Unspayed female dogs can develop a form of diabetes that is not driven by beta cell destruction at all, but by progesterone induced insulin resistance during dioestrus, the roughly two month period of sustained high progesterone that follows each heat. Progesterone inhibits insulin from binding properly to its receptors and blocks part of the glucose transport pathway described earlier in this chapter. It also stimulates the mammary gland to produce growth hormone, which has its own anti-insulin effect throughout the body. The combined result can be persistent insulin resistance severe enough to progress into overt diabetes.

Spaying removes the source of that progesterone. In an intact female dog whose diabetes is driven by dioestrus in this way, spaying can dramatically improve, or in some cases fully resolve, the condition. This is not beta cell recovery. It is the removal of the hormone that was working against the insulin the dog still has. It is the closest thing to true remission that occurs in dogs, and it applies only to intact females with this specific, hormonally driven form of the disease. Because this distinction is not widely known among

owners, it is worth stating clearly: any intact female dog newly diagnosed with diabetes warrants an urgent conversation with the vet about spaying, since the outcome for her may be very different from a typical case. The 2026 AAHA guidelines say the same in plain terms: an intact diabetic female dog should be spayed (ovariectomy or ovariohysterectomy), and anaesthesia is not ruled out in an otherwise healthy, stable dog that does not have ketoacidosis.

Confirming it in practice

No single test proves in advance that a case will reverse, but several tools together build a clear picture. A serum progesterone test can show that the dog is in dioestrus, the phase during which this form of diabetes develops, and vaginal cytology can support the same diagnosis by showing the cell pattern typical of that stage of the cycle. Neither is essential once spaying has been decided on: measuring progesterone is considered less important at that point, because spaying removes the source of the progesterone and so resolves the problem it was causing. Growth hormone itself is difficult to measure directly, since assays for it are not widely available, so vets instead measure IGF-1, a more stable marker that rises when progesterone is driving growth hormone excess. In a small number of documented cases, dogs with this form of diabetes have also shown physical signs of the growth hormone excess itself, including enlarged paws, a widened space between the teeth, and thickened skin, a picture sometimes described as dioestrus induced acromegaly. A vet will also want to rule out pyometra, an infection of the womb that is common in intact females, causes its own insulin resistance, and is a medical emergency in its own right regardless of its effect on diabetes.

Even with all of this evidence, the closest thing to a definitive test is often the outcome of spaying itself. In the largest retrospective study of this question, 72 of 117 diabetic bitches were entire at diagnosis, and 57 of those were spayed. Six went into full remission: five after spaying, about 1 in 11 of the spayed bitches, and one when

dioestrus ended without surgery (Pöppl et al., 2013). The underlying cause varied, including dioestrus, pregnancy, an ovarian remnant left behind after an earlier incomplete spay, and pyometra, so these figures describe the wider population of reproductively driven diabetes rather than dioestrus alone. Remission has been reported even in dogs spayed many weeks after diagnosis, and in dogs who first presented in a severe crisis. What consistently matters most is timing: the longer a dog is left undiagnosed or unspayed, the more likely the beta cells are to become permanently exhausted from prolonged high glucose, turning a case that might have reversed into one that cannot. Where remission does happen, it tends to happen quickly, with a median of around ten days after surgery and a range of one to fifty one days across the reported cases (Pöppl et al., 2024). One recent review put it plainly: spaying in these cases should not be treated as an elective procedure, but as an urgency. Because remission often comes within a few weeks of surgery, the 2026 AAHA guidelines add that these dogs must be monitored closely, so that the insulin they are still receiving does not cause an unintended low.

If spaying is not possible

Not every owner can agree to surgery, and sometimes surgery is not possible. Insulin treatment continues, but stable control is hard to achieve. The most recent review says that getting control in an intact bitch under the influence of progesterone "can be very frustrating and unsuccessful", and that without spaying the chance of remission is "virtually null". Where the diabetes does seem to settle as progesterone falls at the end of dioestrus, it is expected to return after the next season.

Three things follow. First, when progesterone falls, insulin needs can fall with it. The review warns that a dog going into remission after spaying can have a hypo, and describes a dog whose diabetes went into remission as her progesterone fell naturally late in dioestrus. A dose raised to cope with the high phase can become too

much, so closer monitoring is needed around each cycle. Second, a drug that blocks progesterone, aglepristone, has been tried in a small study: in eight diabetic bitches in dioestrus it lowered glucose by day 12 and allowed the average insulin dose to come down from about 2 units per kg to under 1, with no side effects recorded. The authors still call spaying "the best and definitive treatment" and keep the drug for dogs that cannot have surgery. Whether it suits your dog is for your vet to decide. Third, she remains at risk of pyometra, an infection of the womb, which the review notes makes insulin resistance worse still. None of this changes the advice: spaying is the treatment, and the review says that in these dogs it "should not be viewed as an elective procedure" but as an urgency.

Which dogs are at higher risk

Sex plays a measurable role on its own. Intact females carry a significantly higher risk than males or spayed females, for the progesterone related reasons described above, and the overall sex ratio among diabetic dogs skews female in most studies.

Breed also plays a role, and the differences in relative risk are substantial. The following breeds show elevated risk compared with mixed breed dogs, based on large scale insurance and hospital data:

- Australian Terrier: around 32 times the risk of a mixed breed dog, the highest relative risk recorded in the major studies.
- Samoyed: around 12 times the risk, with an inherited predisposition strongly suspected given insulin dependent diabetes in closely related breeds.
- Miniature Schnauzer: elevated risk, most likely mediated through the breed's separate predisposition to pancreatitis, as described earlier in this chapter.
- Toy and Miniature Poodle: elevated risk, consistent across multiple independent studies.
- Bichon Frise: elevated risk.
- Keeshond: elevated risk.

- Swedish Elkhound: very high risk in Scandinavian data, with dioestrus associated diabetes particularly well documented in the breed.

Age matters as much as breed. Most dogs are diagnosed in middle to older age, typically between seven and ten years old. For dogs outside the higher risk breeds listed above, a dog's own age and individual biology, rather than any particular genetic predisposition, explains the great majority of cases.

Why the starting dose is always cautious

Part 1, Chapter 2 introduced this principle in practical terms. This section explains the reasoning behind it in full.

Every dog's sensitivity to insulin is different, and there is no way to know in advance exactly where an individual dog will sit on that spectrum. The dose that stabilises one dog could cause life threatening hypoglycaemia in another of similar size and breed. The 2026 AAHA guidelines recommend a starting dose of 0.25 to 0.5 IU per kilogram every twelve hours, calculated on the dog's estimated ideal body weight and rounded down to the nearest whole unit, with most dogs well controlled at an average of around 0.5 IU per kilogram and an overall range across the diabetic dog population of roughly 0.2 to 1.0 IU per kilogram. The 2018 guidelines gave a single starting dose of 0.25 IU per kilogram every twelve hours. This is worth contrasting with the Vetsulin and Caninsulin product label itself, which specifies 0.5 IU per kilogram given once daily as the starting dose. The label's daily amount is the same as the bottom of AAHA's range, 0.25 IU per kilogram twice a day, but AAHA gives it as two injections twelve hours apart rather than one, and its range lets the vet start higher, up to 0.5 IU per kilogram twice a day. Judicious initial dosing is still the rule, particularly if the diet is being changed at the same time, since a new diet can alter how the dog responds to insulin.

The reasoning behind that caution is a matter of asymmetric risk. Undertreatment leaves a dog with persistent high blood glucose,

which is uncomfortable and, over time, damaging, but is survivable in the short term while the dose is corrected. Overtreatment causes hypoglycaemia, which can be fatal within hours. Part 1, Chapter 3 covers emergency response to this in full; Chapter 2 of this Part covers the related phenomenon of Somogyi rebound, in which a dose that is already too high produces a curve that looks, misleadingly, like a dose that is too low. Given that asymmetry, it is always safer to start low and build up gradually than to start high and risk overshooting. Once a baseline curve has been established, dose adjustments are made incrementally, and never on the strength of a single reading.

References

Bogan JS. Regulation of glucose transport by insulin: traffic control of GLUT4. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology. 2012; 13(6): 383-396.

Huang S, Czech MP. The GLUT4 Glucose Transporter. Cell Metabolism. 2007; 5(4): 237-252.

Saltiel AR, Kahn CR. Insulin Signalling and the Regulation of Glucose and Lipid Metabolism. Nature. 2001; 414: 799-806.

Shields EJ, Lam CJ, Cox AR, Rankin MM, Van Winkle TJ, Hess RS, Kushner JA. Extreme Beta-Cell Deficiency in Pancreata of Dogs with Canine Diabetes. PLOS One. 2015; 10(6): e0129809.

Gilor C, Niessen SJM, Furrow E, Dibartola SP. What's in a Name? Classification of Diabetes Mellitus in Veterinary Medicine and Why It Matters. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2016; 30(4): 927-940.

Watson PJ, Archer J, Roulois AJ, et al. Diabetes mellitus and pancreatitis in dogs and cats, cause or effect? University of Cambridge Repository.

Niessen SJM et al. What is So Special about Feline Diabetes Mellitus? PMC. 2024.

Poppl AG, Lopes JLX, Nogueira TB, da Silva DI, Machado BS. Progesterone-Related Diabetes Mellitus in the Bitch: Current Knowledge, the Role of Pyometra, and Relevance in Practice. Animals (Basel). 2024; 14(6): 890.

Fall T, Holm B, Karlsson A, et al. Diabetes Mellitus in Elkhounds Is Associated with Diestrus and Pregnancy. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2010; 24(6): 1322-1328.

Poppl AG, Mottin TS, Gonzalez FHD. Diabetes mellitus remission after resolution of inflammatory and progesterone-related conditions in bitches. Research in Veterinary Science. 2013; 94(3): 471-473.

Bigliardi E, Bresciani C, Callegari D, Di Ianni F, Morini G, Parmigiani E, Bianchi E. Use of aglepristone for the treatment of P4 induced insulin resistance in dogs. Journal of Veterinary Science. 2014; 15(2): 267-271.

Fall T, Hamlin HH, Hedhammar A, Kampe O, Egenvall A. Diabetes Mellitus in a Population of 180,000 Insured Dogs: Incidence, Survival, and Breed Distribution. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2007; 21(6): 1209-1216.

Hess RS, Kass PH, Ward CR. Breed distribution of dogs with diabetes mellitus admitted to a tertiary care facility. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2000; 216(9): 1414-1417.

AKC Canine Health Foundation. The Complex Genetics of Diabetes Mellitus in Australian Terriers.
Bugbee A, Rucinsky R, Alvarez E, Cook A, Lathan P, Panning C. 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 2026; 63(5). doi:10.5326/JAAHA-MS-7583.
Merck Animal Health USA, Vetsulin. Dosing Overview for Canines.
MSD Animal Health UK, Caninsulin. Dosing Overview for Dogs.
Vanherle L, et al. Clinical diabetes mellitus in association with diestrus-induced acromegaly in 2 bitches. PubMed. 2019.
Michigan State University Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory. Growth Hormone and IGF-1 in Dogs.

Chapter 2: Insulin Pharmacokinetics, and the Two Opposite Emergencies

This chapter goes underneath Part 1, Chapters 2, 3, and 7. It explains why Caninsulin behaves the way it does across a twelve hour cycle, what the other insulins offer and why they are used less often, and the full clinical detail behind the two emergencies at opposite ends of the insulin axis: hypoglycaemia, where there is too much effective insulin, and diabetic ketoacidosis, where there is too little.

How Caninsulin actually works: the biphasic profile

Caninsulin, sold as Vetsulin in the United States and Canada, is a 40 IU per millilitre suspension of highly purified porcine insulin. What makes it behave differently from the human analogue insulins sometimes used in dogs is its composition. It is not a single, uniform preparation, but a fixed mixture of two distinct particle types, each dissolving and acting at a different rate.

Roughly 35% of the mixture is an amorphous fraction, made of small particles that dissolve quickly. This fraction reaches its peak effect at around 4 hours after injection, and its influence lasts for roughly 8 hours. The remaining 65% is a crystalline fraction, made of larger, slower dissolving particles, with a later, broader peak at around 11 hours after injection. Together these two fractions give Caninsulin a biphasic action profile. It begins working within the first hour, produces an early peak around 4 hours in, a second, broader peak around 11 hours in, and continues acting for somewhere between 14 and 24 hours in total.

This is the reason Caninsulin is given twice daily. The combined duration of the two fractions provides overlapping coverage across the full day, but the two peaks are real, and they matter diagnostically. The early, 4 hour peak is when the risk of hypoglycaemia is highest on any given dose, and it usually corresponds to the nadir seen on a glucose curve, as covered in Part

1, Chapter 7. The later, 11 hour peak can produce a second, smaller dip in some dogs' evening readings, which can look puzzling on a curve until this second peak is understood.

The US Vetsulin label does allow a different start: once a day, with or right after a meal, moving to twice a day if the insulin does not last long enough. The 2026 AAHA guidelines start Vetsulin or NPH every 12 hours, so once a day is a recognised US label start but the exception. Whether one injection a day lasts long enough for a particular dog is judged from the glucose curve, not guessed.



Curve 1: a well controlled day, showing the biphasic double dip.

The other insulins: where they sit and why they matter

Part 1, Chapter 2 introduced the other insulins a vet might prescribe. This section sets out the pharmacokinetic detail behind each.

- NPH, sold as Humulin N or Insulatard: a human intermediate acting insulin. Onset around 1 hour, a single peak at 4 to 6 hours, and a duration of around 12 hours. Some vets use it in dogs, typically given twice daily, though its shorter duration compared with Caninsulin can leave gaps in coverage. Even so, the 2026 AAHA guidelines say that task force members recommend either NPH or Vetsulin as a good first-choice insulin for dogs.
- Detemir, sold as Levemir: a human long acting analogue. Onset is slower and more variable, between 2 and 11 hours, with a broad peak around 8 to 10 hours and a duration that can reach 24 hours. Detemir is roughly four times more potent per unit in

dogs than Caninsulin or NPH, which is a critical practical point. A vet switching a dog from Caninsulin to detemir must account for this difference directly, since the same number of units would deliver roughly four times the effective dose. For this reason detemir is generally reserved as a rescue option for refractory cases that have not responded well to first line treatment, not used as a starting insulin.

- Glargine is sold under one name but as two genuinely different products, and this book previously ran them together, which needed correcting.

Glargine U-100, sold as Lantus and under several other brand names, is the original formulation, widely used in human Type 1 diabetes and in diabetic cats. It is a human medicine, and AAHA's table of insulins marks it as not approved for veterinary use, but lists it as a potential option in dogs. The 2018 guidelines noted that a flat glucose curve may be seen in dogs, which is why glargine is sometimes called a peakless insulin in that species. It is more commonly used in cats than in dogs, and whether it suits a particular dog is a decision for the vet.

Glargine U-300, sold as Toujeo, is a different, more concentrated formulation: low in potency and slow enough in onset to act as a genuine basal insulin, working steadily in the background rather than peaking around a particular meal. A 2024 multi-centre trial of 95 dogs found good or excellent glycaemic control in 92% of them on Toujeo; 59% achieved that on a genuine once-daily dose, rising to 72% in dogs without other concurrent health conditions, with the remainder needing twice-daily dosing for the same result (Tardo et al., 2024). Its most significant practical difference from Caninsulin or Lantus is that it uncouples the injection from feeding: meals can be given at flexible times, in variable amounts, or occasionally skipped, without the same hypoglycaemia risk that applies to twice-daily insulins timed to meals. This is a genuinely different management style, not just a different product. Some vets prescribe it once daily, others split it into two smaller doses for steadier coverage in a

particular dog; either way, the uncoupling from feeding holds, since it comes from the insulin's flat, prolonged release, not from how many times a day it's given. If your vet has prescribed it, most of the rigid feeding-timing advice elsewhere in this book, particularly in Part 1, doesn't apply to you in the same way; ask your vet what does.

Degludec, sold as Tresiba, is the other basal option now in occasional use in dogs, sharing the same uncoupling of injection from feeding as Toujeo, whether given once or twice daily, though with less canine-specific evidence published to date. Even so, the 2026 AAHA guidelines say that degludec may be considered a first-choice option, citing a study in which about 84% of dogs could be kept on once-daily dosing (Mott et al., 2025), although some task force members have anecdotally seen slightly lower success with once-daily use. Because some dogs on either basal insulin need one injection a day and others two, the guidelines also recommend fitting a glucose sensor when a basal insulin is started, so the vet can quickly settle the dose and how often to give it (see the section on continuous glucose monitoring later in this chapter).

- ProZinc: a recombinant protamine zinc insulin developed primarily for cats, with a slow onset and an extended, prolonged action. It is occasionally tried as a once daily option in dogs, though it is not a first choice.

A 2024 prospective, multicentre study of insulin glargine U-300 (Toujeo) in dogs, which analysed 95 dogs across three referral centres, offers direct evidence for how this insulin's dosing is meant to respond to glucose readings. The published titration protocol adjusts the standing dose on a multi-day trend rather than any single reading: when the interstitial glucose nadir fell below 350 mg/dL (19 mmol/L), the protocol called for 3 to 5 days of monitoring, or until a consistent pattern emerged, before any dose change. Adjustments themselves were made every 1 to 3 days, in steps of 10–30% (or 1 unit for dogs under 8 kg). Even when the nadir fell below 80 mg/dL (4.4 mmol/L), the response was a 10–30% reduction to the standing

dose going forward, not a same-day reduction or omission of that day's injection (Tardo et al., 2024).

It's worth being precise about what this study does and doesn't show. It describes a titration protocol used while actively finding the right dose for a dog newly started on Toujeo, or changing dose, and not a trial of how to respond to an occasional low reading in a dog already stable on a settled dose. The underlying reasoning applies to both situations equally, though: Toujeo's flat, prolonged release profile means it was never designed to be adjusted meal-by-meal or reading-by-reading the way Caninsulin is, so treating a single low reading as a same-day trigger for action doesn't fit how the insulin behaves, whether a dog is mid-titration or already settled.

Somogyi rebound: the overdose that looks like underdose

This is one of the most important, and most misunderstood, concepts in canine diabetes management, and it deserves to be understood in full, because acting on the wrong interpretation of it can make a dangerous situation considerably worse.

When insulin drives blood glucose down too rapidly, or into a genuinely low range, the brain detects the falling glucose as a threat and triggers a cascade of counter regulatory hormones. Adrenaline is released first, followed by cortisol, glucagon, and growth hormone. Glucagon and adrenaline stimulate the liver to release its stored glucose. Cortisol and growth hormone promote the manufacture of new glucose from other sources. Together, these hormones also make the body's cells temporarily more resistant to insulin. The combined effect is a sharp rebound rise in blood glucose, sometimes climbing very high, and sometimes persisting for days.

The clinical picture this produces is genuinely confusing if you do not know what you are looking at. Glucose does not need to fall all the way to visibly dangerous levels to trigger this response. In dogs, the counter regulatory cascade can be triggered once glucose drops below roughly 3.3 mmol/L (60 mg/dL) (AAHA 2026), a threshold that can be reached and recovered from entirely between two

scheduled readings, meaning the owner may never see a genuinely low number on the meter at all. What they see instead is morning readings that are high, a curve that stays persistently elevated through the day, and a dog that may seem hungry, restless, or lethargic. Taken at face value, this looks exactly like a dose that is not high enough.

This is the dangerous moment, and the one a vet must actively guard against. The natural response to a curve like this is to raise the dose further. Doing so makes the underlying hypoglycaemic dip deeper, triggers an even stronger counter regulatory response, and produces even higher rebound readings on the next curve, reinforcing the mistaken impression that more insulin is still needed. The correct response, once Somogyi rebound is suspected, is the opposite: reduce the dose.

The rebound itself is not brief. This has a real practical consequence. Raising the dose, seeing persistently high readings over the following days, and raising the dose again in response compounds the problem substantially before a proper curve is ever run.

Distinguishing Somogyi rebound from straightforward undertreatment requires a full twelve hour curve, with readings at least every two hours, and ideally hourly readings if glucose approaches the lower ranges. Somogyi rebound shows a dip to low or low normal values at some point in the curve, followed by a rebound rise. Straightforward undertreatment, by contrast, shows glucose that stays high throughout, with only a modest, appropriately timed fall and rise around the insulin's peak, and no dip below safe levels at any point.

It is worth noting that the evidence for the full Somogyi phenomenon in dogs is limited and old, and no modern continuous-glucose-monitoring study in dogs has been identified. In cats, AAHA 2018 notes (citing Roomp and Rand, 2016) that hypoglycaemia does not always trigger a rebound response, and that

insulin resistance does not always follow; the same may be true in dogs.



Curve 2: underdose, flat and high with no real dip.



Curve 3: a high, flat curve. Possible causes include underdosing, Somogyi rebound, or stress hyperglycaemia. Discuss with your vet before changing the dose.

Hypoglycaemia and DKA: opposite poles of the same axis

Somogyi rebound sits at one end of a spectrum. At the far opposite end sits diabetic ketoacidosis, known as DKA. The two conditions could not be more different in what they need from you, and confusing them is dangerous, so it is worth setting them out one after the other, with the contrast between them made deliberately explicit.

Hypoglycaemia: too much effective insulin

Part 1, Chapter 3 covers what to do if you suspect hypoglycaemia. This section covers the clinical thresholds behind that advice in full.

Hypoglycaemia in dogs is defined diagnostically as blood glucose below 3.3 mmol/L, or 60 mg/dL. Clinical signs, however, typically do not appear immediately at that threshold. Below 3.3 mmol/L, 60 mg/dL, a dog may still appear entirely normal. As glucose falls further, clinical signs typically begin: a change in behaviour, restlessness or unusual lethargy, hunger, trembling, muscle twitching, and unsteadiness on the feet. As it falls further still, hypoglycaemia becomes severe, and can produce seizures, collapse, stupor, and loss of consciousness.

One important nuance is worth knowing. A dog whose glucose has run chronically low over time can adapt somewhat, showing fewer or milder signs at a given reading than a dog experiencing that level for the first time. This means clinical signs alone can understate how serious a given reading actually is.

The emergency response described in Part 1 rests on straightforward physiology. Rubbing glucose, in the form of honey, corn syrup or glucose gel, between the cheek and the gum works because the mucous membrane of the mouth absorbs glucose directly into the bloodstream, without requiring the dog to swallow. This matters most in exactly the situation where it is needed most: when a dog cannot coordinate normally, or is semi conscious, and cannot be relied upon to eat or drink in the usual way. The 2026 AAHA guidelines advise applying it with cotton-tipped swabs rather than fingers, and warn of the risk of aspiration, breathing the liquid into the lungs, in a dog that is not fully alert, so the aim is a small amount rubbed into the cheek, not liquid poured into the mouth.

A missed or partial meal: AAHA's rule and this book's rule

A full dose given against a meal that was not eaten is one of the recognised routes to hypoglycaemia (Part 1, Chapter 3), so it matters what the guidelines actually tell owners to do. The 2026 AAHA guidelines tell clients to give a full insulin dose only after the dog has eaten at least 50% of the meal, to give one half of the normal

dose if the dog is intentionally fasted or eats less than 50% of the meal, and to contact the veterinarian if the dog does not eat at least 50% of two consecutive meals. They add that no extra food or treats should be offered before the next scheduled mealtime (AAHA 2026). It is a two-step rule, not a sliding scale: a dog that has eaten 45% of a meal, 10% or nothing at all receives the same half dose, and a dog that has eaten 50% or more receives a full one.

This book's rule differs from AAHA's at both ends. Below half a meal it reduces the dose further, to about a third when nothing has been eaten, where AAHA gives a half. Above half a meal it keeps the dose in proportion, so a meal that was 75% eaten receives 75% of the dose, where AAHA gives the full dose. The difference is deliberate. It rests on the asymmetry of risk set out in Chapter 1 of this Part: a dog left a little high for one meal is uncomfortable but safe while the dose is corrected, whereas overtreatment can cause hypoglycaemia that is fatal within hours. It also rests on the author's experience, described in Part 1, Chapter 2. This book does not claim a trial behind it: where the reduction should stop, at a third or at a half, is a matter of judgement. What the two rules share matters more than where they differ. Neither withholds insulin altogether, which this book explains by the liver's continued release of glucose whether or not a dog has eaten (Part 1, Chapter 2), and both send an owner to the vet when a dog repeatedly eats too little. A vet may reasonably prefer AAHA's simpler rule for an individual dog, and where a vet has given a plan for missed meals, that plan comes first.

Diabetic ketoacidosis: too little effective insulin

DKA sits at the opposite end of the same axis. It develops not from too much insulin, but from a shortage of effective insulin, whether because a dog's diabetes has not yet been diagnosed, because the current dose is too low, or because a concurrent illness has caused severe insulin resistance that the existing dose can no longer overcome.

The underlying biochemistry follows directly from the mechanism described in Chapter 1 of this Part. Without sufficient insulin, cells cannot take up and use glucose for energy, so the body shifts to breaking down fat stores instead. The liver converts the resulting fatty acids into ketone bodies, principally acetoacetate, beta hydroxybutyrate, and acetone. In small quantities, ketones can serve as a genuine alternative fuel source. In DKA, production runs out of control, and ketones accumulate faster than the body can use or excrete them. Ketones are acidic, and once the body's bicarbonate buffering system is overwhelmed, the result is metabolic acidosis, a fall in blood pH that disrupts enzyme function, electrolyte balance, and blood flow to the organs.

The ketone bodies are not all picked up equally well by every test. Urine test strips detect acetoacetate. A handheld blood ketone meter measures beta hydroxybutyrate, or BHB, from a small drop of blood, and the 2026 AAHA guidelines say that it identifies the earlier stages of ketosis more accurately than a urine strip. The guidelines recommend that veterinary clinics keep a handheld ketone meter available, citing its affordability and ease of use. Strips remain a cheap and useful first check at home, but because they are less accurate in the early stages, a negative strip does not by itself rule out DKA in a dog who is vomiting or off their food.

The clinical picture progresses in stages. Early on, the signs are increased thirst, increased urination, reduced appetite, and lethargy, indistinguishable from ordinary poorly controlled diabetes. As it progresses, vomiting, dehydration, weakness, and abdominal pain appear. In advanced DKA, breathing becomes rapid and laboured, a pattern called Kussmaul respiration, in which the body is attempting to blow off carbon dioxide to compensate for the acid load in the blood. The breath itself may take on a sweet or acetone smell, similar to nail polish remover, and the dog may collapse.

DKA is more common at diagnosis than many owners realise. In the largest published outcome study of canine DKA, 65% of cases were the first presentation of diabetes in that dog altogether,

meaning the dog was not previously known to be diabetic at all when it went into DKA. DKA is often the event that reveals the diagnosis, not a complication that develops afterwards in a dog already being managed.

Concurrent illness is common alongside DKA. In the same study, 69% of dogs with DKA had one or more other conditions present at the same time: acute pancreatitis in 41%, a urinary tract infection in 20%, and hyperadrenocorticism, also known as Cushing's disease, in 15%. Each of these conditions can independently cause enough insulin resistance to tip an otherwise reasonably managed dog into DKA.

DKA is a genuine medical emergency, and it is fatal without treatment. In that same study, of 121 dogs who were treated, 89, or 70%, survived to be discharged from hospital, with a median hospital stay of six days. The dogs with the poorest outlook were those with severe anaemia, low ionised calcium, low blood pH, and a large base deficit on bloodwork. Treatment requires intravenous fluids, insulin, correction of electrolyte imbalances, and treatment of any concurrent illness. It cannot be managed at home.

Telling them apart

The two conditions sit at opposite ends of the same axis, and the practical distinction is worth holding in mind as a single, memorable contrast.

- Insulin: too much in hypoglycaemia, too little in DKA.
- Blood glucose: low in hypoglycaemia, high in DKA.
- Ketones: absent in hypoglycaemia, present in DKA, often above 3 mmol/L, roughly 31 mg/dL, in urine or blood.
- Breath: normal in hypoglycaemia, often sweet or acetone smelling in DKA.
- Immediate response: glucose by mouth or on the gums for hypoglycaemia; intravenous fluids and insulin in hospital for DKA.

- Speed of onset: minutes to hours for hypoglycaemia; hours to days for DKA.

Continuous glucose monitoring: the Libre and its limits

The FreeStyle Libre measures interstitial glucose, the glucose present in the fluid between cells, rather than blood glucose directly. Glucose diffuses from the bloodstream into this interstitial fluid, and that diffusion takes a measurable amount of time.

In dogs, the sensor is typically applied to the scruff at the back of the neck, or to the upper part of the chest, held in place with a medical adhesive. It reads continuously, day and night, for up to two weeks (the 2026 AAHA guidelines give up to 15 days for the FreeStyle Libre) and is checked by scanning it with a phone or a dedicated reader. Another sensor brand, FurCarer, is now appearing alongside the Libre, but most of the research described in this section was done with the FreeStyle Libre and may not carry over exactly to other devices.

The time lag this creates matters most when blood glucose is changing quickly. Because the sensor is measuring a fluid compartment that trails behind the blood itself, during a rapid fall in blood glucose, such as the fall produced by insulin at its peak, the Libre can show a reading that is higher than the true blood glucose value at that moment. In other words, during precisely the period when a dog is at greatest risk, a rapid drop toward hypoglycaemia, the Libre tends to give an unduly optimistic reading.

Accuracy varies considerably by range. In the hyperglycaemic and normal ranges, the Libre performs reasonably well and is genuinely useful for spotting trends. In the hypoglycaemic range, accuracy drops sharply. One study of diabetic dogs found the correlation between blood glucose and interstitial glucose in this range was only 0.43, a weak relationship. In about two of every three low readings the Libre read lower than the true blood glucose, and in the rest higher, so a low on the sensor is not a precise guide to how low the dog really is. A separate study, examining rapidly changing glucose

in non-diabetic dogs, found the Libre did not reliably detect hypoglycaemia when glucose was falling quickly.

The practical implication follows directly. The Libre is genuinely useful for watching trends across the day and for reducing how often a dog needs an ear or paw prick test. It is least reliable in exactly the situation where accuracy matters most, when a dog may be heading toward a dangerous low. Any low reading from a Libre sensor should be confirmed with a blood test on a glucose meter before it is acted on, particularly when the dog shows no signs of a low. If there are signs, treat first, as Part 1, Chapter 3 describes.

A sensor that shows a low while a drop of blood shows a safe number does not mean you did anything wrong. The 2026 AAHA guidelines note that some dogs with a low sensor reading are not truly low. Two other things are worth knowing. First, sensors sometimes fail: in a study of 20 diabetic dogs, 8 sensors were abandoned early because the reader kept showing "LO" or "ERR", and 4 more came off (Del Baldo et al., 2020). Second, Abbott's own safety information says a loose sensor, or one whose tip has come out of the skin, can give unreliable low readings. A sensor that keeps showing LO or ERR is worth replacing and mentioning to your vet.

On the reader or app, "HI" is not a number. It means the glucose is above the top of what the sensor can measure: above 27.8 mmol/L (500 mg/dL) on most versions, and above 22.2 mmol/L (400 mg/dL) on some US ones, so the real figure could be much higher. "LO" means below 2.2 mmol/L (40 mg/dL). Abbott's instructions are to check with a blood glucose meter and to contact a healthcare professional if a second reading is also HI; for your dog, that means your vet. If your dog seems unwell (off food, vomiting, very tired, drinking and urinating a lot, breathing fast), treat it as an emergency and ring the vet.

What a sensor adds is a continuous record, through the night and across several ordinary days at home, rather than a snapshot. The 2026 AAHA guidelines note that a standard blood glucose curve provides only a snapshot, that insulin responses can vary

considerably from day to day, and that a low during the night may go undetected. They recommend fitting a sensor when a basal insulin such as Toujeo or Tresiba is started, and otherwise only when it is medically necessary for the vet's assessment, because owners with constant access to readings can become over-dependent on them, altering treatment without veterinary guidance or calling about every slightly abnormal number.

Sensors made specifically for dogs and cats are starting to appear, rather than adapted from human devices. They include GluCurve (ALR Technologies) and Adapet. Both are sold to veterinary practices, not to owners: the practice applies the sensor and follows the readings with you through an app. Neither company publishes a price, so ask your practice what it would cost. Adapet is sold in the US and Canada, and GluCurve is on sale in Canada, with other countries announced but not confirmed; we could not confirm availability in the UK (October 2026). Neither has published accuracy results in dogs, so most of what is known about sensors still comes from the FreeStyle Libre, and a low or unexpected reading from any sensor should be checked with a drop of blood (Adapet Medical, 2026; ALR Technologies, 2025; Patterson, 2026).

Choosing a blood glucose meter

Several meters are built and calibrated specifically for dogs and cats, accounting for how differently glucose sits in animal blood compared with human blood.

Species specific calibration matters because human and canine blood are not the same. Dogs have a different haematocrit, and a different distribution of glucose between blood plasma and red blood cells, compared with humans. A meter built and calibrated for human blood typically underestimates a dog's true blood glucose, by an amount that varies from one meter to another. A meter that has never been checked against dog blood could therefore be out by more than is safe to act on, which is why the published testing of

particular human meters, described in Chapter 8 of this Part, matters.

AlphaTrak is one of these, needing only a tiny blood sample and giving a result in seconds. It is not, however, straightforwardly the most accurate: a 2022 study in the Journal of Small Animal Practice compared AlphaTrak2 directly against a competitor, AccuTell, and found AccuTell performed better, with both devices still falling short of the full ISO 15197:2013 accuracy standard (Wolfenden et al., 2022). Other genuine veterinary-calibrated alternatives include G-PET PLUS and Glucocalea from Wellion. If your vet has given you an older AlphaTrak 2, be aware Zoetis stopped selling its test strips in September 2023, so a move to a current meter, whichever one you and your vet choose, is needed.

Three human meters have been tested against dog blood in published studies, and each came out best among the human meters in its own study. In a 2014 study of nine meters, the Accu-Chek Aviva Nano was the best, with 74% of whole blood readings within the ISO limits, short of the 95% the standard asks for, rising to 97% when plasma was used (Brito-Casillas et al., 2014). In a 2022 study of three meters, the FreeStyle Optium Neo was the best, with 92% of readings within the ISO limits, over 97% in the safest clinical accuracy zone and every reading in the two clinically acceptable zones (Dos Santos et al., 2022). In a 2025 study of three meters and 419 samples, the Accu-Chek Guide did not meet the strict ISO analytical standard, but every one of its readings fell within the two clinically acceptable error zones, although it was tested on only 69 of the samples; its newer sibling, the Guide Me, which uses the same test strips, reached 89% in those zones on 146 samples (Lopes et al., 2025). The studies tested different meters on different dogs, so they cannot be ranked against each other, and the evidence is thinnest at the low end, where it matters most for catching a hypo. Chapter 8 of this Part gives the figures with their caveats. The evidence belongs to the specific model, and models are withdrawn from time to time: Roche's UK website, for example, says the Accu-Chek Aviva meter is

no longer sold in the UK, although its test strips still are (Roche Diabetes Care UK, 2026).

The practical message is simple. A blood test with a meter you trust is how to confirm a low reading from a Libre sensor in a dog that shows no signs of a low. The 2026 AAHA guidelines name the AlphaTrak 3 as an example of a meter calibrated for veterinary patients and do not recommend human glucometers, because they lack veterinary-specific calibration. That statement does not cite the studies of particular human meters described in Chapter 8 of this Part: the references beside it are a 2009 study of six meters, the AlphaTrak 3 package insert and a paper on whole blood versus plasma. On the evidence of those studies, this book still supports the use of a human meter that has been tested against dog blood, provided the vet knows which meter produced the readings.

References

MSD Animal Health UK. About Caninsulin.

Merck Animal Health USA. About Vetsulin.

Behrend EN, et al. Insulins for the Long-Term Management of Diabetes Mellitus in Dogs: A Review. Companion Animal Health and Genetics, PMC. 2022.

Bugbee A, Rucinsky R, Alvarez E, Cook A, Lathan P, Panning C. 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 2026; 63(5). doi:10.5326/JAAHA-MS-7583.

Gilor C, Niessen SJM, Furrow E. Detemir Improves Diabetic Regulation in Poorly Controlled Diabetic Dogs with Concurrent Diseases. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2023; 261(3).

Mori A, et al. Comparison of Time-Action Profiles of Insulin Glargine and NPH Insulin in Normal and Diabetic Dogs. Veterinary Research Communications. 2008; 32(7): 563-573.

Tardo AM, Fleeman LM, Fracassi F, Berg AS, Guarino AL, Gilor C. A dose titration protocol for once-daily insulin glargine 300 U/mL for the treatment of diabetes mellitus in dogs. J Vet Intern Med. 2024;38(4):2120–2128.

Mott J, Gal A, Tardo AM, et al. Insulin degludec 100 U/mL for treatment of spontaneous diabetes mellitus in dogs. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2025; 39(1): e17303.

Merck Animal Health USA. The Somogyi Effect in Canines.

MSD Animal Health UK. Monitoring and Controlling.

Shaughnessy MJ. Somogyi Phenomenon. StatPearls, NCBI Bookshelf, National Library of Medicine.

Koenig A. Hypoglycemia in dogs: Causes, management, and diagnosis. Journal of Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care. 2018.

Boysen SR. Pathophysiology and aetiology of hypoglycaemic crises. Veterinary Clinics of North America, PMC.

Hume DZ, Drobatz KJ, Hess RS. Outcome of Dogs with Diabetic Ketoacidosis: 127 Dogs (1993-2003). Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2006; 20(3): 547-555.

VCA Animal Hospitals. Diabetic Ketoacidosis in Dogs.

Corradini S, Pilosio B, Dondi F, et al. Accuracy of a Flash Glucose Monitoring System in Diabetic Dogs. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2016; 30(4): 983-988.

Howard LA, Lidbury JA, Jeffery N, Washburn SE, Patterson CA. Evaluation of a Flash Glucose Monitoring System in Nondiabetic Dogs with Rapidly Changing Blood Glucose Concentrations. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2021; 35(6): 2628-2635.

Behrend E, Holford A, Lathan P, Rucinsky R, Schulman R. 2018 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs and Cats. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 2018; 54(1): 1-21. doi:10.5326/JAAHA-MS-6822.

Cammarano K, Carney PC, Rose HE, et al. A Veterinary-Calibrated Point-of-Care Glucometer Accurately Measures Blood Glucose Concentration in Dogs and Cats. American Journal of Veterinary Research. 2024; 85(9): ajvr.24.05.0146.

Wolfenden G, James FE, Hung LHT, et al. Comparative accuracy of two veterinary-calibrated point-of-care glucometres for measurement of blood glucose concentration in dogs. Journal of Small Animal Practice. 2022; 63(7): 512-519.

Brito-Casillas Y, Figueirinhas P, Wiebe JC, Lopez-Rios L, Perez-Barreto D, Arguello A, Flores C, Batista M, Camacho M, Martin A, Rodriguez JM, Melian C. ISO-Based Assessment of Accuracy and Precision of Glucose Meters in Dogs. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2014; 28(5): 1405-1413.

Dos Santos MAB, Vargas AM, Rosato PN, et al. Evaluation of Three Human-Use Glucometers for Blood Glucose Measurement in Dogs. Veterinary Medicine International. 2022; 2022: 9112961.

Lopes JLX, et al. Analytical Evaluation of Three Portable Blood Glucose Meters for Human Use in Dogs. Veterinary Sciences. 2025; 12(5): 452.

Roche Diabetes Care UK. Accu-Chek Aviva Blood Glucose Meter. Accu-Chek UK and Ireland. Accessed 20 September 2026.

Zoetis Petcare. AlphaTrak 3 for Pet Owners.

Del Baldo F, Canton C, Testa S, Swales H, Drudi I, Golinelli S, Fracassi F. Comparison Between a Flash Glucose Monitoring System and a Portable Blood Glucose Meter for Monitoring Dogs with Diabetes Mellitus. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2020; 34(6): 2296-2305.

Abbott. FreeStyle Libre safety information. freestyle.abbott.

Abbott. FreeStyle Libre support: what does HI mean when it appears after scanning the reader over the sensor. freestyle.abbott.

Merck Animal Health USA. Vetsulin (porcine insulin zinc suspension). US package insert.

Adapet Medical. Adapet Pet CGM: frequently asked questions. adapetmedical.com. Accessed October 2026.

ALR Technologies. GluCurve Pet CGM relaunch announcement. 8 December 2025. sg.alrt.com.

Patterson C. Continuous Glucose Monitor Use in Small Animal Medicine. Today's Veterinary Practice. July/August 2026.

Chapter 3: The Diet Science

This chapter goes underneath Part 1, Chapters 4 and 5. It sets out the full evidence behind the dry matter calculation, the fat threshold, the role of protein, the role of fibre, and what glycaemic index does and does not tell you about a dog's diet.

Why dry matter basis is the only honest comparison

Pet food labels state nutrient content on an as fed basis, meaning the figures include all the water present in the food. A wet food showing 10% protein on the label and a dry food showing 30% protein cannot be compared directly, because the wet food might be 78% water while the dry food is only 10% water. Once that water is accounted for, the actual protein content per gram of food tells a very different story from the one the label comparison suggests.

The conversion is straightforward. Dry matter basis percentage equals the labelled nutrient percentage divided by one hundred minus the moisture percentage, multiplied by one hundred.

Worked example, wet food: a tin showing 8% fat and 78% moisture converts to 8 divided by 22, multiplied by 100, which comes to 36.4% fat on a dry matter basis. A food that looks like a modest 8% fat on the label is, in real terms, nearly 37% fat once the water is removed from the calculation, close to three times the recommended ceiling for a diabetic dog.

Worked example, dry food: a kibble showing 14% fat and 10% moisture converts to 14 divided by 90, multiplied by 100, which comes to 15.6% on a dry matter basis. Because there is comparatively little water to remove, the dry matter figure looks similar to the label value, though it is still slightly above the diabetic target.

This matters specifically for diabetic dogs because three things need to be judged accurately from a label: fat, because of pancreatitis risk; protein, because of muscle preservation; and digestible carbohydrate, because of glucose spikes. None of these three assessments can be made reliably from an as fed label alone. Tufts

University's Petfoodology programme describes comparing as fed values across different foods as comparing apples to oranges, and this is not a fringe opinion; it reflects standard veterinary nutrition teaching. The companion app includes a working DMB fat checker that performs this calculation instantly from the figures on any label.

Once the water is accounted for, tinned food and dry food can be compared fairly, and the 2026 AAHA guidelines say that canned diets offer benefits over dry for diabetic dogs: fewer simple carbohydrates, higher water content and less caloric density. It is worth knowing how far that claim goes. The guidelines cite a single source for it, a review article (Parker and Hill, 2023), not a trial of canned against dry food in diabetic dogs, so it is expert guidance built on a general nutritional argument rather than proven outcome data. It is also not a reason to change a dog who is doing well on dry food: the same guidelines say that dogs with diabetes can do well on any diet that is complete and balanced, fed at consistent times and in appropriate portions, and palatable enough to support a consistent appetite.

Why dogs resist new food, and what actually helps

Owners of diabetic dogs run into this constantly, and it is worth understanding properly, because the standard advice, transition gradually over seven to ten days, is usually explained only in terms of digestion. That explanation is correct, but it is incomplete. There is a second mechanism operating at the same time, and it is arguably the more immediate one for a dog who simply will not eat the new food in the first place.

The sensory arithmetic

Dogs have approximately 1,700 to 2,000 taste buds, against a human's roughly 9,000. Their olfactory receptor cell count runs to somewhere between 220 and 300 million, against a human's 5 to 6 million. The more important finding is structural rather than just numerical: the olfactory cortex occupies a proportionally much

larger share of a dog's brain than a human's, and dogs use a dual olfactory pathway, assessing a food's volatile compounds through the nose before approaching it, and then again retronasally, from inside the mouth, while chewing. Smell runs both the decision to approach a food and the experience of eating it.

Smell decides before tasting begins

The most directly relevant study gave dogs a short period of visual and nasal access only, no eating, to investigate two food options, then measured which food they went on to eat more of. Dogs ate more of the food they had selected by sniffing alone 89% of the time, without having tasted either option first. The researchers concluded that dogs do not need to taste a food to make an acceptance decision. It is worth adding a caveat the original study itself flagged: a separate, stricter experiment in the same paper, using an isolated odour port with no food or eating involved, did not find dogs distinguishing between different food odours, only a preference for food odour in general over no odour at all. The headline finding, that smell alone can drive a real eating decision, still holds; the follow up experiment is a reminder that isolating exactly what dogs are smelling for is harder than the main result suggests.

This is also why the pet food industry sprays palatants onto dry kibble as the final step of manufacture, while it is still warm and porous: specific blends of volatile compounds, including animal digest and Maillard reaction products, engineered to drive olfactory acceptance at the bowl. The spray changes almost nothing about the food's nutritional content. It changes how the food smells.

Food neophobia in dogs is documented, not folk belief

Genuine food neophobia in dogs, a reluctance around unfamiliar food, has been confirmed behaviourally, though it does not appear uniformly across all dogs or breeds. Documented feeding studies show dogs eating measurably more slowly, hesitating longer, and showing more distraction specifically on the first day of a new diet,

with all three effects fading over the following days as the food becomes familiar. Separately, one frequently cited early study found that puppies raised on a single food for their first six months later rejected novel food even when they had been left without food beforehand, meaning the rejection was driven by unfamiliarity itself rather than physical need. If it were purely a digestive issue, hunger would be expected to override it more readily than it does.

A counterintuitive finding is worth knowing alongside this. Dogs fed the same diet for a long period can develop an enhanced preference for novel food, sometimes called the novelty effect. Neophobia appears to operate most strongly around early life experience with food; in an adult dog who has eaten the same restricted diet for months, novelty itself can become attractive rather than threatening. A diabetic dog who has been on the same food for a long stretch may, on this basis, be less resistant to a change than the general pattern would suggest, though this has not been tested specifically in diabetic dogs.

Smell gets the dog to try it, taste confirms it is safe

An older but carefully designed study offers the clearest picture of how the two senses divide the work. Dogs were given a choice between a bland diet with meat odour blown across it and the same bland diet with no odour. Preference for the meat scented food started at 70%. By the third week, it had fallen to 52%, statistically negligible. The conclusion drawn at the time was that odour alone, without an accompanying taste experience, cannot sustain a preference on its own.

This matters directly for a food transition. It suggests that smell is what gets a dog to approach and try a new food, but a single positive taste experience is what locks the association in. Once a dog has eaten the new food, even mixed into a familiar bowl, and found it acceptable, the pairing between that smell and safety appears to form, and the new smell stops signalling unfamiliar in the way it did before.

Olfactory habituation: the likely mechanism

Separately from feeding studies, olfactory habituation research has found that repeated exposure to a novel odour measurably reduces the neophobic response to it and increases how pleasant it is subsequently rated, meaning familiarity with a smell genuinely lowers the threshold for accepting it. Related work in cats has found that a familiar food odour tends to suppress further feeding interest, while a novel food odour triggers renewed feeding motivation, two mechanisms, a familiar smell read as safe and a novel smell read as worth alerting to, operating at the same time, with the balance between them shaping behaviour. Masking a new food with a familiar smell or flavour is, separately, a named strategy in the wider food neophobia literature covering several species, though it does not appear to have been tested specifically as a mechanism in dogs.

Put together, gradually mixing a familiar food with a new one plausibly does three things at once. The familiar smell dominates the bowl at first, so the safe food signal outweighs the small amount of novel smell present. Repeated low level exposure to the new smell, paired each time with an actual eating experience, builds olfactory habituation before the new smell ever dominates the bowl on its own. And once the dog has eaten the mixture and found it acceptable, taste confirmation locks the new smell in as safe, the same mechanism the 1978 meat odour study pointed to.

Two real mechanisms, not tested apart

The gut microbiome side of gradual transition is independently well established: abrupt dietary change measurably alters the composition of the gut microbiota and increases the rate of diarrhoea, while a gradual transition reduces both, with the microbial community typically stabilising within about six days of a dietary change. That is the case for gradual transition as digestive management, and it is solid on its own terms.

The case made in this section is that the same seven to ten day mixing period is very likely also serving a second purpose: letting

the dog's sense of smell learn that the new food is safe before it fully replaces the old one, quite separately from anything happening in the gut. Both mechanisms are almost certainly operating during the same transition window, and nobody appears to have designed a study that separates their individual contributions. That is a genuine, currently open research gap rather than a settled fact, and it is worth saying so plainly rather than presenting the smell based explanation as more proven than it is.

Fat: the threshold question

Diabetic dogs carry an elevated risk of pancreatitis through several overlapping routes. Many dogs develop diabetes through, or alongside, an episode of pancreatitis in the first place. Insulin deficiency itself causes hypertriglyceridaemia, elevated fat levels in the blood. And obesity, common in recently diagnosed dogs, is independently a risk factor for pancreatitis. High dietary fat sits at the centre of this picture.

The mechanism runs along two main pathways. The first is free fatty acid toxicity. Excess circulating triglycerides provide fuel for enzymes called intravascular lipases, which break the triglycerides down into free fatty acids. At high concentrations, these free fatty acids damage the pancreas's acinar cells directly: they interfere with mitochondrial function, disrupt calcium signalling within the cell, trigger the release of inflammatory cytokines, and ultimately cause cell death. Damaged acinar cells then release their own pancreatic lipase into the surrounding tissue, which breaks down still more fat, generating still more free fatty acids in a self amplifying loop. The second pathway is vascular injury. High concentrations of triglyceride rich particles in the blood increase its viscosity and can impair blood flow through the small vessels that supply the pancreas, causing localised oxygen starvation followed by injury as blood flow returns.

The actual fat threshold to aim for is an area where the sourcing deserves more transparency than it usually gets. A dry matter fat

ceiling of around 10% is widely cited in veterinary practice as the very low fat, pancreatitis safe threshold. The Purina Institute's own guidance on canine diabetes mellitus recommends below 12% dry matter fat, equivalent to below 30% of metabolisable energy, specifically for diabetic dogs with concurrent pancreatitis or persistent hypertriglyceridaemia. Ten percent, in other words, is the conservative end of this pancreatitis caution range, and it traces back to a genuine primary source. A ceiling of 14% dry matter fat is also widely used as a general diabetic dog recommendation, reflecting broader veterinary dietary guidance for diabetic dogs without specific concurrent pancreatitis, but its precise origin is harder to pin to one primary source. It appears consistently across veterinary nutrition resources as a practical working threshold rather than a single named guideline's number. The 2026 AAHA guidelines give no fat percentage for dogs at all.

A caveat worth stating plainly rather than glossing over: a 2026 review published in the Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association examined the evidence linking dietary fat to pancreatitis directly, and found it weaker than the long standing recommendation to restrict fat would suggest. The early, frequently cited studies behind that recommendation relied on experimental models, small sample sizes, inconsistent diagnostic methods, and diets that do not reflect current commercial formulations, and more recent work has generally failed to confirm a straightforward, consistent link between dietary fat content and the onset of pancreatitis. This does not mean fat restriction is pointless. It means the practical recommendation to limit fat in a diabetic dog remains clinically sensible and represents genuine professional consensus, but it should be understood as sound clinical judgement built on an imperfect evidence base, not as an absolute, proven fact.

For a diabetic dog with no history of pancreatitis, keeping fat below 14% dry matter is a sensible general target. For a dog with a history of pancreatitis, documented hypertriglyceridaemia, or a breed predisposition such as the Miniature Schnauzer, targeting

somewhere between 10 and 12% dry matter is the more appropriate, better evidenced goal. When in doubt, this is a conversation for the vet.

Protein: protecting muscle

Insulin's role goes well beyond moving glucose into cells. It also has a direct anabolic effect on skeletal muscle: it promotes the synthesis of new muscle protein and actively inhibits the breakdown of existing muscle protein. In absolute insulin deficiency, which describes most diabetic dogs, this anabolic signal is simply absent.

The consequence follows a specific, self reinforcing sequence. Muscle protein is broken down, a process called proteolysis, at an accelerated rate. The amino acids released by that breakdown are then used by the liver for gluconeogenesis, the manufacture of new glucose, which compounds the very hyperglycaemia the dog is already dealing with. Muscle mass decreases as a direct result, and the dog loses condition, weakens, and struggles increasingly to stand or exercise normally. Studies of the equivalent absolute insulin deficiency state in humans show increased urinary nitrogen loss, elevated free amino acids in the blood, and accelerated amino acid breakdown, all markers of this catabolic state. Encouragingly, these effects are largely reversible once exogenous insulin is given correctly and consistently.

This matters for reasons beyond the muscle loss itself. Muscle is the body's primary reservoir for disposing of glucose after a meal. Less muscle means less capacity to buffer a post meal glucose spike, which creates a direct negative feedback loop within diabetes management: less muscle makes glucose harder to control, and harder to control glucose accelerates further muscle loss. Preserved muscle mass, conversely, genuinely improves insulin sensitivity and glycaemic control in its own right.

This mechanism also explains something that is easy to misread in an older dog. Muscle atrophy causes difficulty rising, stumbling on stairs, reluctance to exercise, and a general impression of stiffness

and weakness, all of which owners understandably attribute to joint pain. In a senior dog already being treated for arthritis, the contribution of diabetes related muscle loss on top of that can go unrecognised for months, because every symptom already has an explanation that seems to fit.

The AAHA guidelines give no protein percentage for diabetic dogs: the 2018 edition sets one only for cats, and the 2026 edition sets none for dogs. This book does not offer one either, because none could be traced to a reliable source. What the mechanism above supports is making sure a diabetic dog's protein intake does not fall short while insulin control is being established and, in an overweight dog, during weight loss, because muscle is what is at risk. How much protein suits an individual dog is a question for the vet or a veterinary nutritionist. For underweight dogs specifically, both protein and overall calorie density need to be prioritised together, and fibre should not be pushed too high, since it fills the stomach before enough calories have actually been consumed.

Fibre: the science of slowing glucose

Both soluble and insoluble fibre slow the absorption of glucose from the gut, but they do so through different mechanisms. Soluble fibre dissolves in water and forms a viscous gel within the intestine; this gel physically slows the diffusion of glucose across the intestinal wall, blunting the glucose spike that follows a meal. Insoluble fibre does not dissolve. Instead it adds bulk to the food, slows gastric emptying, and reduces the overall rate at which digested food reaches the small intestine.

Three studies form the core evidence base here. Two studies led by Richard Nelson, published in 1991 [alloxan-induced experimental diabetes model; not naturally occurring disease] and 1998, showed improved glycaemic control in dogs fed high-fibre diets compared with low-fibre diets. The most directly relevant study, by Kimmel, Michel, Hess, and Ward in 2000, fed seven dogs with naturally occurring insulin dependent diabetes three different diets in a

crossover design: low fibre, high insoluble fibre, and high combined soluble and insoluble fibre. Mean and maximum blood glucose, and the area under the glucose curve, were all significantly lower on the high insoluble fibre diet than on either of the other two, and the study concluded that a dry, high insoluble fibre diet aids glycaemic control in naturally diabetic dogs. A more recent 2025 study compared a moderate fibre diet, at 7% dry matter, against a high fibre diet, at 15% dry matter, in dogs with spontaneous insulin deficient diabetes, and found both improved HbA1c and 24 hour mean glucose relative to each dog's own baseline diet, without one being dramatically superior to the other. The dogs were in fact studied in the mid-1990s and the results published much later, and the diets were made by the company that funded the work.

Taken together, the evidence currently points most strongly toward insoluble fibre for glycaemic benefit specifically. Soluble fibre offers a different, additional benefit: gut bacteria ferment it into short chain fatty acids, including propionate and acetate, which have their own metabolic effects, among them reducing how much glucose the liver releases. In practice, the combination of both types, as found in most whole foods and high fibre commercial diets, is a reasonable practical choice. It's worth being clear about the limits of that evidence, though. A later, larger study of dogs whose diabetes was already stable found no advantage to high-fibre, moderate-carbohydrate diets over the dogs' existing diets at all (Fleeman, Rand and Markwell, 2009). Taken together, the picture is that fibre may help, particularly in the period after diagnosis, but it is not settled science, and a dog doing well on a lower-fibre diet has no obvious reason to change.

There is one exception that is consistently missed in owner facing resources, and it deserves to be stated clearly. Fibre is filling. An underweight diabetic dog placed on a high fibre diet can reach a feeling of fullness before consuming enough calories to maintain or rebuild their weight. For an underweight dog, lower dietary fibre is the more appropriate choice, despite the diabetes, because

rebuilding muscle and body condition takes priority over fibre based glucose control in this specific situation.

Glycaemic index in dogs: useful but limited

Glycaemic index ranks foods by how quickly they raise blood glucose relative to a reference food, typically pure glucose or white bread. It is well established and widely used in human diabetes nutrition, but its application in canine diabetes is considerably more limited.

The concept does genuinely apply to dogs, unlike cats, whose carbohydrate metabolism works quite differently; dogs process and respond to dietary carbohydrate in a broadly similar way to humans. The total amount of digestible carbohydrate in a meal is the main determinant of how much glucose rises afterwards in dogs, more so than the specific source of that carbohydrate, and a diet lower in digestible carbohydrate overall produces a lower post meal glucose rise than traditional diabetic or standard adult maintenance diets. However, among individual starch sources and commercial extruded foods, some studies have found no meaningful differences in glycaemic index at all, with the variation between individual dogs proving as significant as the variation between ingredients.

In practice, glycaemic index is a useful concept for explaining why highly refined carbohydrates, such as white rice or sugary treats, spike glucose faster than complex, whole food carbohydrates. But choosing between, say, brown rice and sweet potato on the strength of their glycaemic index is probably less important than controlling the total amount of digestible carbohydrate and keeping portions consistent from day to day. It is worth being frank about the state of the evidence here: the glycaemic index database for canine foods is sparse compared with the equivalent for human foods, and an owner trying to apply glycaemic index principles precisely to their dog's diet is working from genuinely incomplete data. Total carbohydrate control and fibre content are better evidenced levers than glycaemic index for most diabetic dogs.

Home-cooked diets: the evidence

For years there was no direct evidence on home cooking for diabetic dogs. That changed in 2026, when a group in Bologna published a randomised crossover trial (Tardo et al., 2026). Ten client-owned dogs with stable, well-controlled diabetes ate a home-cooked diet for six weeks and a commercial diabetic dry food for six weeks, with a FreeStyle Libre sensor throughout. Insulin needs, time in range and glucose variability did not differ between the two. On the home-cooked diet, time above range was lower and time below range slightly higher. The authors concluded that both were valid options.

The detail matters. The home-cooked diet was formulated by veterinary nutritionists to be complete: chicken breast, pearl barley, peas and potato, with lard and vegetable oils, a commercial vitamin and mineral supplement, calcium carbonate, salmon oil and L-carnitine. It was matched to the commercial food for protein, fat and starch on a dry matter basis, but had less than half the fibre (2.2 against 4.8 g per 100 kcal). So the trial does not show that home cooking as such is better or worse. It shows that a complete, moderate-fibre home recipe controlled glucose as well as a high-fibre diabetic food in stable dogs. The authors list the limits: ten dogs, all well controlled, six weeks per diet, and some sensors lost early. Owners were given the commercial food free and paid for the home-cooked ingredients.

The weak point of home cooking is the recipe, and here the evidence is large and consistent. Stockman and colleagues analysed 200 published dog recipes and found 95% short of at least one essential nutrient; the only four written by board-certified veterinary nutritionists were all adequate (Stockman et al., 2013). Pedrinelli and colleagues cooked 75 internet recipes and analysed them in the laboratory: none met every recommendation, and calcium and potassium were the commonest shortfalls (Pedrinelli et al., 2019). The Dog Aging Project assessed 1,726 home-prepared diets reported by owners and judged only about 6% likely to be complete (O'Brien

et al., 2025). Rotating recipes does not solve this, because they tend to share the same gaps.

A good recipe is only good if it is followed. Of thirty owners given a recipe by a university nutrition service, only four were still following it exactly (Johnson et al., 2016). In a Brazilian study of owners feeding prescribed home diets for at least six months, 30% had changed the recipe, 40% were not measuring ingredients properly and 35% were using the supplement wrongly (Oliveira et al., 2014). In a diabetic dog, drift does double harm: the slow harm of a missing nutrient, and a shift in calories and starch per meal that the insulin dose was not set for.

On ingredients, the fibre trials above apply equally to home cooking: moderate fibre is enough for most stable dogs. Starch source has a modest effect. In diabetic dogs, diets based on pea and barley (Teixeira et al., 2018) or sorghum and lentil (Teshima et al., 2021) gave flatter glucose curves than maize or rice. Both trials used extruded commercial-style foods, both were small, and in the pea and barley trial the lower average glucose came with more time spent low on an unchanged insulin dose. The practical reading is to monitor closely after any change, not to chase particular ingredients.

One study is sometimes quoted against home cooking. A Brazilian case-control study found that dogs not fed exclusively commercial food were more likely to be diabetic (Pöppl et al., 2017). It examined risk factors for developing diabetes, using owners' recollections, and says nothing about feeding a properly formulated diet to a dog that already has it.

On raw feeding, there is no trial in diabetic dogs. Raw-fed dogs shed Salmonella and antibiotic-resistant bacteria more often than other dogs, usually without illness themselves (Sealey et al., 2023), and raw pet food has been linked to human infection in the UK, including a 2017 outbreak of E. coli O157 in which one person died (Public Health England, 2018). Infections can upset glucose control in a diabetic dog.

Taken together: home cooking is a reasonable choice for a stable diabetic dog, provided the recipe is professionally formulated, the supplement goes in every time, and the ingredients are weighed. The evidence against home cooking is really evidence against improvised recipes.

Diarrhoea: what the trials show

Loose stools are among the commonest problems owners of diabetic dogs report, and the commonest cause is a change of food. The gut bacteria shift when the diet changes abruptly, and they typically settle within about six days, as the section on new food above sets out. There are no trials of diarrhoea treatment in diabetic dogs. The evidence below comes from otherwise healthy dogs with sudden, uncomplicated diarrhoea, and it is applied here to dogs on insulin with that limitation in mind.

Diet beats antibiotics. The clearest trial randomised 59 dogs with acute colitis, large-bowel diarrhoea with no infectious cause, to one of three treatments: an easily digestible diet with a placebo, the same diet with the antibiotic metronidazole, or a psyllium-enhanced diet with a placebo (Rudinsky et al., 2022). The two diet-only groups recovered in a median of five days. The metronidazole group took eight and a half, and the antibiotic disturbed the gut bacteria further. Metronidazole has long been a routine prescription for diarrhoea; this trial is a strong reason for it not to be.

Fibre helps a little, not a lot. In the same trial, the psyllium diet did no better than the easily digestible diet alone. A double-blind trial of 19 dogs found that adding cellulose firmed stools faster on the first day, but all dogs recovered in a median of about three days with or without it, and the gut bacteria recovered equally in both groups; the authors concluded that antibiotics were not warranted (Holz et al., 2025). In a shelter trial, all 11 dogs with large-bowel diarrhoea fed a diet high in mixed fibre reached a near-normal stool score by day nine, against 6 of 11 on a standard diet (Lappin et al., 2022). Fibre may help in large-bowel diarrhoea, frequent small

urgent stools often with mucus, but it is not a general cure, and pumpkin supplies too little fibre to matter.

Probiotics: modest benefit. A review of five placebo-controlled trials in sudden diarrhoea with no identifiable cause found that a daily probiotic made for dogs shortened the illness, in the best of them from a median of 47 hours to 32, and reduced the need for further treatment (Tong, 2019). The trials used different products and doses, so the evidence is limited, but the risk is low.

Why the diabetic dog is different. Three points change the advice for a dog on insulin. First, fasting, sometimes advised for a healthy dog with an upset stomach, is unsafe, because the insulin goes on working whether or not the dog eats. Second, diarrhoea reduces how much of each meal is absorbed, so glucose may run lower than usual on the same dose, which is the reason for checking more often. Third, a bland diet of chicken and white rice is not equivalent to the usual food even at the same calories: white rice is a fast starch, and readings may differ for that reason alone.

Human medicines. Loperamide is a known problem in dogs carrying the MDR1 gene mutation, which lets it reach the brain and cause wobbliness and disorientation at ordinary doses. The mutation is carried by about 70% of Collies, half of Australian Shepherds, 15% of Shetland Sheepdogs and around one in ten herding crosses; it is under 5% in Border Collies (Washington State University). Bismuth subsalicylate, the active ingredient of Pepto-Bismol, is an aspirin-type drug and turns stools black, which hides the black, tarry stool that signals bleeding.

When it is not simple diarrhoea. Diarrhoea with vomiting, abdominal pain or loss of appetite in a diabetic dog raises two concerns. One is ketoacidosis, covered in Chapter 2 of this Part. The other is pancreatitis, which often accompanies diabetes and is covered in Chapter 5; a 2024 review recommends that diet in a dog with more than one condition be fitted to whichever matters most (Cridge et al., 2024). Exocrine pancreatic insufficiency, failure of the pancreas to make digestive enzymes, is sometimes suggested as a

cause, but a study of 30 diabetic dogs found no evidence of it (Hamilton et al., 2021), though eight of the thirty had results consistent with pancreatitis.

Reading the ingredients list: what to watch for and why

Everything so far in this chapter concerns the nutrients a label states directly. This section looks at what else is in the bag or tin, the sugars, colourings, and preservatives that serve purposes other than nutrition, and why they deserve particular attention in a diabetic dog's diet.

Added sugars

Dogs have relatively few taste receptors for sweetness compared with humans, so sugar is not added to dog food because dogs crave it. It is added for a different reason entirely. Sugar reacts with amino acids under high heat, in what is called the Maillard reaction, to produce appetising smells and browned surfaces, the same reaction that makes bread crusts and roast meat appealing to us. Applied to a lower quality pet food, it makes the product smell attractive enough that the dog eats it readily. The sugar is serving the manufacturer's palatability target, not the dog's nutritional needs.

Under UK and EU labelling regulations, ingredients are listed in descending order by weight, and sugars can appear under several different names, individually or grouped under the permitted category term various sugars: sucrose, glucose, glucose syrup, dextrose, fructose, high fructose corn syrup, maltose, maltodextrin, molasses, caramel, honey, agave, and fruit juice concentrate, which is natural in origin but functions as a concentrated sugar all the same. The category term various sugars is legally permitted and tells you sugar is present without telling you what type, or how quickly it will be broken down.

For a healthy dog, moderate added sugar is metabolically manageable. For a diabetic dog, any added sugar is a direct problem. Sucrose, glucose, and maltose are absorbed rapidly into the

bloodstream and cause a sharp post meal glucose spike. These spikes complicate insulin timing, since an injection calibrated to a consistent meal suddenly has to cope with an unpredictable additional glucose load, and even small, repeated additions accumulate across two meals a day, every day.

Fructose deserves particular attention, because there is direct experimental evidence behind the concern. Fructose is metabolised differently from glucose. It is processed almost entirely by the liver, where it is converted into lipids, driving fat accumulation in the liver, which in turn causes peripheral insulin resistance, meaning the body's cells become less responsive to insulin generally. A 2023 experimental study fed dogs a high fat, high fructose diet, with fructose making up 17% of total energy, and found a 50% decrease in insulin sensitivity within the first four weeks, along with impairment of the dogs' own remaining insulin secretion. The metabolic pattern that resulted closely mirrored human Type 2 diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance. This was an extreme dietary intervention, well beyond the trace amounts found in commercial food, but it demonstrates that fructose has a measurably damaging effect on canine insulin sensitivity at elevated doses, which matters when a diabetic dog's food contains added sugars including fructose daily, at every meal, for years.

Artificial colourings

Dogs see the world in shades of blue and yellow. They are red green colour blind, with far fewer colour receptors than humans, which means the bright reds, oranges, and yellows in some dog foods are not seen as distinct colours by the dog eating the food at all. These colours exist to appeal to the owner's eye at the point of purchase, signalling variety, vitality, and wholesome ingredients through a visual cue the dog cannot perceive. This is worth stating plainly: colouring in dog food serves the person holding the shopping basket, not the dog eating from the bowl.

In 2007, a study by McCann and colleagues at the University of Southampton showed that a mixture of six artificial food colourings, combined with the preservative sodium benzoate, increased hyperactive behaviour in children aged three and eight to nine. The six colours, now known collectively as the Southampton Six, are tartrazine, quinoline yellow, sunset yellow, carmoisine, ponceau 4R, and allura red. Following the study, the UK Food Standards Agency called on manufacturers to voluntarily phase these colours out, and EU regulation now requires a mandatory warning label on any product containing one or more of them, stating that they may have an adverse effect on activity and attention in children. The European Food Safety Authority reviewed the evidence and did not revoke approval for any of the six, but did subsequently lower the acceptable daily intake for three of them, a tacit acknowledgement of concern even without a formal ban.

Beyond the hyperactivity link in children, animal studies, primarily in rodents and guinea pigs, have raised further questions. Tartrazine has been linked to DNA damage in the colon, liver, and kidneys, and to embryotoxic effects at high doses. Sunset yellow and carmoisine in combination have shown pro-inflammatory effects in short-term rat studies. Allura red has shown some evidence of carcinogenicity at high doses in rodents, though no studies specifically in dogs have been identified.

A caveat is worth stating plainly rather than glossed over: there are no controlled clinical studies specifically examining the effects of these colourings on blood glucose or insulin function in dogs. The evidence of harm sits in rodent studies at high doses, with some extrapolation from the Southampton study in human children. The case against colourings in a diabetic dog's diet is principled rather than directly proven: they provide no nutritional benefit whatsoever, they may contribute to low-level inflammation, and inflammation worsens insulin resistance. That is a reasonable basis for avoiding them, but it should not be overstated as settled canine evidence.

Chemical preservatives

BHA, butylated hydroxyanisole, BHT, butylated hydroxytoluene, and ethoxyquin are synthetic antioxidants added to dog food primarily to prevent the fat content from turning rancid, extending shelf life by inhibiting oxidation. At high doses in rodent studies, all three have shown carcinogenic effects: BHA caused stomach carcinomas, BHT promoted bladder cancer and possibly thyroid cancer, and ethoxyquin significantly increased stomach and colon tumours. At the levels typically used in dog food, however, no convincing evidence of harm has been established specifically in dogs, and the rodent carcinogenicity appears to be both species specific and dose dependent. BHT is nonetheless banned from food use in Japan, Romania, Sweden, and Australia, and barred from infant foods in the United States, bans that reflect precautionary policy rather than proven canine toxicity.

The most recent dedicated review of BHA and BHT in dog and cat food, published in 2024, concluded there is no convincing evidence that these antioxidants, at the levels typically used in dry dog food, are harmful. No studies have specifically examined whether BHA or BHT affect insulin sensitivity or glycaemic control in dogs. The precautionary case for reducing unnecessary chemical load in a metabolically compromised animal is a reasonable position, but it is not currently an evidence based one, and the book should be honest about that rather than overstate the risk.

Advanced glycation end products: the processing problem

This is the finding most directly relevant to diabetic dogs specifically, and it is almost entirely absent from owner facing resources. When proteins or fats react with sugars under heat, the same Maillard reaction described earlier in this section, they form advanced glycation end products, or AGEs: irreversible molecular structures that accumulate in tissue over time and impair normal cell function.

In diabetes, this same process happens endogenously, inside the body, because persistently high blood glucose provides an abundant supply of sugar for these reactions. A diabetic dog is therefore already generating AGEs internally at an elevated rate, simply as a consequence of the disease itself.

Dry commercial dog food is almost entirely produced by extrusion, a process that forces ingredients through a die under extremely high heat and pressure before drying the output. This process is itself a significant AGE generating event: high heat, combined with protein and the sugars present in starchy ingredients, produces substantial AGE formation. A 2024 peer reviewed study compared dogs fed diets at four different processing levels and found that dogs fed extruded kibble had measurably higher plasma and urinary AGE concentrations than dogs fed minimally processed diets, a difference significant enough to be detectable as a biomarker across the study period.

This matters particularly for a diabetic dog because AGEs ingested from food add directly to the AGE burden the disease is already generating internally. AGEs accumulate in the kidneys, reducing filtration function; in blood vessel walls, increasing cardiovascular risk; and in the pancreas itself, further impairing whatever residual function remains. They also trigger chronic low grade inflammation through a specific receptor pathway, and that inflammation worsens insulin resistance in turn. The net effect is that a diabetic dog fed a high AGE, heavily processed diet is receiving an additional daily dose of the same molecular insult that diabetes is already generating from within.

References

Tufts Petfoodology, Cummings Veterinary Medical Center. Understanding Pet Food Labels.
U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Complete and Balanced Pet Food.
Purina Institute. Diabetes Mellitus in Dogs.
Kiss L, Grober J, Bodis B, et al. Mechanisms linking hypertriglyceridemia to acute pancreatitis. Acta Physiologica. 2023.
Mansfield CS, et al. Serum triglyceride and cholesterol concentrations and lipoprotein profiles in dogs with naturally occurring pancreatitis and healthy control dogs. PMC. 2020.

Yamka R, Sires R, Wakshlag J, Gaylord L, Cridge H. High fat, high risk? Evaluating the strength of evidence linking dietary fat and pancreatitis in dogs. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2026; 264(7).

Freeman LM, Sutherland-Smith J, Mallory J. Cachexia and Sarcopenia: Emerging Syndromes of Importance in Dogs and Cats. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2012; 26(1): 3-17.

Purina Institute. Sarcopenia in Dogs and Cats.

Chen X, et al. The Association Between Sarcopenia and Diabetes: From Pathophysiology Mechanism to Therapeutic Strategy. Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity. 2023.

Bugbee A, Rucinsky R, Alvarez E, Cook A, Lathan P, Panning C. 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 2026; 63(5). doi:10.5326/JAAHA-MS-7583.

Parker VJ, Hill RC. Nutritional management of cats and dogs with diabetes mellitus. Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice. 2023; 53(3): 657-674.

Kimmel SE, Michel KE, Hess RS, Ward CR. Effects of Insoluble and Soluble Dietary Fiber on Glycemic Control in Dogs with Naturally Occurring Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2000; 216(7): 1076-1081.

Nelson RW, et al. Effect of dietary insoluble fiber on control of glycemia in dogs with naturally acquired diabetes mellitus. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 1998.

Gross J, Bartges J, Popovici S, Cornelius L. Comparison of moderate (7% on dry matter basis) and high (15% on dry matter basis) fiber diets on glycemic control in dogs with spontaneous insulin deficient diabetes mellitus. Domestic Animal Endocrinology. 2025; 92: 106944.

Fleeman LM, Rand JS, Markwell PJ. Lack of advantage of high-fibre, moderate-carbohydrate diets in dogs with stabilised diabetes. J Small Anim Pract. 2009;50(11):604-614.

Adolphe JL, et al. Glycemic, insulinemic and methylglyoxal postprandial responses to starches alone or in whole diets in dogs versus cats. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 2021.

Respondek F, et al. Effect of diet on postprandial glycemic and insulin responses in healthy dogs. Frontiers in Veterinary Science. 2023.

Elliott KF, Rand JS, Fleeman LM, et al. A diet lower in digestible carbohydrate results in lower postprandial glucose concentrations compared with a traditional canine diabetes diet and an adult maintenance diet in healthy dogs. Res Vet Sci. 2012;93:288–295.

Tardo AM, Vecchiato CG, Gherlinzoni E, et al. Effect of a homemade diet compared to a commercial diet on glycaemic variability and glycaemic control assessed by continuous glucose monitoring system in diabetic dogs: a randomised crossover study. Journal of Small Animal Practice. 2026; 67(2): 172-180.

Stockman J, Fascetti AJ, Kass PH, Larsen JA. Evaluation of recipes of home-prepared maintenance diets for dogs. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2013; 242(11): 1500-1505.

Pedrinelli V, Zafalon RVA, Rodrigues RBA, et al. Concentrations of macronutrients, minerals and heavy metals in home-prepared diets for adult dogs and cats. Scientific Reports. 2019; 9: 13058.

O'Brien JS, Lawson E, Dog Aging Project Consortium, Tolbert MK, Ruple A. Findings from the Dog Aging Project: home-prepared diets for companion dogs feature diverse ingredients, and few are nutritionally complete. American Journal of Veterinary Research. 2025; 86(11).

Johnson LN, Linder DE, Heinze CR, Kehs RL, Freeman LM. Evaluation of owner experiences and adherence to home-cooked diet recipes for dogs. Journal of Small Animal Practice. 2016; 57(1): 23-27.

Oliveira MCC, Brunetto MA, da Silva FL, et al. Evaluation of the owner's perception in the use of homemade diets for the nutritional management of dogs. Journal of Nutritional Science. 2014; 3: e23.

Teixeira FA, Machado DP, Jeremias JT, et al. Effects of pea with barley and less-processed maize on glycaemic control in diabetic dogs. British Journal of Nutrition. 2018; 120(7): 777-786.
Teshima E, Brunetto MA, Teixeira FA, et al. Influence of type of starch and feeding management on glycaemic control in diabetic dogs. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition. 2021; 105(6): 1192-1202.
Pöppl AG, et al. Canine diabetes mellitus risk factors: a matched case-control study. Research in Veterinary Science. 2017; 114: 469-473.
Sealey JE, Hammond A, Reyher KK, Avison MB. One health transmission of fluoroquinolone-resistant Escherichia coli and risk factors for their excretion by dogs living in urban and nearby rural settings. One Health. 2023.
Public Health England. Shiga toxin-producing Escherichia coli O157 outbreak: August 2017. GOV.UK. 2018.
Rudinsky AJ, Parker VJ, Winston J, Cooper E, Mathie T, Howard JP, et al. Randomized controlled trial demonstrates nutritional management is superior to metronidazole for treatment of acute colitis in dogs. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2022; 260(S3): S23-S32. doi:10.2460/javma.22.08.0349
Holz M, Fritz J, Suchodolski JS, Werner M, Unterer S. Effects of dietary cellulose on clinical and gut microbiota recovery in dogs with uncomplicated acute diarrhea: a randomized prospective clinical trial. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2025; 263: 169-177. doi:10.2460/javma.24.07.0476
Lappin MR, Zug A, Hovenga C, Gagne J, Cross E. Efficacy of feeding a diet containing a high concentration of mixed fiber sources for management of acute large bowel diarrhea in dogs in shelters. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2022; 36(2): 488-492. doi:10.1111/jvim.16360
Tong JOP. In canine acute diarrhoea with no identifiable cause, does daily oral probiotic improve the clinical outcomes? Veterinary Evidence. 2019; 4(4). doi:10.18849/VE.V4I4.252
Cridge H, Parker VJ, Kathrani A. Nutritional management of pancreatitis and concurrent disease in dogs and cats. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2024; 262(6): 834-840.
Hamilton K, O'Kell AL, Gilor C. Serum trypsin-like immunoreactivity in dogs with diabetes mellitus. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2021; 35: 1713-1719. doi:10.1111/jvim.16208
Washington State University, College of Veterinary Medicine. MDR1 in Dogs. Washington Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory (WADDL). Accessed 7 November 2026.
UK Pet Food (UKPETFOOD.org). Labelling.
FEDIAF. Code of Good Labelling Practice for Pet Food. 2019.
Maric I, et al. A High-Fat and Fructose Diet in Dogs Mirrors Insulin Resistance and Beta-Cell Dysfunction Characteristic of Impaired Glucose Tolerance in Humans. Nutrients, PMC. 2023.
McCann D, et al. Food additives and hyperactive behaviour in 3-year-old and 8/9-year-old children in the community: a randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial. The Lancet. 2007; 370(9598): 1560-1567.
European Food Safety Authority. EFSA evaluates Southampton study on food additives and child behaviour.
UK Food Standards Agency. Southampton colours should be phased out. 2008.
Beynen AC. BHA and BHT in dog and cat food. 2024.
Association of four differently processed diets with plasma and urine advanced glycation end products and serum soluble receptor for advanced glycation end products concentration in healthy dogs. Frontiers in Veterinary Science. 2024.

Barroso Leal R, et al. Clinical health markers in dogs fed raw meat-based or commercial extruded kibble diets. PMC. 2021.

Does the definition of human ultra-processed foods apply to dog and cat foods? Frontiers in Veterinary Science. 2026.

Bradshaw JWS. Sensory and experiential factors in the design of foods for domestic dogs and cats. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society. 1991; 50: 99-106.

Calderon O, et al. Measuring palatability of pet food products. Journal of Food Science. 2024.

Hall NJ, Peron F, Cambou S, Callejon L, Wynne CDL. Food and Food-Odor Preferences in Dogs: A Pilot Study. Chemical Senses. 2017; 42(4): 361-368.

Dry Pet Food Flavor Enhancers and Their Impact on Palatability: A Review. PMC8622411.

Volatile Compounds in Dry Dog Foods. PMC6270422.

Houpt KA, Hintz HF, Shepherd P. The role of olfaction in canine food preferences. Chemical Senses. 1978; 3(3): 281-290.

Fontana L, et al. Olfactory habituation to food and nonfood odours. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology. 2023.

Olfactory habituation and dishabituation dynamically regulate feeding motivation in domestic cats. ScienceDirect. 2026.

Liao P, Yang K, Huang H, Xin Z, Jian S, Wen C, He S, Zhang L, Deng B. Abrupt Dietary Change and Gradual Dietary Transition Impact Diarrheal Symptoms, Fecal Fermentation Characteristics, Microbiota, and Metabolic Profile in Healthy Puppies. Animals. 2023; 13(8): 1300.

Chapter 4: What the Numbers Really Mean

This chapter goes underneath Part 1, Chapter 7, and the interpretation sections of Part 1, Chapter 6. It sets out in full what each type of reading on a glucose curve actually tells you, what fructosamine and the newer HbA1c test add beyond a single reading, and why urine testing cannot substitute for either.

The three types of reading, and why they are not interchangeable

The pre-injection reading

The pre-injection reading is taken immediately before giving insulin and feeding, after the previous dose has been active for the full twelve hours and is largely spent. Because insulin's effect is winding down and glucose has typically been rising for several hours by this point, this is usually the highest reading of the entire cycle. It marks the starting point of the curve, not a verdict on how well the previous dose worked.

Under the 2026 AAHA guidelines, readings can climb as high as 16.7 mmol/L, 300 mg/dL, without being a problem, provided glucose stays below about 11 mmol/L, 200 mg/dL, for most of the time between injections, so an occasional high pre-injection reading is expected. A reading consistently above 16.7 mmol/L, 300 mg/dL, suggests the previous dose is not providing adequate overnight coverage. A reading that is consistently very low at this point suggests the opposite problem: the dose may still be active at the twelve hour mark, raising the risk that two doses overlap in their effect once the next one is given.

The nadir

The nadir is the lowest blood glucose value reached anywhere in the twelve hour cycle, occurring when insulin's action is at its peak. For Caninsulin, this typically falls four to eight hours after injection,

corresponding to the amorphous fraction described in Chapter 2 of this Part, with a possible secondary dip around eleven hours, corresponding to the crystalline fraction. The 2026 AAHA guidelines give the ideal nadir as 4.4 to 8.3 mmol/L, 80 to 150 mg/dL. A nadir below 4.4 mmol/L, 80 mg/dL, is under the ideal range and worth telling the vet about, but it does not by itself mean the dose must come down. What the guidelines want to avoid is glucose staying below 3.3 mmol/L, 60 mg/dL, for a prolonged period of one to two hours, and they advise considering a dose reduction if that is seen or if the dog shows any sign of hypoglycaemia.

The nadir is the single most clinically important value on a glucose curve, for three reasons. It defines the safety margin for any dose increase, since a vet cannot safely raise a dose without first knowing the nadir sits in a safe range. It cannot be assumed to fall at any particular measured time point, which means a standard two hourly reading schedule can miss it entirely if it happens to occur between two scheduled readings. And only a full, structured curve, not a single reading, can identify it at all.

The nadir and the pre-injection reading sit at opposite ends of the same twelve hour cycle, and it is worth stating this distinction as plainly as possible, because confusing the two is the single most common error owners make when reading a curve. The pre-injection reading is the high point, taken when insulin is spent. The nadir is the low point, occurring when insulin is at peak effect. They describe two different moments in the cycle and cannot be the same reading.

Random or spot readings

A random or spot reading is any single reading taken outside the structure of a full curve, and it comes with real limitations. The stress of a veterinary visit, travel, restraint, and an unfamiliar environment can raise a dog's blood glucose significantly, producing a reading that does not reflect how that same dog runs at home. A single elevated reading cannot, on its own, confirm poor control, and a single normal reading cannot, on its own, confirm good control.

Fructosamine, covered later in this chapter, is the tool that can distinguish genuine persistent hyperglycaemia from a stress induced spike, something a single glucose reading is simply not equipped to do.

Curve shapes: what each pattern means

The illustrated glucose curves referred to throughout this book, well controlled, underdose, overdose or Somogyi, and short duration, appear in Chapter 2 of this Part, alongside the full mechanism behind Somogyi rebound. This section sets out what defines each shape and what response each one calls for.

The 2026 AAHA guidelines give three figures for a full curve. The nadir should fall between 4.4 and 8.3 mmol/L, 80 to 150 mg/dL. For most of the time between injections, glucose should stay below about 11 mmol/L, 200 mg/dL. And readings during the day can climb as high as 16.7 mmol/L, 300 mg/dL, provided glucose is below the 200 mg/dL line for most of the time, so a single high-looking number is not, on its own, a problem.

Well controlled

Pre-injection no higher than 16.7 mmol/L, 300 mg/dL, falling to a nadir of 4.4 to 8.3 mmol/L, 80 to 150 mg/dL, at four to eight hours, then rising gradually to an evening pre-meal reading that is also no higher than 16.7 mmol/L, 300 mg/dL, with glucose below about 11 mmol/L, 200 mg/dL, for most of the interval. The overall shape is a smooth arc with a clear mid cycle trough.

Underdose

Pre-injection high, glucose falls from that starting point but the nadir remains elevated, above 10 mmol/L, 180 mg/dL, and the curve never enters the target range at any point, staying consistently elevated throughout. The appropriate response is a modest dose increase, but only after the vet has confirmed the nadir is genuinely safe, not simply assumed to be.

Overdose, or Somogyi rebound

The full mechanism is covered in Chapter 2 of this Part. On paper, this shape produces persistently high readings that look identical to underdose. The distinguishing feature is that somewhere in the cycle, glucose has fallen below roughly 3.3 mmol/L, 60 mg/dL, the figure the 2026 AAHA guidelines give, or has fallen very quickly, triggering a counter regulatory hormone release and a rebound. Because that low point may fall between two scheduled readings and go uncaptured, distinguishing Somogyi from genuine underdose requires hourly readings around the suspected nadir, not the standard two hourly schedule. The correct response is a dose reduction, the opposite of the underdose response, which is exactly why confusing the two shapes is dangerous.

Short duration of action

The nadir occurs early, within the first four to six hours, and glucose then rises back to high values well before the end of the twelve hour cycle. The insulin is working, but it is not lasting long enough for this particular dog. The evening reading ends up as high as, or higher than, the morning reading. This is worth discussing with the vet as a question of insulin duration or dosing frequency, not simply a question of dose size, so that the vet can judge whether a change of dose, timing or type of insulin is needed.

Prolonged duration, or overlap risk

The nadir occurs at twelve hours or later, meaning glucose is still falling when the next dose is due. This carries its own risk, since it raises the possibility of two doses being active simultaneously at peak effect. The response here is a dose reduction, with adjusted timing under the vet's guidance.

Fructosamine: what it measures and where it falls short

Fructosamine, sometimes written as serum fructosamine or sFA, forms when glucose binds irreversibly to albumin, the main protein

in blood serum. The higher a dog's average blood glucose has been over recent weeks, the more fructosamine accumulates. It is measured from a single blood sample and requires no fasting beforehand.

Fructosamine reflects average blood glucose over roughly the previous seven to ten days, the figure given in the 2026 AAHA guidelines, which explain that the window is set by how long albumin lasts in the circulation. Older sources give longer or vaguer windows, from one or two weeks up to three. The variation reflects differences in laboratory methodology and in individual albumin turnover rates, not a genuine disagreement about the underlying biology.

Its key advantage is that it is not affected by acute stress. A dog with a high reading at the vet, caused by travel or an unfamiliar environment, will still show a normal fructosamine if its average glucose at home has genuinely been well controlled. This makes fructosamine the most useful tool available for distinguishing persistent hyperglycaemia from a stress induced spike, which, as noted above, a single glucose reading cannot do on its own.

Reference values vary between laboratories and should always be taken from the reporting laboratory's own range rather than a general figure, but as a guide, non-diabetic dogs typically fall in the region of 225 to 374 micromoles per litre. A 2023 Veterinary Record study of 75 diabetic dogs across 321 visits found a mean fructosamine of 506 micromoles per litre on visits assessed as well controlled, compared with 584 micromoles per litre on visits assessed as uncontrolled, a statistically significant difference.

Fructosamine's accuracy, however, is only moderate, not excellent. A 2022 Veterinary Record study found fructosamine had an area under the curve of 0.71 for distinguishing well controlled from poorly controlled diabetic dogs, meaning it performs better than chance but is far from a definitive test on its own. Individual trends across repeated measurements over time are considerably more clinically useful than any single absolute value taken in

isolation. The 2026 AAHA guidelines make the same point, adding that well-controlled diabetic dogs can have raised fructosamine and dogs with poor control can have normal levels. They also note that a fructosamine below the reference range is highly suggestive of chronic hypoglycaemia, which should be verified with a blood glucose curve or a sensor.

Several conditions can distort a fructosamine result independently of actual glucose control, and it is worth knowing what they are so an unexpected result is not automatically read as a diabetes problem. Hypoalbuminaemia, low blood protein, produces a falsely low result, because there is less albumin available to bind glucose in the first place, which underestimates the true average glucose. Hyperglobulinaemia, excess blood protein, produces a falsely high result through the opposite mechanism. Hyperlipidaemia and azotaemia, kidney disease, both reduce the accuracy of the assay itself through interference. Hypothyroidism can alter the result by affecting protein metabolism more broadly, and a haemolysed blood sample can distort the result as a simple laboratory artefact rather than reflecting anything about the dog. A thin, protein depleted diabetic dog in particular may show a falsely reassuring fructosamine despite genuinely poor control, which is why a result that contradicts the clinical picture in front of you is always worth raising with the vet rather than accepted at face value.

Canine HbA1c: the longer term marker now becoming available

HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin, is the standard long-term marker used in human diabetes, but it was not traditionally used in dogs, because no standardised commercial canine assay existed and results were not comparable between laboratories.

That is changing. Canine red blood cells have a lifespan of approximately 100 to 120 days, considerably longer than the seven to ten day window fructosamine reflects, which means a validated canine HbA1c test can offer a picture of average blood glucose across

roughly 110 days, a substantially longer horizon than any other currently available test. A commercial canine assay, marketed as A1Care by Baycom Diagnostics, is now available.

The evidence for its usefulness is striking. A 2023 study found canine HbA1c achieved 100% diagnostic accuracy for distinguishing diabetic from non-diabetic dogs in the cohort studied, compared with fructosamine's 84.4% accuracy in that same group. A separate 2020 study found significant negative correlations between HbA1c and haematocrit, haemoglobin, and red blood cell count, meaning an anaemic dog can show a falsely elevated HbA1c, because each remaining red blood cell ends up carrying a proportionally higher glycated fraction than it would in a dog with a normal red cell count. This is a genuine limitation worth knowing, particularly in an older dog where mild anaemia is not uncommon.

HbA1c is not yet standard practice in most UK veterinary practices, and fructosamine remains the routine test for most dogs. The 2026 AAHA guidelines describe HbA1c as a marker of average glucose over the preceding two to three months, but note that the one dried blood spot mail-in test they mention for dogs has not yet been validated in diabetic dogs. For an owner, it is worth specifically asking the vet about HbA1c in cases where control has been complex or inconsistent, and where a longer term picture, beyond what fructosamine or a single curve can offer, would genuinely change the picture.

The renal glucose threshold: why urine testing cannot replace blood monitoring

The kidneys reabsorb glucose from filtered blood up to a capacity limit of approximately 10 to 12 mmol/L, 180 to 200 mg/dL, the renal threshold introduced in Part 1, Chapter 1. Above that threshold, glucose spills into the urine. Below it, a urine test for glucose comes back negative.

A negative urine test result only tells you that blood glucose was below the renal threshold at some point while urine was

accumulating in the bladder. It cannot distinguish between a blood glucose of 3 mmol/L, 54 mg/dL, dangerous hypoglycaemia, and 9 mmol/L, 160 mg/dL, genuinely good control. These two situations call for opposite responses, and a urine dipstick has no way to tell them apart.

A positive urine test result tells you that blood glucose was above the renal threshold at some point during the same period. In a diabetic dog, this is expected during parts of an ordinary day and does not, on its own, indicate poor control.

For these reasons, the 2026 AAHA guidelines do not recommend routine urine glucose measurement, and Merck no longer recommends urine testing as a primary monitoring method. It cannot detect hypoglycaemia. It cannot safely guide a dose increase. It cannot identify Somogyi rebound. It gives a time averaged result, reflecting accumulated urine rather than a dog's current glucose at any given moment. The 2026 guidelines add that urinary dipsticks have relatively low accuracy in dogs, often underestimating the urine glucose. And individual dogs vary in exactly where their own renal threshold sits, so the same urine result can mean different things in different dogs.

The one genuine remaining clinical use is this: if urine glucose is consistently negative across repeated tests, blood glucose may be running persistently low. The 2026 AAHA guidelines say that such a dog should be screened for hypoglycaemia, because a negative result may mean tight control or too much insulin, but it only shows that blood glucose was below the renal threshold: the true figure could have been 150 mg/dL or 40 mg/dL, and the only way to know is to check it. That is worth raising with the vet as a prompt to check blood glucose and discuss a possible dose reduction, not as a reason to increase the dose.

Urine strips are cheap, which is why many owners use them. Chapter 8 of this Part returns to them from the cost side: what two limited uses remain, why a strip should never be the basis for changing a dose, and why clinical observation, which the 2026

AAHA guidelines say is often a more accurate marker of control than any glucose data, is the better everyday monitor.

References

Bugbee A, Rucinsky R, Alvarez E, Cook A, Lathan P, Panning C. 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 2026; 63(5). doi:10.5326/JAAHA-MS-7583.

Merck Animal Health USA. Glucose Curves in Canines.

Merck Animal Health USA, Vetsulin. Glucose Curve Interpretation.

Merck Animal Health USA. Diagnosis and Management for Canine Diabetes.

MSD Animal Health UK. Monitoring and Controlling.

MSD Animal Health UK. Diagnosis and Management.

VCA Animal Hospitals. Diabetes in Dogs: Testing and Monitoring.

Kuzi S, Mazaki-Tovi M, Abu Ahmad W, Ovadia Y, Aroch I. Clinical utility of serum fructosamine in long-term monitoring of diabetes mellitus in dogs. Veterinary Record. 2023; 192(2): e2236.

Zeugswetter FK, Beer R, Schwendenwein I. Evaluation of fructosamine concentration as an index marker for glycaemic control in diabetic dogs. Veterinary Record. 2022; 190(2): e244.

IDEXX Laboratories UK. Canine and Feline Fructosamine Reference Intervals.

Oikonomidis IL, Tsouloufi TK, Tzenetidou Z, Ceron JJ, Tvarijonaviciute A, Konstantinidis AO, Soubasis N. Diagnostic performance of glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) for diabetes mellitus in dogs. The Veterinary Journal. 2023; 294: 105958.

Zini E, et al. Relationship between HbA1c, fructosamine and clinical assessment of glycemic control in dogs. PLOS One. 2022.

Bremer H, et al. HbA1c as alternative marker in veterinary medicine. Veterinary Medicine International. 2020.

Chapter 5: Concurrent Conditions and Insulin Resistance

This chapter goes underneath every point in Part 1 and this Part where a previously stable dog suddenly stops responding to a dose that used to work. Chapter 1 of this Part introduced the intact female as a special case; Chapter 2 noted that most dogs admitted with DKA had a concurrent condition present at the same time. This chapter develops each of those conditions in full, along with several others, and sets out a practical framework for working through unexplained resistance systematically rather than guessing.

Why concurrent conditions matter disproportionately

A well-regulated diabetic dog's glucose control depends on a stable, repeatable relationship between the insulin dose and the dog's insulin sensitivity on any given day. Any condition that alters insulin sensitivity, upward as resistance or downward as enhanced sensitivity, disrupts that relationship and makes the existing dose either too low or, more dangerously, too high. In a non-diabetic dog, the pancreas compensates by adjusting its own insulin output. A diabetic dog has no functional beta cells to call on. Every change in sensitivity falls entirely on the current dose, which cannot self-adjust.

This is why concurrent illness destabilises diabetic control so reliably, and why a dog who was perfectly stable last month can suddenly show persistently elevated glucose readings with no obvious cause. In most of those cases, something has changed in the dog's biology, not in the insulin.

Urinary tract infections

Why diabetic dogs are uniquely vulnerable

Glucose in the blood spills into the urine once blood glucose exceeds the renal threshold, around 10 to 12 mmol/L, 180 to 200 mg/dL, in dogs, introduced in Part 1, Chapter 1. Glucose-rich urine is an exceptionally good bacterial growth medium. Bacteria that would normally be cleared by the flow of dilute urine find in a diabetic dog both the nutrients and the suppressed immune environment, since high glucose impairs neutrophil function directly, to establish persistent infections. Diabetic dogs face a double disadvantage: they produce the conditions bacteria thrive in, and they produce less effective immune defence against them. [Mayer-Roenne 2007 was a study in diabetic cats; findings are extrapolated to dogs here]

The silent infection problem

This is one of the most clinically important, and most under-recognised, aspects of canine diabetes management. In non-diabetic dogs, a UTI usually produces obvious signs: straining, frequent urination, discomfort, bloody urine. In diabetic dogs, the same signs can be masked almost completely. Diabetic dogs are already urinating frequently and in larger quantities than normal as a direct consequence of the disease. A UTI on top of that baseline is often clinically silent, with no additional symptoms the owner can detect.

The practical consequence is significant. A dog with a bacterial infection can run persistently elevated glucose readings that resist adjustment for weeks without any apparent reason, until a urine culture comes back positive. The infection is causing insulin resistance; the insulin resistance is producing persistently high glucose; but the owner sees only a dog whose glucose refuses to respond to what should be adequate treatment.

The antibiotic lag

Even after a UTI has been successfully treated with an appropriate antibiotic course, glucose control does not immediately return to its previous baseline. The inflammatory response triggered by the infection, the release of cytokines including interleukin-6 and tumour necrosis factor-alpha, alters insulin receptor signalling at a cellular level. That signalling disruption does not resolve the moment the bacteria are cleared. In clinical practice, the effect can persist for one to two weeks after completing a full antibiotic course.

This one to two week figure is worth a note on its own sourcing. It is consistent across clinical veterinary references, including Merck Veterinary Manual entries on diabetic monitoring, and it fits the general immunometabolism literature on cytokine-mediated insulin resistance. It has not been traced to a single named primary study in dogs specifically. It should be read as established clinical consensus rather than a single-study finding.

How to monitor

The 2026 AAHA guidelines include a urinalysis in the first evaluation of a newly diagnosed diabetic dog, and again, with a culture if indicated, when working out why a dog is not responding to insulin. They describe a quantitative urine culture as appropriate in a dog with signs of a urinary tract infection or an active urine sediment, and do not call for one at every recheck. The 2018 guidelines went further, advising a culture in every dog with glucose in the urine and full laboratory work, including a urine culture, twice a year. Urine sediment examination alone is not sufficient when infection is suspected; a bacterial culture with sensitivity testing is needed to identify the organism and guide antibiotic choice. E. coli is the most commonly identified organism in diabetic dog UTIs, consistent with its general prevalence in canine urinary infections, but antibiotic resistance patterns mean culture-guided treatment is preferable to empirical antibiotic choice. The move away from culturing every diabetic dog regardless of signs

reflects more recent evidence and the wider drive to use antibiotics carefully, and Chapter 6 of this Part covers that more contested picture in full.

The 2026 guidelines add a caution about what to do with a positive result. Bacteria found in a dog with no clinical or laboratory abnormalities may be subclinical bacteriuria, and treatment may not be warranted unless urinary signs develop or there is concern that the infection is making the insulin work less well. For the wider principle of using antibiotics carefully they point vets to the AAFP/AAHA antimicrobial stewardship guidelines. Whether to treat is the vet's decision.

Cushing's disease (hyperadrenocorticism)

What it is

Hyperadrenocorticism, known as Cushing's disease when caused by a pituitary tumour and Cushing's syndrome in the broader sense, results from chronically elevated cortisol levels. In approximately 80 to 85% of cases in dogs, the cause is a benign microadenoma of the pituitary gland producing excess ACTH, adrenocorticotrophic hormone, which then drives the adrenal glands to produce cortisol continuously. In roughly 15 to 20% of cases, the cause is a tumour of the adrenal gland itself producing cortisol independently of pituitary control. Around 8 to 16% of dogs with Cushing's syndrome are reported to have overt diabetes as well (Pérez-López et al., 2023).

Why it causes insulin resistance: the mechanism

Cortisol acts at multiple points in the glucose metabolism pathway, all in the same direction: it pushes blood glucose up and makes cells less responsive to insulin.

The primary mechanism is gluconeogenesis. Cortisol stimulates the liver to manufacture new glucose from amino acids and other non-carbohydrate precursors, and this happens continuously in a dog with chronically elevated cortisol, not just during acute stress. At

the same time, cortisol directly reduces GLUT4 translocation to cell membranes, the same mechanism described in Chapter 1 of this Part, but now operating as a persistent pharmacological effect rather than simply an absence of insulin signalling. Cortisol also increases lipolysis, releasing free fatty acids into circulation that then compete with glucose for cellular uptake through the Randle cycle. The net effect is a form of insulin resistance that exists in parallel with, and compounds, the absolute insulin deficiency the diabetic dog already has.

The diagnostic challenge: signs shared with diabetes

Cushing's is particularly difficult to identify in a diabetic dog because the two conditions share almost every major clinical sign. Polydipsia and polyuria, increased thirst and urination, are classic manifestations of both. Weight redistribution, a pot belly with wasted limbs, occurs in both. Lethargy is common in both.

The signs more specific to Cushing's, and therefore most clinically useful, are: bilateral symmetrical alopecia, hair loss in a coat-sparing pattern rather than one driven by itching, skin thinning with visible superficial blood vessels, comedones (blackheads along the back or on the belly), calcinosis cutis (calcium deposits in the skin), a pot-bellied appearance due to liver enlargement and abdominal muscle weakness, excessive panting disproportionate to heat or exertion, and a pendulous abdomen with muscle atrophy of the limbs.

The combination of these signs together, particularly alopecia, skin changes, and excessive panting in a dog already known to be diabetic, should prompt investigation.

The order in which the two are found shows how easily one hides the other. In a 2023 study of 17 dogs with both conditions, diabetes was diagnosed first in eight dogs (47.1%), Cushing's first in six (35.3%), and both at the same time in three (17.6%); the median time between the first and second diagnosis was 194 days when Cushing's came first and 150 days when diabetes did (Pérez-López et al., 2023). The authors suggest diabetes may be spotted first partly

because its signs are easier to recognise and its diagnosis easier to confirm, even though Cushing's can cause diabetes and not the other way round.

Breeds at elevated risk

Poodle (all sizes), Dachshund, Yorkshire Terrier, Beagle, Boxer, Boston Terrier, and Staffordshire Bull Terrier appear consistently across published epidemiological data as breeds with elevated risk of hyperadrenocorticism.

Diagnostic tests

Three tests are used clinically. The urine cortisol to creatinine ratio (UCCR) is a useful screening tool; a normal result largely rules out Cushing's, but a positive result requires confirmation, since many non-Cushing's conditions, including stress and concurrent illness, also elevate UCCR.

The low dose dexamethasone suppression test (LDDS) is the most sensitive test and the current ACVIM consensus first-line test for diagnosing hyperadrenocorticism in dogs. In a normal dog, dexamethasone, a synthetic glucocorticoid, suppresses ACTH production and therefore cortisol; in a dog with Cushing's, this suppression fails. Reported sensitivity for pituitary-dependent disease ranges from roughly 85 to 95% across studies.

The ACTH stimulation test is less sensitive for diagnosing Cushing's overall, reported at roughly 60 to 85% depending on the study, but it is the test of choice for monitoring treatment response in dogs already being treated with trilostane, since trilostane's mechanism makes LDDS results uninterpretable in treated dogs.

Testing in a diabetic dog needs extra care. The 2026 AAHA guidelines say that endocrine testing for hypercortisolism should not be performed until diabetic regulation has been attempted for at least two to four weeks, because unregulated diabetes can produce false-positive cortisol results. They add that the urine cortisol to creatinine ratio is often positive in diabetic dogs and is therefore not

recommended as a screening test in this population, and that the ACTH stimulation test is advantageous for screening dogs whose diabetes is uncontrolled, because it is more specific, with fewer false positives, though less sensitive, with more false negatives, than the low dose dexamethasone suppression test.

Why concurrent Cushing's and diabetes is particularly difficult to manage

A dog with both conditions typically requires higher insulin doses than a straightforward diabetic dog, simply to overcome the continuous cortisol-driven insulin resistance. Treating the diabetes without addressing the Cushing's leads to a frustrating situation in which the glucose curve never responds as expected.

The size of the difference is now measured. A 2023 retrospective study followed 95 dogs, 17 of them with both Cushing's syndrome and diabetes, 31 with diabetes alone and 47 with Cushing's alone. Once each dog's dose had settled, the median insulin dose was 0.90 IU per kilogram for each injection, given every twelve hours, in the dogs with both conditions, against 0.67 in the dogs with diabetes alone (Pérez-López et al., 2023). That is a real increase, but far short of the two to three times often quoted in teaching: about a third more insulin, not double or triple. The dose at the start of treatment did not differ significantly between the groups. The concurrent group was small, at 17 dogs, so the figures are best read as a guide rather than a target for any individual dog.

The correct management sequence is to diagnose and begin treating the Cushing's, with trilostane (Vetoryl) in the UK and EU as first-line treatment, or with mitotane as an alternative, and then reassess insulin requirements as cortisol levels fall. In the 2023 study the trilostane dose needed did not differ significantly between dogs with both conditions and dogs with Cushing's alone. As Cushing's treatment takes effect and cortisol returns toward normal, insulin sensitivity can improve, and the dose that was previously

insufficient can suddenly become an overdose. Close monitoring during the transition period is essential.

It does not always go that way, however. In the small group of dogs whose diabetes was diagnosed first (eight dogs, with complete records for five to seven of them), insulin requirements kept rising during trilostane treatment, from a median of 0.52 to 1.00 IU per kilogram, even though post-ACTH cortisol fell from 28.2 to 7.1 micrograms per decilitre (Pérez-López et al., 2023). With numbers this small the safest reading is that treating the Cushing's does not reliably bring the insulin dose down, so the dose needs checking in both directions. The 2026 AAHA guidelines also note that basal insulins, such as degludec and glargine U-300 (Chapter 2 of this Part), can be effective in dogs with comorbidities, including hypercortisolism.

Hypothyroidism (underactive thyroid)

What it is and why it matters in diabetic dogs

Hypothyroidism, underactive thyroid function, is the most common endocrine disorder in dogs after diabetes itself. It results from destruction or atrophy of the thyroid gland, most commonly through immune-mediated lymphocytic thyroiditis, in which the immune system attacks the thyroid gland, or idiopathic thyroid atrophy. The result is insufficient thyroid hormone, thyroxine or T4, production.

Thyroid hormone plays a central role in regulating metabolic rate, glucose absorption from the gut, and peripheral glucose uptake. Hypothyroidism does not cause insulin resistance through the same cortisol-mediated mechanism as Cushing's, but it slows metabolism in ways that affect glucose handling: reduced gut glucose absorption, reduced GLUT4 expression in muscle cells, and impaired lipolysis all alter the glucose to insulin relationship. The effect is more moderate than Cushing's, but it is clinically significant, particularly in a dog whose control is already precarious.

Hypothyroidism also causes weight gain, which independently increases insulin resistance through adipokine-mediated pathways, the same mechanism described in the obesity section below.

Clinical signs

The signs of hypothyroidism are broad and often develop gradually over months. The most consistent are weight gain despite no increase in food intake, lethargy and general dullness, exercise intolerance, and bilateral symmetrical alopecia, particularly along the trunk and tail, with the head and limbs relatively spared. Skin thickening and a sad, tragic facial expression caused by oedema of the face are characteristic; this is a genuine clinical descriptor used in veterinary textbooks for the myxoedematous facial appearance, not a subjective characterisation. Bradycardia, an abnormally slow heart rate, and cold intolerance are also common, though less reliably reported by owners than the coat and weight changes.

Breeds at elevated risk

Golden Retriever, Dobermann, Irish Setter, Cocker Spaniel, Airedale Terrier, and Boxer appear consistently in epidemiological studies as breeds with elevated hypothyroidism risk.

Diagnosis, and the euthyroid sick syndrome pitfall

Total T4 (tT4) is the standard screening test. In a hypothyroid dog, tT4 is low. However, and this is a clinically important caveat, tT4 can also be suppressed by concurrent illness, medication, particularly glucocorticoids and some anti-epileptic drugs, and by the metabolic state of a poorly regulated diabetic dog itself. This phenomenon, called euthyroid sick syndrome or non-thyroidal illness syndrome, can produce a falsely low tT4 result in a dog whose thyroid is actually functioning normally. Diagnosing hypothyroidism in a diabetic dog on tT4 alone therefore carries a real risk of false positives.

Free T4 (fT4) measured by equilibrium dialysis is more specific and less affected by non-thyroidal illness. TSH measurement alongside fT4 provides the most reliable picture: a dog with true hypothyroidism will show both a low fT4 and an elevated TSH, as the pituitary attempts to drive the thyroid harder. A dog with euthyroid sick syndrome will typically show a low tT4 but normal or low-normal TSH and normal fT4. The 2026 AAHA guidelines add a point about timing: in most cases testing for hypothyroidism should be delayed for four to six weeks after diabetes has been diagnosed and treated, to reduce the effect of euthyroid sick syndrome, and total T4, free T4 by equilibrium dialysis and TSH should be measured together.

Treatment and diabetes interaction

Hypothyroidism is treated with oral levothyroxine, typically once or twice daily. As thyroid hormone levels normalise, metabolic rate increases, which means the body's calorie requirements increase, and the relationship between food, glucose, and insulin changes. A dog being treated for both hypothyroidism and diabetes will typically need glucose curve reassessment once levothyroxine has reached steady state, usually four to eight weeks after starting, since insulin requirements may shift as the metabolism normalises.

Obesity

Mechanism: adipokines and insulin resistance

Excess body fat, particularly visceral adipose tissue around the abdominal organs, is not metabolically inert. Adipose tissue secretes a range of signalling molecules called adipokines, including leptin, resistin, and adiponectin. In obesity, leptin and resistin secretion increase, and adiponectin, which normally promotes insulin sensitivity, falls. Leptin resistance, where the body's cells stop responding to leptin's satiety signal, compounds this further.

Resistin directly impairs insulin signalling in liver cells, reducing glucose uptake and increasing hepatic glucose output. Visceral adipose tissue also secretes pro-inflammatory cytokines, particularly tumour necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin-6, which interfere with the insulin receptor signalling cascade, the same phosphorylation cascade described in Chapter 1 of this Part. The net effect is peripheral insulin resistance that is directly proportional to the amount of excess fat, and particularly visceral fat, the dog carries.

Why many diabetic dogs arrive overweight, and why it matters

Dogs are commonly overweight or obese at the time of diabetes diagnosis. This is not coincidental. Obesity is an independent risk factor for developing diabetes in the first place, and many diabetic dogs have been overweight for months or years before diagnosis. This pre-existing insulin resistance, layered on top of the beta cell destruction that produces the diabetes, creates a more severe and harder-to-manage disease from the outset.

The priority in an overweight diabetic dog is a carefully managed calorie deficit that targets fat loss while preserving muscle mass, the distinction explained in detail in Chapter 3 of this Part. Calorie restriction without adequate protein increases muscle catabolism, worsening the sarcopenic cycle that diabetes already drives. The combination of reduced fat, adequate protein, and consistent exercise, matched to the dog's physical condition, is the appropriate goal. The 2026 AAHA guidelines suggest aiming for a loss of 1 to 2% of body weight a week and say that active weight loss should not exceed 2% a week. They also stress telling planned weight loss in an obese dog apart from weight loss that is not planned, which can mean the diabetes is not yet under control.

Exercise as an active lever on insulin sensitivity

In dogs as in humans, regular moderate exercise improves insulin sensitivity independently of weight loss, through increased GLUT4

expression in skeletal muscle and upregulation of the insulin signalling cascade. This is particularly relevant for a diabetic dog who cannot lose weight quickly; exercise can improve glucose handling even before significant weight loss has occurred.

The caveat for diabetic dogs is timing. Because exercise lowers blood glucose directly, by increasing glucose uptake into working muscle, exercising a diabetic dog that has recently received insulin can push glucose into the hypoglycaemic range. The standard practical guidance, drawn from AAHA and MSD/Merck clinical sources rather than a single named primary study on exercise timing specifically, is to keep exercise consistent in timing, duration, and intensity relative to meals and injections, and to test glucose before and after exercise until a reliable pattern is established. The 2026 AAHA guidelines add that a walk after meals may help reduce the rise in glucose that follows eating. The study they cite (Mampe and colleagues, 2025) was designed to test whether exercise lowers glucose at all, not walks after meals as such, and it was small and preliminary: five diabetic dogs on NPH insulin, whose glucose was lower for up to about two hours after a 30-minute walk. It is a useful pattern to know about, not a rule to build the day around.

Unspayed females

The hormonal mechanism, progesterone driving growth hormone excess, growth hormone causing insulin resistance, is covered in full in Chapter 1 of this Part, along with the critical practical points: that prompt spaying may allow remission, that remission is uncommon at approximately 1 in 10 of spayed bitches with ovarian-related conditions, and that timing matters because delayed spaying allows beta cell exhaustion. Those points are established there and are not repeated here.

For the purposes of this chapter, concurrent oestrus or dioestrus in an intact female is, mechanistically, a form of concurrent endocrine condition. Progesterone is the hormone disrupting insulin sensitivity, in the same way that cortisol does in Cushing's and

thyroxine deficiency does in hypothyroidism. Any intact female in dioestrus who shows unexplained insulin resistance, or any newly diagnosed intact female, should be discussed with the vet with spaying as the priority. Measuring progesterone is considered less important once spaying is planned, because spaying removes the source of the progesterone and so resolves the problem it was causing.

Cortisol and the acute stress response

The counter-regulatory hormone cascade triggered by hypoglycaemia, in which cortisol, glucagon, adrenaline, and growth hormone together push glucose back up, is covered in full in Chapter 2 of this Part in the context of Somogyi rebound. The same hormones are also released in response to any significant physical or emotional stress: pain, fear, infection, hot weather, veterinary visits, and changes in routine.

The practical implication for monitoring is important. A single blood glucose reading taken at the vet's surgery, or immediately after a stressful car journey, cannot be taken at face value. Stress-elevated glucose readings exist on the same background physiology as Somogyi-elevated readings. Fructosamine, covered in Chapter 4 of this Part, is the appropriate tool for distinguishing stress spikes from genuinely persistent hyperglycaemia, precisely because it reflects a seven-to-ten-day average rather than a single moment.

For owners managing at home: sustained stress, a period of pain, a concurrent illness, consistent anxiety, can shift a dog's glucose control gradually upward without any single dramatic event. If glucose readings start trending higher over days without an obvious dietary or dose explanation, a concurrent condition or a sustained stress response is the first place to look.

Pancreatitis as a concurrent condition

The fat-pancreatitis biochemistry and dietary management are covered in Chapter 3 of this Part. From the perspective of insulin

resistance: acute or chronic pancreatitis produces significant systemic inflammation, with the same cytokine-mediated insulin resistance pathway as UTI and other infections. A dog in an acute pancreatitis episode typically shows severe insulin resistance and may need substantially higher insulin doses during the acute phase, followed by reassessment once the inflammation resolves. The same antibiotic-lag logic described earlier in this chapter applies here too: insulin sensitivity does not restore immediately when inflammation resolves.

DKA in the context of pancreatitis is covered in Chapter 2 of this Part, including the finding that 41% of DKA-presenting dogs had concurrent acute pancreatitis.

A practical framework for unexplained resistance

The following sequence, adapted from the stepwise approach in the 2026 AAHA guidelines and from MSD clinical guidance, is what the clinician works through when a well-established diabetic dog's glucose control deteriorates without obvious cause:

1. Rule out dietary change: different food, different portion, inconsistent feeding times.
2. Rule out hypoglycaemia and Somogyi rebound, with a full curve or a sensor, before assuming the dose is too low.
3. Rule out UTI, using a urine culture, not a dipstick.
4. Rule out acute or chronic infection elsewhere: skin, ears, mouth, respiratory tract.
5. Consider concurrent conditions that cause insulin resistance: Cushing's disease, hypothyroidism, obesity, pancreatitis, raised blood fat, and, through raised cortisol, sustained pain or stress.
6. Consider intact female status and reproductive cycle.
7. Consider drug interactions; glucocorticoids are the most common iatrogenic cause of insulin resistance, and the 2026 AAHA guidelines specifically advise asking about steroid creams, eye and ear drops, and hormone products that may be transferred from a family member.

8. Reassess insulin storage, expiry, and injection technique.

The 2026 AAHA guidelines suggest considering insulin resistance when the dose of an intermediate or long-acting insulin approaches or exceeds 1.5 IU per kilogram per injection and blood glucose stays above 13.9 mmol/L, 250 mg/dL. If a condition causing resistance is found, they advise controlling that condition first, before adjusting the diabetes treatment to achieve regulation. If a dog has never been regulated and no cause is found, they suggest considering a different insulin, and a dog that still cannot be regulated should be referred to a specialist.

References

Mayer-Roenne B, Goldstein RE, Erb HN. Urinary tract infections in cats with hyperthyroidism, diabetes mellitus and chronic kidney disease. Journal of Feline Medicine and Surgery. 2007; 9(2): 124-132.

Bugbee A, Rucinsky R, Alvarez E, Cook A, Lathan P, Panning C. 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 2026; 63(5). doi:10.5326/JAAHA-MS-7583.

Hess RS, Ward CR. Effect of insulin dosage on glycemic response in dogs with diabetes mellitus: 221 cases (1993-1998). Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2000; 216(2): 217-221.

Smee NM, Loyd AJ, Carr AP. UTIs in small animals: part 2: diagnosis, treatment, and complications. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 2013; 49(2): 83-94.

Behrend EN, et al. Diagnosis of Spontaneous Canine Hyperadrenocorticism: 2012 ACVIM Consensus Statement (Small Animal). Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2013; 27(6): 1292-1304.

Gilor C, Niessen SJM, Furrow E, DiBartola SP. What's in a Name? Classification of Diabetes Mellitus in Veterinary Medicine and Why It Matters. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2016; 30(4): 927-940.

Feldman EC, Nelson RW. Canine and Feline Endocrinology and Reproduction. 3rd edition. Saunders/Elsevier. 2004.

Pérez-López L, Mendoza P, Melián C. Effects of concurrent canine Cushing's syndrome and diabetes mellitus on insulin requirements, trilostane dose, and survival time. Research in Veterinary Science. 2023; 161: 62-68.

Panciera DL. Conditions Associated with Canine Hypothyroidism. Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice. 2001; 31(5): 935-950.

Nelson RW. Canine Diabetes Mellitus. In: Feldman EC, Nelson RW, Reusch CE, Scott-Moncrieff JC (eds). Canine and Feline Endocrinology. 4th edition. Elsevier. 2015.

Dixon RM, Reid SW, Mooney CT. Epidemiological, clinical, haematological and biochemical characteristics of canine hypothyroidism. Veterinary Record. 1999; 145(17): 481-487.

Scott-Moncrieff JC. Hypothyroidism. In: Ettinger SJ, Feldman EC (eds). Textbook of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 7th edition. Elsevier. 2010.

German AJ. The Growing Problem of Obesity in Dogs and Cats. Journal of Nutrition. 2006; 136(7 Suppl): 1940S-1946S.

Gayet C, et al. Insulin resistance, body weight, and body composition in healthy dogs. Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition. 2004; 88(3-4): 157-163.

Lim SY, et al. Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes in Dogs: Lessons from the Labrador. Frontiers in Veterinary Science. 2022.

Mampe JR, Stefanovski D, Hess RS. Aerobic exercise decreases interstitial glucose concentrations up to 2 h after exercise in dogs with insulin-treated diabetes mellitus: a preliminary study. Frontiers in Veterinary Science. 2025; 12: 1595952.

Hume DZ, Drobatz KJ, Hess RS. Outcome of Dogs with Diabetic Ketoacidosis: 127 Dogs (1993-2003). Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2006; 20(3): 547-555.

Merck Animal Health USA, Vetsulin. Factors Affecting Glycemic Control in Dogs.

MSD Animal Health UK, Caninsulin. Monitoring and Controlling, Factors Affecting Diabetic Regulation.

Chapter 6: Complications, the Science

This chapter goes underneath the long-term outlook material in Part 1, Chapter 8. It sets out the mechanisms behind the complications that develop over months and years of living with diabetes, rather than the acute emergencies covered earlier in this Part. Cataracts, urinary tract infections as a chronic burden rather than an acute disruption, pancreatitis as a bidirectional relationship with diabetes, sarcopenia's functional consequences, and diabetic neuropathy, an area where the evidence in dogs is genuinely weaker than in humans, and this chapter says so plainly rather than overstating it.

Cataracts

Why dogs are particularly vulnerable

Cataracts are by far the most common complication of diabetes in dogs, and they develop faster and more universally in dogs than in any other species. In a 1999 retrospective cohort study of 200 diabetic dogs, half had developed cataracts by 170 days after diagnosis, 75% by about a year and 80% by 470 days, roughly 16 months (Beam et al., 1999). This happens regardless of how well the diabetes is managed. Even dogs with good glycaemic control are at substantial risk.

The speed and frequency are explained by the lens's unique biochemistry. The crystalline lens lacks blood vessels and draws its nutrients entirely from the aqueous humour. Glucose enters the lens not by active transport but by simple and facilitated diffusion, a process that does not require insulin and therefore cannot be switched off when insulin is absent or reduced. When blood glucose is elevated, the lens is flooded with glucose proportionally.

The aldose reductase (polyol) pathway

Once inside the lens, excess glucose enters a two-step enzymatic pathway. First, aldose reductase converts glucose to sorbitol. Second,

sorbitol dehydrogenase converts sorbitol to fructose. This is called the polyol pathway; both sorbitol and fructose are polyols.

The problem is exit. Sorbitol is highly polar and cannot cross the lens cell membrane readily. It accumulates inside the lens fibres. As sorbitol concentration rises, it draws water into the cells by osmosis. The lens fibres swell, disrupt, and ultimately rupture. This swelling and fibre disruption is what produces the visible opacity, the cataract.

A secondary consequence is that fructose produced by the second step is also available for advanced glycation end product (AGE) formation through the Maillard reaction, the same process described from the dietary angle in Chapter 3 of this Part. AGEs accumulate within lens proteins, called crystallins, further altering their structure and contributing to opacity through a distinct mechanism from the osmotic swelling. Dogs have substantially higher aldose reductase activity in their lenses than humans, which explains why diabetic cataracts progress so much more rapidly in dogs.

Lens-induced uveitis: the complication within the complication

A maturing or hypermature cataract releases lens proteins into the aqueous humour, where the immune system recognises them as foreign. This triggers lens-induced uveitis (LIU), an inflammatory response inside the eye that is painful and, if chronic, damages the eye beyond the lens itself, causing glaucoma, retinal detachment, and in serious cases permanent vision loss independent of the cataract.

This is why the timing of cataract surgery matters. The standard veterinary advice is to refer to an ophthalmologist early, before LIU becomes established, rather than waiting until the cataract is visually obvious. Once active LIU is present, the prognosis for surgical recovery of useful vision is significantly worse.

Post-surgical vision outcome is good if LIU is controlled and surgery is timely. A 2023 study of phacoemulsification specifically in

diabetic cataracts, 150 eyes across 76 dogs, found 94.8% of eyes in the surgery group retained vision at last follow-up, compared with only 7.6% of eyes managed with topical medication alone. Diabetic dogs do still face somewhat worse long-term outcomes than non-diabetic dogs undergoing the same surgery, partly because the underlying metabolic disease continues to stress the eye after surgery, but the case for early surgical referral over medical management alone is strong.

Prevention, and realistic expectations

No dietary or pharmacological intervention has been shown to reliably prevent diabetic cataracts in dogs in clinical practice, though aldose reductase inhibitors have been studied experimentally. Rapid glucose control after diagnosis theoretically reduces the rate of sorbitol accumulation, but the speed of cataract development in dogs means this window is narrow. The more important message for owners is early ophthalmologist referral for surgical assessment before LIU establishes.

Eye drops and supplements are sometimes promoted for cataracts, so it is worth saying what has been tested. For Can-C (N-acetylcarnosine) drops the evidence is weak: one report came from the company that sells the drops and covered age-related cataracts in people and dogs (Babizhayev et al., 2004), and a small preliminary study in 30 dogs had no placebo group and described the improvement in cloudiness as marginal (Williams and Munday, 2006). A drop that blocks the lens enzyme behind diabetic cataracts, Kinostat, delayed cataracts in a one-year placebo-controlled pilot in 40 newly diagnosed diabetic dogs (Kador et al., 2010), but it slows cataracts rather than reversing them and it is not clearly available to buy. None of this changes the advice above: nothing has been shown to reliably prevent diabetic cataracts, surgery is what works, and your vet or an ophthalmologist should be asked before anything is put in the eyes.

Urinary tract infections as a long-term complication

Chapter 5 of this Part covered how UTIs destabilise glycaemic control and why diabetic dogs are more vulnerable to infection. This section takes the complementary angle: UTIs are not just an acute disruption but a chronic, recurring complication that carries long-term consequences of its own.

The chronic burden

A diabetic dog whose glucose is persistently elevated will chronically produce glucose-rich urine, which creates a permanently altered urinary environment. This is not something that resolves with better control on a given day; it reflects the average glucose level over time. A dog who is moderately well controlled, with pre-injection readings consistently in the 12 to 16 mmol/L, 220 to 290 mg/dL, range, is still producing measurably glucose-enriched urine for many hours of every day. The result is an elevated UTI risk that persists throughout the dog's life with the disease.

A 2023 study of 107 diabetic dogs found 14% had a positive urine culture, and of those, the substantial majority (73.3%) showed no clinical signs at all, meeting the definition of subclinical bacteriuria. This is worth reading alongside a genuinely important nuance: the same study found no statistical association between clinical signs and culture results, and its authors concluded that routine urine culture in diabetic dogs without any signs of lower urinary tract disease may not be warranted, since much of what is found is subclinical bacteriuria that resolved without treatment or did not require it. This represents a meaningful shift from older guidance recommending routine culture regardless of signs, and the 2026 AAHA guidelines now reflect it: they describe a culture as appropriate in a dog with signs of a urinary tract infection or an active urine sediment, and say that subclinical bacteriuria may not need treatment unless urinary signs develop or there is concern that infection is making the insulin work less well. It also reflects a broader move in both human and veterinary medicine away from

treating asymptomatic bacteriuria reflexively. Current practice varies, and this is worth discussing directly with your vet rather than assuming either position is settled.

Ascending infection and pyelonephritis

The more serious long-term concern is that recurrent or inadequately treated lower UTIs, when they are genuinely symptomatic, can ascend to the kidneys, causing pyelonephritis. Pyelonephritis is painful, causes significant systemic illness, and can cause irreversible renal damage. In a diabetic dog already at risk of renal AGE accumulation, covered in Chapter 3 of this Part, additional renal insult from recurrent upper UTI is a clinically meaningful concern.

Signs of pyelonephritis differ from simple lower UTI: fever, back or flank pain, vomiting, and systemic illness alongside urinary signs. Diagnosis requires urine culture, ideally a cystocentesis sample, plus renal imaging. Treatment requires a longer antibiotic course than lower UTI: the 2019 ISCAID guidelines recommend ten to fourteen days for pyelonephritis, where four to six weeks had been advised before, against three to five days for an uncomplicated lower urinary tract infection (Weese et al., 2019).

Pancreatitis as a long-term complication and bidirectional relationship

Chapter 3 of this Part covered the dietary fat to free fatty acid mechanism for pancreatitis risk. Chapter 2 noted that 41% of dogs presenting with DKA had concurrent acute pancreatitis. This section covers pancreatitis as a long-term complication of poorly controlled diabetes, and the two-way relationship between the two conditions.

How diabetes perpetuates pancreatitis risk

Insulin deficiency directly causes hypertriglyceridaemia, elevated triglycerides in the blood, through a specific mechanism: in the absence of insulin, lipoprotein lipase, an enzyme that breaks down circulating triglycerides, is not activated at the capillary wall.

Triglyceride-rich particles therefore accumulate in the bloodstream rather than being cleared. As Chapter 3 described, high circulating triglycerides feed the free fatty acid toxicity pathway that damages acinar cells. Poor glycaemic control therefore perpetuates the very pancreatitis risk the dog started with, independent of dietary fat intake.

Exocrine pancreatic insufficiency (EPI) as an outcome

Repeated or chronic pancreatitis can destroy not only the endocrine tissue, the beta cells producing insulin, already gone in most diabetic dogs, but also the exocrine tissue: the acinar cells that produce digestive enzymes. When enough exocrine tissue is lost, the dog develops exocrine pancreatic insufficiency (EPI), in which the pancreas can no longer produce adequate digestive enzymes.

EPI produces a characteristic set of signs: large volumes of pale, foul-smelling, fatty stools, known as steatorrhoea, weight loss despite a ravenous appetite, and progressive nutritional deficiency despite eating adequately. In a dog already managing diabetes, the additional challenge of EPI, which alters nutrient absorption and therefore the glycaemic impact of every meal, makes management substantially more difficult.

EPI is diagnosed by serum TLI, trypsin-like immunoreactivity, a specific and sensitive test for exocrine pancreatic function in dogs. Treatment involves lifelong supplementation with pancreatic enzyme powder added directly to the food. It's worth noting that the key evidence linking EPI to pancreatitis as a specific end-stage outcome comes from a small case series of four dogs; the underlying mechanism is well established, but the scale of the primary evidence in diabetic dogs specifically is limited, and most supporting evidence is extrapolated from the broader pancreatitis and EPI literature.

Sarcopenia (muscle wastage) as a long-term complication

Chapter 3 of this Part covered the protein catabolism mechanism: how insulin deficiency removes the anabolic signal that maintains muscle mass, and how accelerated proteolysis and gluconeogenesis perpetuate hyperglycaemia. This section covers the functional consequences of progressive muscle loss over time.

The progressive trajectory

Sarcopenia in a diabetic dog is not a one-time event. It is a progressive process that continues throughout the dog's life unless insulin therapy restores adequate anabolic signalling. A dog diagnosed at age seven may already have lost significant muscle mass by the time diabetes is identified; clinical signs typically appear only after approximately 90% of beta cell function is lost, meaning hyperglycaemia and its catabolic consequences have often been developing quietly for months before anyone knew the disease was present.

Under insulin therapy, the catabolic process slows substantially, but it does not fully reverse in dogs that were already significantly sarcopenic at diagnosis, and any period of poor glycaemic control resumes the catabolic cycle. The net effect over years of disease management is typically progressive muscle atrophy, particularly in the hindquarters, even in dogs who are otherwise doing well.

Functional consequences in the older dog

The hindlimb muscle groups primarily responsible for rising, climbing stairs, and maintaining balance are disproportionately affected. This produces a clinical picture, difficulty rising from lying, reluctance to climb stairs, stumbling or weakness on longer walks, that is almost indistinguishable from osteoarthritis in an older dog. In a dog already being treated for joint disease, the contribution of diabetes-related sarcopenia can go unrecognised for extended periods.

This matters because the two conditions require somewhat different management responses. Joint disease is managed with analgesia, controlled exercise, and joint supplementation. Sarcopenia requires dietary protein adequacy, consistency of insulin therapy, and appropriate muscle-maintaining exercise. Getting one right without recognising the other leaves the dog underserved.

The muscle-glucose-insulin feedback loop

Chapter 3 noted that muscle is the body's primary post-meal glucose sink. Less muscle means less capacity to buffer post-meal glucose spikes, which makes glucose harder to control, which accelerates further sarcopenia through the catabolic pathway. This loop is worth restating here from the complications angle: sarcopenia is not just a consequence of diabetes, it actively worsens the disease it results from. It is self-reinforcing unless actively countered.

The practical intervention, adequate dietary protein alongside consistent insulin therapy, with appropriate exercise, was covered in Chapter 3. From a complications standpoint, the point worth emphasising is that catching and addressing muscle loss early produces better long-term outcomes than waiting until it is clinically obvious.

Diabetic neuropathy

This section needs to open with a statement about the strength of the evidence, because the honest picture in dogs is genuinely different from the picture in humans or cats, and overstating it would do readers a disservice.

Diabetic peripheral neuropathy in humans is one of the best-characterised long-term complications of diabetes, affecting over 50% of people with long-standing disease and producing sensory loss, neuropathic pain, and autonomic dysfunction. In diabetic cats, a clinical peripheral neuropathy causing a plantigrade stance, cats walking on their hocks rather than their toes, is well recognised and frequently referenced in veterinary literature.

In dogs, the evidence is materially weaker. Subclinical neurophysiological changes have been documented in diabetic dogs, but clinically symptomatic peripheral neuropathy, the kind that presents as obvious gait changes, pain, or measurable sensory loss, is not established as a common or well-defined complication in dogs with the same degree of confidence as it is in humans. This chapter reflects that honestly rather than implying diabetic neuropathy is a common, well-characterised complication in dogs.

What is established: subclinical electrophysiological changes

Several studies have used nerve conduction velocity testing and electromyography in diabetic dogs and found measurable slowing of nerve conduction and abnormal findings compared with non-diabetic controls. These changes are consistent with the pattern seen in early human diabetic neuropathy. They confirm that the disease process, high glucose causing sorbitol accumulation in Schwann cells and nerve fibres through the same polyol pathway as cataracts, combined with AGE accumulation in myelin proteins, is active in the dog's nervous system.

The mechanism

The polyol pathway operates in Schwann cells, the cells that form the myelin sheath around peripheral nerve fibres, in the same way it operates in lens cells, accumulating sorbitol and causing osmotic stress. Myelin sheath damage slows nerve conduction velocity. AGE formation in myelin proteins alters their structural integrity. Impaired blood flow to peripheral nerves, through the same triglyceride-mediated vascular mechanism described in the pancreatitis section above, causes ischaemic nerve damage independently of the polyol pathway.

The result is progressive damage to both large myelinated fibres, which carry touch, vibration, and proprioception signals, and small unmyelinated fibres, which carry pain and temperature information.

What owners may observe, framed with appropriate uncertainty

Given the evidence available, the following are what may represent early neuropathic change in a diabetic dog, though none of these signs is pathognomonic and all have other, far more common explanations: altered sensation in the paws, such as flinching at light touch or a reduced withdrawal reflex; postural instability or delayed repositioning of the feet when placed in an abnormal position; and unexplained weakness not attributable to sarcopenia, joint disease, or concurrent orthopaedic disease.

These signs should be evaluated by a vet for their more common causes first, sarcopenia, joint disease, spinal disease, and only attributed to neuropathy if those have been adequately excluded. There is no large-scale prevalence study of clinical neuropathy in spontaneously diabetic dogs. The condition may be underdiagnosed, undertreated, or genuinely less prevalent in dogs than in humans or cats; the honest answer is that nobody currently knows which.

Autonomic neuropathy

Autonomic neuropathy in human diabetes causes gastroparesis, bladder dysfunction, orthostatic hypotension, and impaired heart rate variability. In dogs, autonomic neuropathy as a consequence of diabetes has been described in case reports but is not established as a recognised clinical complication in population-level data.

The one exception worth knowing: a dog with chronic vomiting, delayed gastric emptying, or unexplained oesophageal or gastric dysmotility alongside diabetes could, in principle, have an autonomic component. This is speculative rather than established in dogs, and is mentioned here with that caveat rather than as settled fact.

An emerging option worth knowing about: SGLT2 inhibitors

Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors, SGLT2 inhibitors, are a newer drug class that works entirely differently from insulin. Rather than helping cells take up glucose, they act in the kidney, blocking the reabsorption of glucose that has already been filtered out of the blood, so that surplus glucose leaves the body in the urine instead of being reclaimed. In cats, where the disease often more closely resembles human Type 2 diabetes with some remaining natural insulin production, this can be enough on its own to manage diabetes without any insulin at all, and two such drugs are now approved for that use in several countries including the UK.

Dogs are a different case, and this needs to be understood clearly rather than assumed. Because canine diabetes is essentially always a Type 1 equivalent, a genuine, total loss of the pancreas's ability to produce insulin, an SGLT2 inhibitor cannot replace insulin in a dog the way it sometimes can in a cat. Insulin remains mandatory. What the emerging evidence supports is a more specific, narrower use: as an add-on to existing insulin therapy in dogs whose control remains poor despite an appropriate dose. At least two published clinical trials have shown successful use in this way, with no hypoglycaemia, DKA, or rise in ketone levels reported in the study dogs, and many dogs needing a reduced insulin dose once the drug was added.

There is a genuine safety issue specific to this drug class worth understanding, not to alarm, but because it is counterintuitive. It is called euglycaemic diabetic ketoacidosis, DKA that develops while blood glucose looks normal or only mildly raised. This happens because the drug lowers glucose by flushing it out through the kidneys, while, separately, low background insulin and rising glucagon can still be driving fat breakdown and ketone production underneath a deceptively reassuring glucose number. This risk is well documented in human medicine, and is understood to be higher specifically in Type 1 diabetes than Type 2, which is directly

relevant given where dogs sit. This is why close monitoring, including regular ketone checks, is a stated requirement wherever this drug class is used, and why it belongs in the hands of a vet managing a specific, difficult case, not as something to request as a general measure.

This section exists for completeness and because good, current information deserves a place here even where it will only be relevant to a small number of dogs. It is not, and should not be read as, a general recommendation.

References

Beam S, Correa MT, Davidson MG. A retrospective-cohort study on the development of cataracts in dogs with diabetes mellitus: 200 cases (1987-1998). Veterinary Ophthalmology. 1999; 2(3): 169-172.

Salgado D, Reusch C, Spiess B. Diabetic cataracts: different incidence between dogs and cats. Schweizer Archiv fur Tierheilkunde. 2000; 142(6): 349-353.

Kinoshita JH. Mechanisms Initiating Cataract Formation. Investigative Ophthalmology. 1974; 13(10): 713-724.

Robison WG Jr, Kador PF, Kinoshita JH. Early Retinal Microangiopathy and Lens Cataracts in Diabetic Rats Prevented with an Aldose Reductase Inhibitor. Science. 1983; 221(4614): 1177-1179.

Williams DL, Heath MF. Prevalence of feline cataract: results of a cross-sectional study of 2000 normal animals, 50 cats with diabetes and one hundred cats following lens extraction. Veterinary Ophthalmology. 2006; 9(5): 341-349.

Yi NY, et al. Comparison of the outcomes of phacoemulsification versus topical medication alone in canine diabetic cataracts: a retrospective study. Journal of Veterinary Science. 2023.

Park SA, et al. Phacoemulsification and intraocular lens implantation in diabetic dogs: a retrospective study. Veterinary Ophthalmology. 2009; 12(3): 184-188.

Nelson V, Downey A, Summers S, Shropshire S. Prevalence of signs of lower urinary tract disease and positive urine culture in dogs with diabetes mellitus: a retrospective study. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2023; 37(2): 550-555.

Bugbee A, Rucinsky R, Alvarez E, Cook A, Lathan P, Panning C. 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 2026; 63(5). doi:10.5326/JAAHA-MS-7583.

Smee NM, Loyd AJ, Carr AP. UTIs in small animals: part 2: diagnosis, treatment, and complications. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 2013; 49(2): 83-94.

Woolcock AD, Stroup ST, Smith AN. Presumptive pyelonephritis in dogs: 32 cases. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 2019; 55(2): 79-87.

Weese JS, Blondeau J, Boothe D, et al. International Society for Companion Animal Infectious Diseases (ISCAID) guidelines for the diagnosis and management of bacterial urinary tract infections

in dogs and cats. The Veterinary Journal. 2019; 247: 8-25.

Mansfield CS, et al. Serum triglyceride and cholesterol concentrations and lipoprotein profiles in dogs with naturally occurring pancreatitis and healthy control dogs. Animals (Basel). 2020; 10(7): 1174.

Watson PJ. Exocrine pancreatic insufficiency as an end stage of pancreatitis in four dogs. Journal of Small Animal Practice. 2003; 44(7): 306-312.

Batchelor DJ, et al. Breed associations for canine exocrine pancreatic insufficiency. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2007; 21(2): 207-214.

Westermarck E, Wiberg M. Exocrine Pancreatic Insufficiency in the Dog: Historical Background, Theories on Aetiology, and Recent Experimental Work. European Journal of Small Animal Practice. 2003.

Freeman LM, Sutherland-Smith J, Mallory J. Cachexia and Sarcopenia: Emerging Syndromes of Importance in Dogs and Cats. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2012; 26(1): 3-17.

Chen X, et al. The Association Between Sarcopenia and Diabetes: From Pathophysiology Mechanism to Therapeutic Strategy. Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity. 2023.

Katherman AE, Braund KG. Polyneuropathy associated with diabetes mellitus in a dog. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 1983; 182(5): 519-520.

Steinberg HS, Troncoso JC, Cork LC, Price DL. Studies of Nerve Biopsies in Dogs with Experimental Diabetes. Acta Neuropathologica. 1981; 55(3): 173-184.

Wouda W, van Nes JJ, Borst GH, Gruys E. Symptomatic diabetic polyneuropathy in the dog. Journal of Comparative Pathology. 1991; 105(3): 253-268.

Mizisin AP, et al. Schwann cell division, death and regeneration in chemically-induced diabetic dogs. Acta Neuropathologica. 2002; 103(4): 396-404.

Braund KG. Peripheral Neuropathies Associated with Metabolic, Endocrine and Nutritional Disorders. In: Braund's Clinical Neurology in Small Animals. International Veterinary Information Service. 2003.

Mott J. Evolving Roles of SGLT2 Inhibitors in Cats (and Dogs??) with Diabetes. Encontro de Formacao da Ordem dos Medicos Veterinarios (EFOMV), 11th edition, 2026.

Kador PF, Webb TR, Bras D, Ketring K, Wyman M. Topical KINOSTAT ameliorates the clinical development and progression of cataracts in dogs with diabetes mellitus. Veterinary Ophthalmology. 2010; 13(6): 363-368.

Williams DL, Munday P. The effect of a topical antioxidant formulation including N-acetyl carnosine on canine cataract: a preliminary study. Veterinary Ophthalmology. 2006; 9(5): 311-316.

Babizhayev MA, Deyev AI, Yermakova VN, Brikman IV, Bours J. Lipid peroxidation and cataracts: N-acetylcarnosine as a therapeutic

tool to manage age-related cataracts in human and in canine eyes. Drugs in R&D. 2004; 5(3): 125-139.

Chapter 7: Prognosis, Quality of Life, and the Long View

This chapter goes underneath Part 1, Chapter 8. It sets out the actual survival data behind the reassurance given there, what predicts a better or worse outcome, what the quality-of-life research says in detail, and the evidence base for caregiver burden, the psychological weight of managing a chronic condition, which turns out to be measurable, common, and directly reducible by understanding what you are doing and why.

Survival data: what the studies actually show

The large population study

The most robust survival data for canine diabetes comes from a 2007 Swedish study examining 180,000 insured dogs across a multi-year period. This study, Fall T, Hansson Hamlin H, Hedhammar A, Kampe O, Egenvall A, provides the largest and most epidemiologically robust survival dataset for the condition. Incidence was highest in middle to older aged dogs, with most diagnoses between seven and ten years of age. Survival curves showed the steepest mortality in the period immediately following diagnosis. Breed effects were substantial, and are detailed in Chapter 1 of this Part.

A referral hospital cohort with precise outcome data

A 2019 single-centre study, Tardo AM, et al., published in Veterinary Record, followed 68 dogs with newly diagnosed diabetes at a referral teaching hospital and found a median survival time of 964 days, with a range of 22 to 3140 days. This is the source of the 964-day figure referenced in Part 1, Chapter 8; it works out to approximately 2.6 years. The study also found that a higher haematocrit and higher serum phosphate concentration at diagnosis were independently associated with shorter survival, and that the

presence of concurrent pancreatitis was not necessarily associated with a worse outcome.

What the survival curve actually means

The clinical pattern is consistent across studies. Mortality risk is highest in the first six months after diagnosis. This is when DKA, acute concurrent illness, the management learning curve, and the owner's decision to discontinue treatment account for most early deaths. Dogs that stabilise through this initial period have substantially improved prognosis. The Part 1 framing, three to five years, plenty go on for eight to ten, is consistent with the published data for well-managed dogs without major concurrent disease.

Dogs euthanased at diagnosis or within the first few weeks drag down the population median substantially, which means a median survival figure can understate the realistic expectation for an owner who commits to management. A 2017 survey of 1,192 veterinarians worldwide, Niessen SJM, Hazuchova K, Powney SL, et al., found a median of one in ten diabetic dogs is euthanased at the point of diagnosis, and a further one in ten within the first year, most commonly because of concurrent disease, cost, the animal's age, difficulty achieving control, or the impact on the owner's lifestyle, not because of a poor biological prognosis on its own. This matters for reading the survival statistics correctly: a meaningful share of the dogs pulling the population median downward were never given the chance to respond to treatment at all. The relevant figure for an owner whose dog has just been stabilised is the conditional survival, survival given that the dog has already survived the first month, which is considerably more optimistic than the raw population median.

Outcome predictors

Several factors have been consistently identified across clinical studies as influencing survival in diabetic dogs.

Concurrent disease at diagnosis is the most powerful predictor. A dog diagnosed with diabetes alone has substantially better prognosis than a dog diagnosed with concurrent pancreatitis, Cushing's disease, or hypothyroidism. Chapter 5 of this Part covered how each condition complicates management; from a prognosis standpoint, the impact is not just on short-term glucose control but on survival duration. For Cushing's disease specifically, a 2023 study of 17 dogs with both conditions found a median survival of 892 days, significantly shorter than in dogs with diabetes alone, whose median survival was not reached during the study, and not significantly different from dogs with Cushing's alone, at 1,245 days (Pérez-López et al., 2023). The group was small, so the figures are a general indication rather than a forecast for any one dog.

DKA at presentation carries a meaningful mortality risk during that hospitalisation, approximately 30% in the largest published outcome study, covered in full in Chapter 2 of this Part. Of those who survive to discharge, long-term prognosis is not necessarily worse than dogs diagnosed without DKA; surviving the initial crisis is the key threshold.

Age at diagnosis matters in an arithmetic rather than a management sense. Older dogs have shorter expected remaining lifespan regardless of diabetes; a dog diagnosed at twelve has less capacity for the three-to-five-year survival range simply because of age. This is not a failure of management. The relevant question for an older dog is the quality of the remaining life, not its duration.

Sex carries the specific exception discussed fully in Chapter 1 of this Part: progesterone-driven diabetes may resolve after spaying. For other dogs, sex does not independently predict survival once concurrent disease is accounted for.

Glycaemic control quality is the factor most directly within an owner's and vet's influence. Chronic poor control drives the complications covered in Chapter 6 of this Part, cataracts largely independent of control, UTI burden, pancreatitis perpetuation through hypertriglyceridaemia, and sarcopenia, which in turn

shorten functional survival. Dogs with consistently good glucose curves live longer and better than dogs with persistently elevated or erratic readings.

Quality of life: what the research shows

The QoL instrument for diabetic dogs

Part 1, Chapter 8 cited the headline findings: 81% of owners rated their dog's overall quality of life as good, while 84% reported some diabetes-specific negative impact. The study behind these figures, Niessen SJM, Powney S, Guitian J, Niessen APM, Pion PD, Shaw JA, Church DB, developed and validated a diabetes-specific quality-of-life tool, rather than adapting a generic animal QoL scale, by combining owner questionnaire data across multiple domains: physical health, emotional state, ability to perform normal activities, and owner-dog relationship quality. The tool was validated in a population of diabetic dogs in the UK, making it directly relevant to this book's audience.

The apparent contradiction between the 81% overall positive rating and the 84% reporting some negative impact reflects a genuine phenomenon in chronic disease management: people assess overall quality of life holistically, as a gestalt, while domain-specific questions reveal impacts that are real but do not, taken individually, tip the overall assessment negative. Both findings are honest. They are measuring different things.

The HHHHHMM scale

The HHHHHMM quality of life scale, mentioned in Part 1, Chapter 8, was developed by Alice Villalobos primarily in the context of oncology and end-of-life decision making, and has been widely adopted across chronic disease contexts. The seven domains are: Hurt, Hunger, Hydration, Hygiene, Happiness, Mobility, and more good days than bad. Each is scored from 1 to 10, with a score above 35 out of 70 generally indicating an acceptable quality of life.

Its strength is that it converts a subjective, emotionally loaded question, is my dog suffering, into a structured, repeatable assessment that an owner can run at intervals, comparing over time rather than relying on memory of a bad day. Its limitation is that it was designed for oncology, and some domains map less naturally to a well-managed diabetic dog than to a dog with terminal cancer. Hunger and hydration, for example, are directly affected by diabetic symptoms in ways that do not straightforwardly indicate suffering; a diabetic dog may drink excessively because of the disease, not from dehydration.

What good actually looks like in a stable diabetic dog

The Niessen et al. 2012 study found something worth knowing on its own terms: owners consistently underestimated, in retrospect, how distressing they had expected the management to be compared with what it turned out to be in practice. The adjustment burden, learning to give injections, run curves, interpret readings, was consistently heavier in the early weeks, and eased substantially once routine was established. This is not spin. It reflects genuine, documented adaptation, not simply owners becoming resigned to a difficult situation.

Caregiver burden

The anchor study and what it established

The foundational research on caregiver burden in companion animal ownership, and the study that places this experience in a formally measured scientific context, is Spitznagel MB, Jacobson DM, Cox MD, Carlson MD, published in Veterinary Record in 2017. This study adapted the Zarit Burden Interview, the gold-standard human caregiver burden measurement tool, for veterinary use and applied it to 238 owners of animals with confirmed chronic illness. It found measurable caregiver burden comparable in magnitude to human

caregiver burden studies, levels previously assumed to belong only to the human context.

The single most actionable finding from this study: owner satisfaction with their veterinarian and with their treatment plan was a statistically significant predictor of lower caregiver burden. Owners who felt confident in the clinical management, who understood what was being done and why, carried measurably less burden than those who felt uncertain or unsupported. This finding has been replicated in subsequent studies across different chronic animal conditions.

This is the evidence base for why explanation matters. Understanding insulin pharmacokinetics, reading a glucose curve, knowing what fructosamine is and what it cannot tell you: these are not academic exercises. They measurably reduce the psychological weight of caregiving. The 2026 AAHA guidelines reach the same conclusion from the clinic side. They give client education a section of its own, and ask vets to give owners a realistic idea of the commitment involved, along with encouragement that successful management is possible but takes time, written material to use when the veterinary team is unavailable, and content that explains what to watch for at home and how to respond to changes in the dog's condition.

Subsequent replications

The Spitznagel group published several follow-on studies that confirmed and extended the 2017 findings. A 2018 study, published in the Veterinary Journal, found that the animal's visible clinical signs and behavioural problems were the strongest predictors of owner burden, more than disease severity on objective clinical measures. In practical terms, an animal who looks unwell is more distressing for an owner than one who is ill but appears normal day to day.

The prevalence of caregiver burden

Part 1, Chapter 8 stated that roughly half of owners caring for a pet with a chronic illness show meaningful signs of caregiver burden. A 2019 validation study by the same research group, published in the Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine, states directly that approximately half of owners providing care for a seriously ill companion animal demonstrate significant caregiver burden, consistent with the figure used in Part 1.

What caregiver burden looks and feels like

The adapted Zarit Burden Interview covers domains including time burden, how much of the day is consumed by management, emotional burden, anxiety, grief, guilt, role burden, the sense that caregiving is taking over other aspects of life, and social burden, isolation, inability to travel or maintain social routines normally. A diabetic dog's twice-daily injection and feeding schedule, the need for home testing, the monitoring for hypoglycaemia, and the avoidance of travel that disrupts the regime all map directly onto these burden domains.

Guilt is a specific feature worth addressing explicitly. Because diabetes in dogs is associated with obesity in some cases, and because insulin management errors can have serious consequences, owners frequently experience guilt: about the diet that may have contributed, about an injection that caused a hypoglycaemic episode, about a glucose curve that stayed high despite their efforts. The research context is clear on this point: caregiver burden and feelings of guilt occur in owners doing everything correctly, not as markers of mismanagement.

What helps

The evidence from the Spitznagel research programme points to three things. First, veterinary communication and confidence in the treatment plan. Owners who understood their dog's management, who had been given clear explanations and felt their vet's guidance

was trustworthy, showed lower burden scores. This is an actionable finding: seeking a second opinion if the first explanation felt unclear, or specifically asking for more explanation at the next visit, has a measurable likely benefit.

Second, peer support. Owner forums and support communities function as a practical coping resource. The normalising effect of discovering that other owners face the same challenges, that a glucose curve that baffled them also baffled experienced owners initially, that their dog's resistance to ear testing is standard rather than a personal failure, reduces isolation and perceived burden.

Third, knowing that the animal's quality of life is good. The 2018 finding that perceived animal wellbeing is the strongest predictor of burden has a converse implication: a dog who appears well, engaged, and comfortable provides its owner with direct reassurance that the caregiving effort is worthwhile. This is the most honest and practical case for good glycaemic control, not just the dog's health, but the owner's mental health in managing it.

The longer view: what owners report

A consistent pattern across QoL studies, forum data, and clinical reports is that diabetic dog ownership, after the initial adjustment period, normalises. The injection becomes routine. The twice-daily schedule structures the day rather than overwhelming it. Owners who were frightened by the diagnosis at week one describe the management as no big deal by month three, not because the effort has decreased but because it has become part of life rather than an emergency.

This is not spin. It reflects genuine adaptation, documented in the research: the same Niessen et al. 2012 finding that owners consistently underestimated, in retrospect, how distressing they had expected the management to be compared with what it turned out to be in practice.

References

Fall T, Hansson Hamlin H, Hedhammar A, Kampe O, Egenvall A. Diabetes Mellitus in a Population of 180,000 Insured Dogs: Incidence, Survival, and Breed Distribution. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2007; 21(6): 1209-1216.

Tardo AM, Del Baldo F, Dondi F, Pietra M, Chiocchetti R, Fracassi F. Survival estimates and outcome predictors in dogs with newly diagnosed diabetes mellitus treated in a veterinary teaching hospital. Veterinary Record. 2019; 185(22): 692.

Hess RS, Kass PH, Ward CR. Breed distribution of dogs with diabetes mellitus admitted to a tertiary care facility. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2000; 216(9): 1414-1417.

Niessen SJM, Hazuchova K, Powney SL, Guitian J, Niessen APM, Pion PD, Shaw JA, Church DB. The Big Pet Diabetes Survey: Perceived Frequency and Triggers for Euthanasia. Veterinary Sciences. 2017; 4(2): 27.

Hume DZ, Drobatz KJ, Hess RS. Outcome of Dogs with Diabetic Ketoacidosis: 127 Dogs (1993-2003). Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2006; 20(3): 547-555.

Pérez-López L, Mendoza P, Melián C. Effects of concurrent canine Cushing's syndrome and diabetes mellitus on insulin requirements, trilostane dose, and survival time. Research in Veterinary Science. 2023; 161: 62-68.

Niessen SJM, Powney S, Guitian J, Niessen APM, Pion PD, Shaw JA, Church DB. Evaluation of a Quality-of-Life Tool for Dogs with Diabetes Mellitus. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2012; 26(4): 953-961.

Villalobos AE, Kaplan L. Canine and Feline Geriatric Oncology: Honoring the Human-Animal Bond. Blackwell. 2004.

Spitznagel MB, Jacobson DM, Cox MD, Carlson MD. Caregiver burden in owners of a sick companion animal: a cross-sectional observational study. Veterinary Record. 2017; 181(12): 321.

Spitznagel MB, et al. Predicting caregiver burden in general veterinary clients: contribution of companion animal clinical signs and problem behaviours. Veterinary Journal. 2018; 236: 23-30.

Spitznagel MB, et al. Validation of an abbreviated instrument to assess veterinary client caregiver burden. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2019.

Chapter 8: The Cost of Care

This chapter exists because of something that kept coming up on owner forums, over and over, in slightly different words: “I cannot afford this”. Sometimes that means a genuine, immediate choice between treating a diabetic dog and something else that matters, rent, another family member's needs, a second job just to cover the difference. This chapter takes that seriously, as a real, central part of managing this disease, not a footnote to the medicine.

The real stakes

Diabetes in dogs is a treatable disease. And yet euthanasia rates are high: 10% to 40% of dogs are euthanised at the point of diagnosis, and a further 10% within the first year, with cost and the impact on the owner's life among the leading reasons. A survey of 224 US dog owners found that 64% viewed treatment as expensive. That figure is not a minor inconvenience statistic. It is very likely a direct contributor to dogs losing their lives over a disease that, with the right approach, they did not have to lose their lives over.

It is worth naming plainly what sits behind those numbers, because the numbers alone can feel abstract. Losing a dog is a real grief, whatever the cause. Losing a dog specifically because the cost of treatment could not be met carries something additional alongside that grief: guilt, the particular, corrosive kind that comes from believing you failed your dog not through anything you did wrong, but through what you could not afford. That guilt is not rational, and it is not deserved. Financial circumstances are not a moral failing. But knowing that does not make the feeling go away, and an owner in that position deserves to know they are not alone in it, and that in a great many cases, there was more room to manage this affordably than they were ever told.

The core message of this chapter, and of the research it draws on, is this: there is no single correct way to manage a diabetic dog. There is a range, a spectrum, of legitimate approaches, and the right one is

whichever combination the individual owner can actually sustain, for years, without it costing them their own wellbeing or their dog's welfare. A cheaper approach, chosen deliberately and understood properly, is not a lesser approach.

The spectrum of care principle

This chapter draws heavily on a body of work by veterinary endocrinologist Jocelyn Mott and colleagues at the University of Florida, published in the Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association in 2025 and expanded in continuing education material since. Their central argument is that canine diabetes management costs depend on choices across four areas, insulin, monitoring, diet, and veterinary visits, and that selecting a more affordable option in one or more of these areas can significantly lower overall expense without compromising the dog's welfare. There is not a best approach for managing all diabetic dogs, only management tailored to the needs of the individual owner and dog.

This is not a fringe or informal position. It echoes, almost exactly, language already in the primary veterinary guidelines this book relies on throughout. The 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines state directly: "The presence or absence of clinical signs is often a more accurate marker of DM control than any glycemic data obtained." They add that when the patient has no clinical signs and the body weight is steady or increasing, diabetes is likely well controlled. The 2018 edition put it even more strongly: clinical signs "supersede all else." An observant owner, watching real signs, is not the fallback option for people who cannot afford better. Clinical observation is not merely a fallback for owners who cannot afford sophisticated monitoring; it is what the 2026 guidelines describe as often a more accurate marker of control than any glucose reading.

Mott and colleagues also make a point worth holding onto specifically because it cuts against instinct: there is no strong evidence that chasing tight, precise glycaemic targets improves outcomes, and pursuing them too hard can increase caregiver stress,

cost, and even hypoglycaemia risk. The realistic goals, minimising clinical signs, improving quality of life, normalising body condition, and avoiding hypoglycaemia and DKA, are achievable without strict glycaemic control. That is not a compromise position. It is the stated, evidence-based goal.

Insulin

There is no single best insulin

Insulin choice should weigh cost, desired time-action profile, administration method, dosing frequency, the owner's own circumstances, and the specific goals for that dog. Veterinary-approved options in the UK include Caninsulin (porcine lente). Human insulins used off-label, including NPH and glargine, are also legitimate options, already covered in Part 2, Chapter 2, and can offer real savings. The 2026 AAHA guidelines support this: their table of insulins for dogs lists human NPH and human glargine (U-100) by brand name, while marking every human product in it as not approved for veterinary use, and notes that unbranded generic versions of degludec (Tresiba) and glargine U-300 (Toujeo) are available from the manufacturers, though the table is written for the US market.

As a working UK price comparison, at the time of writing (2026): Caninsulin online runs roughly £45 to £51 per 10ml vial (400 units), working out to around 11 to 12 pence per unit. Toujeo (glargine 300 U/mL), bought online with a prescription, has been found at £52.49 for a pack of three 1.5ml pens (1,350 units total), around 4 pence per unit, a substantially lower raw cost per unit. Prices change, so treat these as an illustration of the scale of difference rather than a current quote.

That comparison needs an important correction, though, because it very nearly went into this book wrong. Glargine at the 300 U/mL concentration is not simply glargine at three times the strength. It is, unit for unit, less potent than standard glargine or Caninsulin, with a

longer, flatter time-action profile, a specific clarification from Mott's own teaching material. This means the lower per-unit price does not translate directly into an equivalent saving once the actual dose a dog needs is accounted for, and any switch between insulins needs to be managed by a vet, both for this reason and because dosing conversions between insulin types are not something to work out from a general rule.

Once-daily dosing: a real, evidence-backed possibility for some dogs

Glargine at 300 U/mL is also the insulin behind a genuinely significant, recent finding, covered in Chapter 2 of this Part: a 2024 prospective study of 95 client-owned diabetic dogs found 92% achieved good or excellent glycaemic control, and 59% of those were managed on true once-daily dosing, rising to 72% in dogs without other concurrent health conditions. This matters for cost in a way that goes beyond the insulin itself. For an owner who works full days, the practical alternative to once-daily dosing is often paid help for the evening injection, a real, recurring cost that in some cases can exceed the cost of the insulin many times over. For an owner who otherwise needs to pay someone to administer that second injection, successful once-daily treatment could remove that recurring cost.

This possibility requires careful, monitored dose titration, typically using a flash glucose monitor during the early weeks, and does not work for every dog. It is worth raising directly with your vet as a specific question, not assumed.

Insulin degludec: an emerging once-daily option

Insulin degludec (Tresiba) is a newer basal insulin, also covered as a real, effective option for dogs including those with concurrent conditions, achieving good control within roughly two weeks in the studies behind it. A real UK online price for Tresiba U100, 5 pens of 3ml each (1,500 units total), was found at £69.24, working out to roughly 4.6 pence per unit, notably cheaper than Caninsulin's 11 to

12 pence. Tresiba is a human insulin, licensed for people, not dogs, with no veterinary-specific product or branding built into its price, which is very likely a large part of why it is so much cheaper: the cost reflects a mass human pharmaceutical market rather than the smaller, specialist veterinary one. As with any human insulin used off-label, the switch and the dosing conversion need to be managed by a vet.

On insulin in-use periods and waste

Discarding insulin at the manufacturer's stated in-use period after opening is a real, recurring cost, and it is worth understanding what actually sits behind that date. The 2026 AAHA guidelines say that when insulin is refrigerated and handled carefully, the task force is comfortable with owners continuing to use it beyond the manufacturer-recommended in-use duration, which they give as 28 to 56 days for most insulin types. The evidence they cite is a 2023 study (Berg and colleagues) that tested refrigerated glargine and protamine zinc insulin, aspirated twice daily with a fresh syringe each time over six months, the way a diabetic dog is actually dosed at home, and found minimal risk of bacterial contamination across the full six months. Separately, in her own teaching material, Mott states that refrigerated multi-dose insulin remains sterile for six months with only a 0.6% loss of activity.

The study demonstrates that sterility can remain satisfactory for considerably longer than some manufacturers' in-use periods, under the specific conditions studied. It tested only two insulins, glargine and ProZinc, and looked at contamination, not at how much strength the insulin keeps, so it does not establish that all canine insulin products can safely be used for six months after opening, and it is not, on its own, grounds to disregard the standard 42-day UK discard guidance. Manufacturer discard dates reflect more than sterility testing under ideal, controlled conditions, and this book is not suggesting you use insulin beyond the stated date. The 2026 AAHA guidelines attach conditions of their own: replace the insulin

immediately if it looks flocculent, discoloured or different in consistency, or if there are questions about its efficacy or the dog's signs recur, and approach any use beyond the labelled period cautiously, discuss it with the client, document it, and avoid it where there is any concern about loss of glycaemic control or contamination. What it is worth doing is raising this directly with your vet if cost pressure is making the standard discard interval a genuine strain, since there may be more flexibility here than the label alone suggests, and that is a conversation for your vet to have with you, not a decision to make alone.

Diet

Part 1, Chapter 4 and Part 2, Chapter 3 already established that the dry matter basis figures, fat, fibre, and protein, are what actually matter for a diabetic dog, not whether a food carries a prescription label. This is directly confirmed from the cost research: economical over-the-counter diets are described as an appropriate, non-inferior alternative to prescription diabetic diets for many dogs, with the caveat that diet still needs to be chosen around body condition, any concurrent illness, and what the individual dog will actually eat. Real UK price comparisons found prescription diets running meaningfully higher than non-prescription foods hitting the same nutritional targets, sometimes closer to double. The DMB checker already built into the companion app is, in a very direct sense, a cost-saving tool as much as an accuracy one: it lets you evaluate any food, not just the expensive ones, against the numbers that actually matter.

Monitoring

This is the area where cost varies the most, and where the least expensive genuine option is also the one the primary guidelines describe as foundational, not a compromise: an observant owner tracking real signs. Appetite, thirst, weight, energy, and general demeanour, watched consistently over time, are what the 2026

AAHA guidelines themselves describe as often a more accurate marker of control than any glucose reading. This costs nothing beyond attention.

A step up from that, still very low cost, is the Diabetic Clinical Score, or DCS, a simple, standardised way to track exactly those signs, and the cost-saving research specifically recommends it as a tool owners can use themselves. It is genuinely simple to apply. You score four things, weight loss, thirst and urination, appetite, and attitude or activity level, each from 0 to 3: 0 is normal, 1 mild, 2 moderate, 3 severe. Add the four numbers together for a total out of 12. A score of 0, no signs at all across any of the four, indicates optimal control. Anything above 0 indicates some degree of sub-optimal control, worth tracking over time rather than reacting to a single score in isolation. A rising score over successive checks suggests a need to look more closely or discuss the dose with your vet; a score that stays at or near 0 is real, low-cost evidence that things are going well.

Chapter 4 of this Part sets out, in full, why urine testing cannot substitute for blood monitoring: it cannot detect hypoglycaemia, cannot identify Somogyi rebound, and gives a time-averaged result rather than a moment-in-time one. All of that is true and stands. Urine strips are cheap, though, and many owners use them, so it is worth being precise about what they can and cannot still do.

The 2026 AAHA guidelines do not recommend routine urine glucose measurement. Dipsticks have relatively low accuracy in dogs, often underestimating the urine glucose, and a result reflects only the average glucose since the bladder was last emptied. A strip cannot tell you whether your dog is high or low, so it can never be the basis for changing the insulin dose, and it is no substitute for watching your dog. For everyday reassurance, the clinical observation described above, appetite, thirst, weight, energy and the Diabetic Clinical Score, does the job better, and it costs nothing. Two limited uses of a strip do remain.

The first is as a heads-up. The 2026 AAHA guidelines say that a dog whose urine glucose is consistently negative should be screened for hypoglycaemia, because it may mean tight control or too much insulin, and the only way to know which is to check the blood glucose. A widely used veterinary review of monitoring methods makes the same point, noting that blood glucose should be checked if a prolonged period without glycosuria is noted in a dog who previously tested positive, precisely because an unexpected change in the pattern, not the reading in isolation, is the useful signal. Separately, monitoring guidance from the World Small Animal Veterinary Association makes the point that persistence of a trend can be more informative than any single measurement. Nobody appears to have designed a study of a low-frequency, stable-dog urine protocol, so none of this should be read as an endorsement of routine daily testing.

The second is as a safety net on the days your dog is off food, vomiting or simply not right. A strip that also tests for ketones (most do, at no extra cost) is worth keeping in the cupboard for those days: a positive ketone reading should not be dismissed simply because the dog's glucose pattern otherwise appears settled, and depending on the circumstances, it warrants further assessment and veterinary advice. Because strips pick up only one kind of ketone and are less accurate in the early stages, a negative strip does not by itself rule out DKA in a dog who is unwell (Chapter 2 of this Part covers blood ketone meters).

One clear line is worth drawing here. Older veterinary teaching material makes a pointed warning: the majority of complications seen in practice from urine testing came from owners adjusting the insulin dose themselves based on morning urine readings alone. The uses described in this chapter are different, and narrower: a prompt to look more closely, check a blood reading, or speak to your vet, not a reason to adjust the dose yourself from a urine strip. That distinction is the difference between a genuinely useful cheap tool and a real source of risk.

Blood glucose curves, run at home, cost a few pounds in consumables per curve, strips and lancets, and remain far cheaper than the same curve run at the vet, while also usually being more accurate, since clinic stress reliably elevates glucose readings. Chapter 6 of Part 1 covers the technique in full.

Continuous glucose monitoring is, without question, the most capable monitoring tool available, catching nadirs and hypoglycaemic episodes far more reliably than any other method, and genuinely reducing vet visits for some owners in a way that can offset its cost. It is also, at current UK retail prices, well over £1,000 a year for sensors alone, simply not a realistic option for many of the owners this chapter is written for. It is included here for completeness, not as a recommendation: if cost is the reason you are reading this chapter, a sensor is very unlikely to be the answer, and that is a perfectly reasonable, well-supported position, not a lesser one. The 2026 AAHA guidelines take the same view, recommending a sensor only when it is medically necessary, with one clear exception: the start of a once-daily basal insulin such as Toujeo or Tresiba, when a sensor helps the vet settle the dose and how often to give it (Chapter 2 of this Part).

Glucose meters

The AlphaTrak 3, covered in full in Part 1, Chapter 6 and Part 2, Chapter 2, is calibrated specifically for canine blood, and the 2026 AAHA guidelines give it as an example of a meter calibrated for veterinary patients. It is also a real, substantial cost: a starter kit runs roughly £130 to £160 in the UK, and strips alone run £63 to £77 for 50, a recurring cost that adds up fast for a dog tested twice daily.

A good quality human glucometer is a legitimate, real cost-saving alternative, provided your vet is informed and knows which device produced any reading they are shown. This is not a case of any cheap meter doing the job. Several specific human meters have actually been tested against canine blood in published studies, and the picture that emerges is genuinely useful, if more nuanced than a

single recommendation. The 2026 AAHA guidelines do not recommend human glucometers, because they lack veterinary-specific calibration, but they do not cite the studies below, which tested particular meters against canine blood. What follows is that evidence, for owners for whom cost stands between them and monitoring at all.

A 2014 study, led by veterinary endocrinologist Carlos Melian, tested nine human glucometers against canine blood using ISO accuracy standards; the Accu-Chek Aviva Nano came out best of the nine, with 74% of whole blood readings within the ISO limits, short of the 95% the standard asks for, rising to 97% when plasma was used instead, which did meet it. A 2022 study of three human meters found the FreeStyle Optium Neo the best performer of the three, with 92% of readings within the ISO limits, just short of the 95% required, and over 97% falling in the safest clinical accuracy zone, with every reading in the two clinically acceptable zones. A larger 2025 study of 419 samples (Lopes et al., 2025) found the Accu-Chek Guide had a strong correlation with the laboratory reference and, while it did not meet the strict ISO analytical standard, 100% of its readings fell within the two clinically acceptable error zones, the best of the three meters that study tested, although the Guide was tested on only 69 of the 419 samples, and its newer sibling, the Guide Me, which uses the same test strips, reached 89% in those zones on 146.

Two honest caveats belong alongside those figures. First, samples are not the same as dogs: the 2022 study's 115 readings came from 82 dogs, and only three of those readings were in the most dangerous low range, so the evidence is thinner exactly where it matters most for catching a hypo. Second, no single meter has won every comparison. Different studies, testing different meters against different dogs, have found different winners. The honest conclusion is not that one specific meter is definitively best, but that several specific, named, dog-tested meters, the Accu-Chek Aviva Nano, the Accu-Chek Guide, and the FreeStyle Optium Neo among them, have real evidence behind them, while an untested meter simply does not.

Read those figures the right way round, and they are genuinely encouraging, not just adequate. 92% of Optium Neo readings fell within the ISO accuracy limits, and over 97% fell in the safest clinical zone. 100% of Accu-Chek Guide readings fell within the two clinically acceptable zones. On the clinical measure, the one that bears on treatment decisions, these meters did well. They are affordable tools that worked well against a laboratory reference in real dogs. If cost is genuinely standing between you and monitoring your dog at all, or between you and continuing treatment at all, a meter like this is a world away from no monitoring, and a considered, imperfect solution that keeps your dog alive and reasonably well tracked is always the better choice over one that does not.

The reason any human meter needs this scrutiny at all comes down to biology, not manufacturing quality. Human glucometers convert a whole blood reading into a plasma-equivalent figure using a conversion factor built around how human red blood cells and plasma share glucose between them. Canine blood divides that glucose differently, with a much smaller share sitting in the red blood cells than in a human sample. Applying a human conversion factor to canine blood therefore tends to produce a systematic underestimate, not random noise, which is exactly why a meter that has actually been tested in dogs is worth seeking out over one that has only ever been validated in people.

That consistent, low-reading bias is genuinely useful for spotting a possible hypoglycaemic episode: a falsely low reading prompts caution or treatment a dog may not have strictly needed, rather than false reassurance during a real emergency, the safer direction for an error to run in. It does not, on its own, disqualify the meter from tracking whether a dose may need adjusting. Because the bias is consistent rather than random, comparing a dog's numbers over time on the same meter still shows a genuine change as a genuine change, even if the absolute figures run a little low throughout. This is, in practice, how many owners tracked their dog's control and

discussed dose changes with their vet for years before dedicated veterinary meters existed at all. What matters, with any meter, human or veterinary, is that an actual change to the insulin dose goes through a vet, not that the meter itself is unfit to inform that conversation.

One further practical point, echoed across several of these studies: use the same meter consistently, and get to know your own dog's normal pattern on it, rather than expecting it to match a laboratory number exactly. A meter does not need to reproduce a lab result to the decimal point to be useful. It needs to reliably tell you whether today looks like every other recent day, or whether something has changed.

The saving itself is not marginal, and it is worth stating in a way that survives whatever happens to prices over the years this book stays in use: a well-evidenced human meter can cost roughly 90% less than an AlphaTrak 3, with strips showing a broadly similar saving. At the time of writing, that translated to an Accu-Chek Guide meter for around £16 against £130 to £160 for an AlphaTrak 3 starter kit, and around £8 for 50 strips against £63 to £77 for the AlphaTrak equivalent. The pounds will drift. The 90% will not, not by much.

Veterinary visits

Reducing the frequency of vet visits genuinely lowers cost. Mott and colleagues' own costed care plans use visits every six months at their lowest tier and every three months at the next, both for dogs who are stable and well controlled, not as a single universal figure but as two legitimate points on a spectrum depending on what else is in place. The important caution, drawn directly from the same research: dogs who do not achieve good glycaemic control within the first three months of diagnosis, and who have fewer veterinary consultations during that period, have been found to have shorter survival times. The saving worth making is in the stable, settled phase, not in the early weeks when a dose is still being found, and not in place of contacting your vet when something has genuinely changed.

References

Mott J, Garrett LD, Gilor C. Can implementing spectrum-of-care approaches in management of canine diabetes mellitus improve dog and owner outcomes? Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2025; 263(S3): S39-S42.

Mott J. Flat, Stable and Predictable: Evolving Beyond NPH Insulin in Canine Diabetes Management. Encontro de Formacao da Ordem dos Medicos Veterinarios (EFOMV), 11th edition, 2026.

Mott J. Establishing Spectrum of Care Options for Canine Diabetes Mellitus. Encontro de Formacao da Ordem dos Medicos Veterinarios (EFOMV), 11th edition, 2026.

Bugbee A, Rucinsky R, Alvarez E, Cook A, Lathan P, Panning C. 2026 AAHA Diabetes Management Guidelines for Dogs. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 2026; 63(5). doi:10.5326/JAAHA-MS-7583.

Niessen SJM, Hazuchova K, Bowles E, et al. The Diabetic Clinical Score (DCS): Evaluation of a Simple Standardised Quantification Tool to Allow Rapid Description of Clinical Signs in Diabetic Dogs. ECVIM-CA Congress. 2017.

Cook AK. Monitoring Methods for Dogs and Cats with Diabetes Mellitus. Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology. 2012; 6(3): 491-495.

Monitoring Dogs with Diabetes Mellitus. WSAVA 2018 Congress. Veterinary Information Network.

Niessen SJM, Hazuchova K, Powney SL, et al. The Big Pet Diabetes Survey: Perceived Frequency and Triggers for Euthanasia. Veterinary Sciences. 2017; 4(2): 27.

Aptekmann KP, Armstrong J, Coradini M, Rand J. Owner experiences in treating dogs and cats diagnosed with diabetes mellitus in the United States. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association. 2014; 50(4): 247-253.

Tardo AM, Fleeman LM, Fracassi F, Berg AS, Guarino AL, Gilor C. A dose titration protocol for once-daily insulin glargine 300 U/mL for the treatment of diabetes mellitus in dogs. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2024; 38(4): 2120-2128.

Mott J, Gal A, Tardo AM, et al. Insulin degludec 100 U/mL for treatment of spontaneous diabetes mellitus in dogs. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2025; 39(1): e17303.

Berg AS, Jacob ME, Mamo LB, Pluta DH, Lunn KF. Refrigerated multi-dose insulin vials remain sterile through 6 months of use. Journal of Small Animal Practice. 2023; 64(12): 776-780.

Dos Santos MAB, Vargas AM, Rosato PN, et al. Evaluation of Three Human-Use Glucometers for Blood Glucose Measurement in Dogs. Veterinary Medicine International. 2022; 2022: 9112961.

Brito-Casillas Y, Figueirinhas P, Wiebe JC, Lopez-Rios L, Perez-Barreto D, Arguello A, Flores C, Batista M, Camacho M, Martin A, Rodriguez JM, Melian C. ISO-Based Assessment of Accuracy and Precision of Glucose Meters in Dogs. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine. 2014; 28(5): 1405-1413.

Lopes JLX, et al. Analytical Evaluation of Three Portable Blood Glucose Meters for Human Use in Dogs. Veterinary Sciences. 2025; 12(5): 452.